



Woollahra Community Capacity Survey Report

Woollahra Municipal Council

June 2007

FINAL REPORT



Executive Summary

Cohesive communities are places where all people feel included, supported and able to contribute meaningfully to their local area. In cohesive communities, the resources that a community has are maximised through the development of relationships among people and groups that promote the values of respect, diversity, participation, fairness, tolerance and equality¹.

What is Community Capacity?

Community capacity is a fluid and dynamic concept that has been developed and elaborated by a number of authors. At a broad sense, community capacity can be defined as 'networks of social relations which are characterized by norms of trust and reciprocity and which lead to outcomes of mutual benefit'². At a broader social level, community capacity has become an increasingly important topic of interest for many policymakers concerned with understanding the unique challenges and strengths of their communities. In this sense, the idea of **community capacity** as an indicator in itself has taken on a role as a more holistic descriptor of an area that recognizes the interconnectedness between social, economic and environmental factors in determining the quality of life of residents in a particular community.

Thus, **community capacity** can be understood as the measures that strengthen the collective ability of a community to become stronger and healthier.

There is an increasing understanding that communities that experience strong networks of support and high levels of involvement of local community members are more resilient to difficulties or disasters, generally experience fewer social problems, and are generally more vibrant and enjoyable places to be³.

Social Indicators of Community Strength

Woollahra Council has identified a number of social indicators contributing to the health and growth of the community and which also form part of the community development focus in the 2008 – 2017 Community and Social Planning process.

The social indicators were derived from a wide range of sources, in consultation with Woollahra Council and Holdsworth Street Community Centre. The social indicators of community strength used in the survey were selected because they had undergone significant development and testing⁴.

The eight (8) selected social indicators related to community capacity across the Woollahra Local Government Area (LGA) are: social participation; barriers to social participation; reciprocity; health; community networks; trust and safety; pro-activity; acceptance of diversity and inclusiveness; and optimism.

Survey Stratification and Standard Errors

The 2007 community survey included responses from 600 residents of the 53,440 persons who were estimated to be living in the Woollahra Local Government Area (LGA). This random sample will allow us to conclude with 95% confidence that the true population mean⁵ is within +/- 3.98% of the survey result.

It should be noted that whilst there may be limitations with surveys where sample quotas have not been stratified according to ABS demographic data (e.g. age, suburb, gender), the Community Capacity Survey was stratified by age and suburb based on 2001 ABS

¹ Swan City Council, 2001

² Stones and Hughs, 2002: 1.

³ Swan City Council, 2001

⁴ Previous implementation with 49 Woollahra residents in a face-to-face context.

⁵ Based on a population of 53,440 residents in the Woollahra LGA (at 30 June 2006).

Census data. The proportion of surveys collected in terms of the overall sample is directly comparable to the proportion of persons in the Woollahra region. AEC confirms the output is sufficiently reliable to be regarded as quite reflective of community views.

Social Participation

Involvement in the local community, and attitudes to living in Woollahra are in themselves composite indicators of community capacity, that reflect a broad range of other indicators such as safety, access to community resources, local neighbors/family support, health and many other personal factors. Involvement in community action groups, and attendance at community events is highly influenced by perceptions of safety, levels of personal health and wellbeing, financial status and the number and type of cultural activities in the region.

Overall, Woollahra had high levels of participation for all social participation indicators except for involvement in organised groups within the last 12 months. Attendance at non-organised activities is considered a strength in the local community area, which may be correlated with the high rates of utilisation of local facilities.

There were strong levels of participation in non-organised activities such as social (74.0%) and sporting activities (73.0%), particularly for respondents in the younger age cohort. Additionally, survey data indicate that there are significantly high levels of young persons undertaking 'regular' participation in social activities within the municipality (88.1%).

Involvement in organized activities is particularly low in the municipality, with the exception of participation in 'sporting/recreation' group. Among survey participants, sporting/recreation group (29.3%) was the most popular form of organised activity, followed by church/religious group (12.4%) and parents/school groups (11.7%). Survey data indicates that the proportion of persons who regularly participate in 'sporting/recreation group' at an organised level is higher at younger ages, with just over 40% of participants aged 15-29 years regularly involved in this organized activity. As expected, regular attendance is lower at older age levels, with close to 60% of persons aged over 60 years 'never' being involved in this form of activity.

Survey results shows that there is high utilisation of public facilities in the Woollahra LGA, with the overwhelming majority of residents using parks (90.2%), local corner shops (84.0%), beaches (75.5%) and art gallery/museums (60.8%) in their region at least a few times a year.

A particular area of strength identified in the Woollahra community is the high level of face-to-face interaction by 'catching up in person' on a regular basis (80%) as well as high usage of 'home phone' (78.2%). 'Catching up in person' was highest in Paddington, Point Piper and Woollahra while usage of 'home phone' was highest in Watson's Bay, Point Piper and Darling Point.

Survey results suggest that communications technologies may improve community connectivity in the local community due to the large area covered by the municipality. The vast majority of survey respondents reported high levels of communication networks, with a high proportion using the mobile phone (65%), email (65%) and text messaging (48%). As expected, younger persons recorded the highest proportion for using the mobile phone on a 'regular' basis while older persons represented the highest home phone users. Residents in Vaucluse, Bellevue Hill and Rose Bay recorded the highest 'mobile phone' usage. Further, younger persons are more likely to use 'email' as a 'regular' mode of communication while usage is lower at older age levels.

By comparison, 'Internet messenger/chat and social networking sites' recorded a relatively lower proportion of usage, with persons aged 15-29 years most likely to use this as a mode of communication. As can be seen, the need for community and interaction has also manifested itself through the Internet, with virtual communities of like-minded persons becoming increasingly prevalent.

These results indicate that Woollahra experiences higher than average levels of participation, group membership and involvement in non-organised activities and communication modes, indicative of a close and cohesive community.

Barriers to Social Participation

Participation in local community activities is influenced by a range of personal and social factors. The survey asked residents to state what factors would offer them the opportunity to participate in community life. Overall, the majority of survey participants cited 'more free time' (48%) as a major factor that would allow them to become more involved in their local area, followed by 'greater interest in an issue' (12.3%).

Younger persons were the most likely to participate in community life if they had 'greater interest in an issue' (15.7%) and were 'better informed' (13.7%) while persons aged over 60 years nominated if they were more 'physically able to' (26.5%).

Respondents were then asked specific questions about recent physical and emotional barriers to participation to gauge the level of respondents' physical and emotional ability to carry out their usual function. The current indicator shows that Woollahra scored highly in terms of residents thinking that 'they have been physically (w/a⁶ of 4.18) and emotionally (w/a of 4.17) able to enjoy their usual activities' in the last 12 months.

Younger respondents in Woollahra were the most likely of all age groups to feel that they have been 'physically and emotionally able to enjoy their usual activities' while older age respondents (i.e. 60 years and over) recorded the lowest score for 'being physically able to enjoy their usual activities' across all age groupings. Residents in Bellevue Hill recorded the highest score for feeling 'physically and emotionally able to enjoy their usual activities' across all suburb groupings in Woollahra.

Physical constraints (illness, disability) and age (i.e. too old) may be significant issues that limit older person's participation in certain sports and recreation activities or local events in their area.

Health

Health is fundamental to quality of life. More than the absence of disease or infirmity, good health encompasses the much larger components of physical, mental and community capacity. Having good health allows people to participate fully in society, providing them with opportunities to live happy, satisfying and productive lives.

The current health indicator shows that Woollahra experiences high perceptions of general health, with the majority of survey participants rating their overall health as 'good' or 'excellent' (77.8%). Woollahra experienced strong levels of participation in individual exercise routines such as 'walking', with approximately 60.0% of survey respondents reporting that they walked 'everyday' for at least 10 minutes in the last two weeks.

The survey data indicates that the proportion of persons who regularly walk on a 'daily basis' is higher at younger ages, with 67% of participants aged 15-29 years regularly walking. As expected, 'good' or 'excellent' perceptions of general health was higher at younger age levels (i.e. 15-29 years and 30-39 years) and lower at older age levels.

Walking and regular participation in sporting activities is likely to yield a range of health benefits and may also be linked to lower hospital admissions, lower usage of mental health facilities, and greater community cohesiveness.

⁶ Weighted average – the higher the average is to 5, the stronger the agreement level (please see Appendix C).

Community Networks

Community resources are one of the most tangible ways that wellbeing in a region can be improved. In general, community resources refer to places, organisations, spaces, capital and infrastructure that are available for the use of all members of the community. Community resources are an integral part of the social fabric of local areas. They provide opportunities for the development of skills, knowledge, health benefits, and connection with other community members, providing sites of congregation, positive social activities, and low-cost leisure opportunities.

Survey respondents were asked whether they thought their local area provides adequate support for looking after children, elderly people and people with a disability in order to gauge perceptions of effectiveness in community service delivery. The current indicator shows that Woollahra experiences 'average' perceptions of adequate supply of community resources, with 'support for care of elderly people, people with a disability and for looking after children' achieving an 'average' weighted average score. The lowest ranking score applied to 'support for the care of people with a disability' in the area, represents an area for potential improvement in the municipality (w/a of 3.06).

Social networks are considered a strength in the local community area due to high levels of personal networks. Survey data shows that residents had high levels of 'supportive network of family and friends' (w/a of 4.22). Over half the sample 'disagreed' or 'strongly disagreed' with the statement that 'I have little to do with people in my neighbourhood' (54.3% or w/a of 2.62), suggesting that Woollahra is a cohesive community.

The survey asked residents whom they considered to be their neighbours to gauge whether residents have formed networks in their local area. Evidence suggests that being part of a network provides individuals with benefits such as a greater pool of social support when needed, greater access to information and a wider range of opportunities.

The largest proportion of respondents indicated that they believed 'all the people who live in the same street as you' (38.7%) were their neighbours, followed by 'only those people in the houses/units/townhouses next to you' (20.0%). The majority of respondents in Woollahra LGA scored higher than the average for feeling that 'all the people who live in the same street as you' are their neighbours, particularly for respondents aged 40-49 years (47.7%) and 30-39 years (44.3%). Those that considered 'only those people in the houses/units/townhouses next to you' as their neighbours were most likely to be in the younger age group while residents aged 60 years and over recorded the highest proportion of respondents who considered 'all the people in your block of units/townhouses' as their neighbours.

Residents in Paddington (48.3%), Edgecliff (47.1%) and Point Piper (44.4%) recorded the highest score for thinking that their neighbours were 'all the people who live in the same street as you'. Residents who resided in a 'flat in a block' were most likely to believe that their neighbours were 'all the people on your 'block of units/townhouses' (58.9% cumulative score). 'Couple families with children' recorded the highest proportion of persons who considered their neighbours as 'all the people who live in the same street as you' (46.3%) while 'single occupants' recorded the largest proportion of persons who believed that their neighbours were 'no-one' across all household groupings. The highest proportion of persons who believed that their neighbours were 'all the people who live in the same street as you' resided in a 'separate house' (48.5%) and a 'semi attached row/terrace, villa/townhouse' (45.0%).

Respondents were then asked in what circumstances have they helped neighbours or local friends. The vast majority of respondents indicated that they have 'given advice' (69.7%), 'looked after their house while they were away' (49.8%) and assisted 'with transportation' (47.8%). Residents aged 60 years and over were most likely to assist their neighbours by 'giving advice' (61.8%), 'looking after their house while they were away' (48.7%) and 'with transportation' (40.1%). Residents aged 15-29 years are more likely to 'give advice' (65.1%), 'assist with transportation' (45.9%) and 'lend household equipment' to their neighbours (45.9%). 'Couple families' (with and without children) recorded the highest proportion of persons who had assisted their neighbours by 'giving advice', 'looked after their house while they were away' and 'assisted them with

shopping'. Residents who resided in a 'separate house' recorded the largest proportion of persons who would help their neighbours by 'looking after their house while they were away'. Persons who were 'couple families with children' (51.4%) and 'lived alone' (37.6%) recorded the highest proportion for asking their neighbours for 'transportation' assistance. Residents who resided in a 'flat in a one to three storey' (53.3%) and 'semi attached row/terrace, villa/townhouse' (50.3%) recorded the largest proportion for 'borrowing household equipment' from neighbours and local friends while persons who resided in a 'separate house' recorded the highest proportion for 'transportation' assistance (47.6%).

Respondents living in the suburb of Woollahra recorded the highest proportion of assisting neighbours/local friends by 'giving advice' (81.4%), 'an emergency' (46.5%) and 'assisted them with shopping' (27.9%). The highest rate of assisting local friends/neighbours by 'looking after their house while they were away' (54.7%) and 'with transportation' (60.9%) came from respondents in Rose Bay while respondents in Vaucluse (33.3%) and Double Bay (31.7%) recorded the highest score for 'caring for a member of a neighbour/local friends' family'.

Respondents were asked in what circumstances would they ask for help from local friends or neighbours. A high proportion of residents nominated 'an emergency' (77.8%), 'for advice' (60.8%) and 'to look after your house while you're away' (58.5%). All age groups in Woollahra LGA scored higher than the average for asking neighbours for help 'in an emergency', particularly for respondents aged 30-39 years (82.8%), and 40-49 years (80.4%). The largest proportion of respondents who would ask their neighbours for help in 'an emergency' reside in the suburbs of Double Bay (85.4%) and Bellevue Hill (83.2%). Of the sample group, residents in Paddington recorded the highest proportion for asking neighbours 'for advice' (68.1%) while respondents in Woollahra recorded the highest number of responses for 'borrowing household equipment' (59.3%).

The survey asked residents how likely is it that they will run into friends or acquaintances when they go shopping in their local area. This question was asked to gauge whether people have established links within their local area. A high proportion of respondents indicated that they are 'very likely' to run into friends and acquaintances (40.1%). Persons who would be 'very likely' to run into friends or acquaintances when they go shopping in their local area were most likely to be in the younger age groups (15-29 and 30-39 years). Respondents in Double Bay (80.5%), Rose Bay (75.0%) and Woollahra (73.3%) recorded the highest proportion of respondents who are 'likely' or 'very likely' to run into friends or acquaintances when they go shopping in their local area.

These results indicate that Woollahra experiences higher than average levels of community networks, as evidenced by high levels of close personal networks of family and friends. The municipality also experiences high levels of community connectedness, except for perceptions relating to the adequacy of community resources, particularly with regards to support for people with a disability. The vast majority of residents have assisted their neighbours in a variety of circumstances indicating high levels of 'bonding' community capacity within the local area. Further, Woollahra experiences high levels of social cohesion, as measured by the ability to ask for help from neighbours when needed.

Trust and Safety

Safe communities are better places to be. People who feel safe in their local area are more able to participate in local community life and are likely to experience less fear and anxiety as they go about their daily activities. Additionally, lower levels of crime generally signify a more cohesive and positive community.

Participants were asked to rate their perceived levels of safety in the Woollahra area, in their community specifically, and safety in their own home. On average, residents scored highly in terms of residents thinking that they 'feel safe alone in their own home' (w/a of 4.10), 'my area has a reputation for being a safe place' (w/a of 3.85) and 'I trust those in my community' (w/a of 3.72). This indicates that there are high levels of trust in the Woollahra community.

Residents aged 30-39 years and 40-49 years recorded the highest score for 'feeling safe alone in their own home' while older respondents recorded the lowest weighted average score for this statement. 'I trust those in my community' achieved the highest weighted average score amongst residents aged 60 years and over while 'my area has a reputation for being a safe place' achieved the lowest score amongst respondents of this age cohort.

Residents in Double Bay (w/a of 3.87) and Darling Point (w/a of 3.84) achieved the highest weighted average score for 'I trust those in my community' while respondents in Vaucluse (w/a of 4.18) and Woollahra (w/a of 4.08) recorded the highest score across the municipality for 'my area has a reputation for being a safe place'. In contrast, Point Piper, Double Bay and Woollahra recorded the highest weighted averages score for 'I feel safe alone in my own home' while Edgecliff reported the lowest weighted average score.

In recognition of the importance of safety to resident's happiness in the community, participants were asked whether there were any places in Woollahra that they would not go for safety reasons. Of those sampled from Woollahra LGA, 68% reported that there were 'no' places that they would not go because of safety reasons, indicating that they felt safe in their local community area.

The next question asked respondents how safe they felt walking in their local area after dark. Overall, a high proportion of residents felt 'very' or 'somewhat' safe walking in their community after dark (73.1%). Persons in the younger age groups are more likely to feel 'safe' or 'very safe' (i.e. 15-29 years and 30-39 years) whilst older residents (i.e. aged 60 years and 50-59 years) are more likely to feel 'very unsafe' walking in their area after dark. Residents in Woollahra (50.0%) and Rose Bay (50.0%) recorded the highest proportion for feeling 'very safe' walking in their area after dark while residents in Bellevue Hill (41.4%) and Vaucluse (43.6%) are more likely to feel 'somewhat safe'.

Residents were then asked how likely it would be that their lost wallet would be returned with money in it. The highest proportion of respondents indicated that it would be 'unlikely' that their wallet would be returned with money in it (32.7%), with residents in the younger age cohort more likely to think that it would be 'unlikely'. The age group that recorded the highest proportion of 'somewhat likely' responses was the 50-59 years age group (29.1%).

Overall, perceptions of trust and safety are considered a strength in the local community area due to higher than average levels of perceived safety in the Woollahra area; which correlates with high levels of trust between members in the local community. Interestingly, lower levels of trust was reported when residents applied their perceptions of trust in a practical situation, with nearly 60.0% of respondents indicating that it would be 'unlikely' to 'very unlikely' that their lost wallet would be returned with money in it.

Reciprocity

It is recognised that the level of volunteering and community participation in local groups can help act as a proxy for community connection and strength.

A particular area of strength identified in the Woollahra community is the relatively high levels of community participation in the municipality. Survey results indicate that nearly half the sample had assisted organisations/groups on a voluntary basis in the last 12 months (45%), with this proportion again most likely to be involved in 'community/welfare/education' groups. Survey results suggest that young people in the community have high levels of participation in local volunteer organizations within the last 12 months (52.3%). Of the survey sample, a high proportion of volunteers reside in the suburbs of Paddington (19.6%), Bellevue Hill (15.6%) and Woollahra (14.8%).

Participants who indicated that they were not involved in volunteering were asked whether they would be willing to register to assist with some form of community volunteer work. Survey results reveal that 26% were 'somewhat' willing to register to assist with some form of community work, with residents aged 15-29 years most likely to be 'somewhat willing' to register (34.5%) while residents aged 60 years and over recorded the highest proportion for 'not at all' interested (39.1%). Further, the highest

rate of interest in registering for volunteer work came from residents in Vaucluse (w/a of 3.24) and Double Bay (w/a of 3.00).

Participants were asked to rate a series of statement relating to reciprocity to ascertain how well Woollahra currently works as a community. On average, residents 'disagreed' with the statement that 'I only help others who will repay the favour' (w/a of 1.86). Nearly nine in ten persons 'strongly disagreed' or 'disagreed' with this statement (88.3%), with persons from Point Piper (w/a of 1.67) and Edgecliff (w/a of 1.79) recording the strongest disagreement levels.

Woollahra recorded higher than average scores with 'generally, we should be able to manage without needing help from others' (w/a of 3.43), with residents from Point Piper recording the highest level of agreement with this statement and respondents in Edgecliff recording the strongest disagreement levels (w/a of 2.97).

Overall, Woollahra has relatively strong levels of reciprocity where people care for each other's interest as evidenced by the relatively high levels of volunteerism and low levels of expectations of being repaid a favour for assisting others.

Pro-activity

Residents were asked whether they have ever picked up other people's rubbish in a public place to measure the willing engagement of citizens in their community. A significantly high proportion indicated 'yes' (85.3%), with residents in Paddington (90.4%) and Double Bay (90.2%) recording the highest rates for picking up other people's rubbish while residents in Watson Bay (72.7%) scored relatively lower.

The majority of persons in all age groups indicated that they have picked up other people's rubbish in a public place, particularly persons aged 50-59 years (90.9%) and 30-39 years (90.2%).

These findings suggest that Woollahra has high levels of proactivity in their community as evidenced by the high rate of independent initiatives (such as picking up other people's rubbish) for the betterment of the community.

Acceptance of Diversity and Inclusiveness

The survey asked residents to rate a series of statement relating to acceptance of diversity and inclusiveness to gauge respondents' level of acceptance and the ability of individuals to interact with people who may be different to them.

The survey results show strong levels of acceptance of diversity in Woollahra, with particularly high scores for 'I enjoy living amongst people of different lifestyles' (w/a of 4.09) and 'my local community feels like home' (w/a of 4.04). The statements that recorded a lower weighted average score was 'my area is welcoming of people of different lifestyles' (w/a of 3.56) and 'my area is a friendly place to live' (w/a of 3.87).

Survey results indicate that younger persons are more likely to be tolerant of difference and accepting of diversity, with persons aged 15-29 years recording the highest weighted average scores for 'I enjoy living amongst people of different lifestyles' (w/a of 4.19), 'my local community feels like home' (w/a of 4.12) and 'my area is a friendly place to live' (w/a of 4.01). In contrast, 'my area is welcoming of people of different lifestyles' achieved the lowest weighted average score amongst respondents aged 60 years and over (w/a of 3.50). Woollahra (w/a of 4.15), Double Bay (w/a of 4.15) and Darling Point recorded the highest levels of tolerance of diversity, followed by Paddington (w/a of 4.14).

Optimism

Participants were also invited to rate a few statements relating to optimism in the Woollahra area and whether they feel valued by society. The statistics from this study

show that Woollahra is seen as a positive place by the community, with a higher than average proportion of persons reporting that they 'have an optimistic view of the Woollahra area's future' (w/a of 3.80) and that 'they feel valued by society' (w/a of 3.84). 'I feel valued by society' achieved the highest weighted average score amongst residents aged 40-49 years (w/a of 3.93) whilst 'I have an optimistic view of the Woollahra area's future' is the highest ranked statement amongst residents aged 15-29 years (w/a of 3.89) and residents aged 40-49 years (w/a of 3.87). Point Piper (w/a of 4.06) recorded the highest weighted average score for 'I feel valued by society' while Edgecliff recorded the lowest score for this statement (w/a of 3.60).

Over half the sample believed that since living in the area, their local area has 'stayed about the same' (52.0%). The highest proportion of respondents believed that in the next five years, their local area will 'stay about the same' (42.2%), while one in four believed that it would 'become better'. The majority of respondents in all age groups would recommend living in Woollahra to their friends; particularly respondents aged 15-29 years (96.3%). Respondents in the suburbs of Woollahra (w/a of 4.02) and Paddington (w/a of 3.85) recorded the highest levels of 'optimism for the future of Woollahra's area' while residents in Double Bay (w/a of 3.55) recorded the lowest levels of agreement for this statement.

Table of Contents

1.	INTRODUCTION	1
1.1	PURPOSE OF THE REPORT	1
1.2	METHODOLOGY	1
1.3	REPORT STRUCTURE.....	2
1.4	DISCLAIMER.....	2
2.	DEMOGRAPHIC SUMMARY.....	3
2.1	GEOGRAPHICAL DEFINITIONS	3
2.2	GENERAL CHARACTERISTICS OF THE WOOLLAHRA LGA.....	4
2.2.1	POPULATION TRENDS.....	4
2.2.2	AGE DISTRIBUTION.....	4
2.2.3	COUNTRY OF BIRTH	5
2.2.4	LANGUAGE SPOKEN AT HOME.....	5
2.2.5	FAMILY & HOUSEHOLD TYPE	6
2.2.6	LABOUR FORCE STATISTICS.....	7
2.2.7	QUALIFICATIONS.....	7
2.2.8	STUDENT NUMBERS FOR WOOLLAHRA, 2001	7
2.2.9	AVERAGE WEEKLY INCOME	8
2.2.10	VEHICLE USE	8
2.2.11	COMPUTER USE	8
2.2.12	INTERNET USE.....	9
3.	LITERATURE REVIEW.....	10
3.1	WOOLLAHRA SOCIAL AND COMMUNITY PLAN 2002-2007.....	10
3.2	CULTURAL PLAN 2003-2008	10
3.3	MANAGEMENT PLAN 2006 – 2009	11
3.4	SOCIAL NEED STUDY (2005)	11
3.5	CHILDREN’S SERVICES STRATEGY	12
3.6	AGEING AND DISABILITY SERVICES STRATEGY.....	12
3.7	WOOLLAHRA COMMUNITY PROFILE 2004	12
4.	SURVEY RESULTS.....	13
4.1	SURVEY METHODOLOGY.....	13
4.1.1	SAMPLE SIZE	13
4.1.2	TELEPHONE NUMBERS.....	13
4.1.3	DATA SOURCES	13
4.1.4	STANDARD ERRORS	13
4.1.5	STRATIFICATION PARAMETERS.....	14
4.1.6	TABLE NUMBERING	14
4.2	DEMOGRAPHIC PROFILE OF RESPONDENTS	16
4.2.1	SUBURB DISTRIBUTION.....	16
4.2.2	AGE.....	17
4.2.3	GENDER	18
4.2.4	HOUSEHOLD INCOME.....	18
4.2.5	TYPE OF DWELLING.....	18
4.2.6	SPEAK ANY OTHER LANGUAGES APART FROM ENGLISH.....	19
4.2.7	AVERAGE LENGTH OF RESIDENCE	19
4.3	SOCIAL PARTICIPATION	20
4.3.1	ORGANISED ACTIVITIES	20
4.3.2	NON ORGANISED ACTIVITIES.....	21
4.3.3	MODES OF COMMUNICATION.....	21
4.3.4	USE OF PUBLIC FACILITIES.....	22
4.4	BARRIERS TO SOCIAL PARTICIPATION.....	24
4.5	RECIPROCITY.....	25
4.6	HEALTH	28
4.7	COMMUNITY NETWORKS	29
4.8	TRUST AND SAFETY.....	34
4.9	PRO-ACTIVITY	36
4.10	ACCEPTANCE OF DIVERSITY & INCLUSIVENESS	37
4.11	OPTIMISM	38
5.	APPENDIX A: CROSS TABULATIONS.....	41

5.1	ORGANISED ACTIVITIES	41
5.1.1	FREQUENCY OF INVOLVEMENT IN ORGANISED ACTIVITIES BY AGE	41
5.1.2	FREQUENCY OF INVOLVEMENT IN ORGANISED ACTIVITIES BY SUBURB	42
5.2	NON ORGANISED ACTIVITIES	44
5.2.1	FREQUENCY OF INVOLVEMENT IN NON-ORGANISED ACTIVITIES BY AGE	44
5.2.2	FREQUENCY OF INVOLVEMENT IN NON-ORGANISED ACTIVITIES BY SUBURB	44
5.2.3	FREQUENCY OF USAGE OF COMMUNICATION MODES BY AGE	45
5.2.4	FREQUENCY OF USAGE OF COMMUNICATION MODES BY SUBURB.....	46
5.3	PUBLIC FACILITIES USED IN THE REGION A FEW TIMES PER YEAR	47
5.3.1	FREQUENCY OF PUBLIC FACILITIES USED IN THE REGION BY AGE (Q4 x Q15A)	47
5.3.2	FREQUENCY OF PUBLIC FACILITIES USED IN THE REGION BY SUBURB	48
5.4	VOLUNTARY WORK	48
5.4.1	FREQUENCY AND WILLINGNESS TO PARTICIPATE IN VOLUNTARY WORK BY AGE	48
5.4.2	FREQUENCY AND WILLINGNESS TO PARTICIPATE IN VOLUNTARY WORK BY SUBURB.....	50
5.5	EXERCISE ROUTINE & HEALTH	51
5.5.1	FREQUENCY OF EXERCISE ROUTINE & GENERAL HEALTH BY AGE (Q3 x Q15A)	51
5.5.2	FREQUENCY OF EXERCISE ROUTINE & GENERAL HEALTH BY SUBURB.....	51
5.6	WHAT WOULD ALLOW MEMBERS OF THE COMMUNITY TO BECOME MORE INVOLVED IN THE LOCAL AREA	52
5.6.1	FREQUENCY OF THOSE WHO WOULD OR WOULD NOT BECOME MORE INVOLVED IN THE LOCAL AREA BY SUBURB	53
5.7	NEIGHBOURHOOD	53
5.7.1	FREQUENCY OF NEIGHBOURHOOD AND COMMUNITY CONSCIOUSNESS BY AGE.....	53
5.7.2	FREQUENCY OF NEIGHBOURHOOD AND COMMUNITY CONSCIOUSNESS BY AGE.....	54
5.7.3	FREQUENCY OF NEIGHBOURHOOD AND COMMUNITY CONSCIOUSNESS BY DWELLING STRUCTURE	56
5.7.4	FREQUENCY OF NEIGHBOURHOOD AND COMMUNITY CONSCIOUSNESS BY HOUSEHOLD STRUCTURE	57
5.8	PUBLIC RUBBISH	58
5.8.1	FREQUENCY OF COMMUNITY MEMBERS PICKING UP OTHER PEOPLE'S RUBBISH BY AGE (Q13 x Q15A) ..	58
5.8.2	FREQUENCY OF COMMUNITY MEMBERS PICKING UP OTHER PEOPLE'S RUBBISH BY SUBURB.....	58
5.9	QUESTIONS ABOUT THE AREA.....	58
5.9.1	FREQUENCY OF AREA SAFETY, AREA FRIENDLINESS, AREA BEING WELCOMING OF PEOPLE OF DIFFERENT LIFESTYLES AND SUPPORT FOR CHILDREN, THE ELDERLY AND PEOPLE WITH DISABILITIES BY AGE.....	58
5.9.2	FREQUENCY OF AREA SAFETY, AREA FRIENDLINESS, AREA BEING WELCOMING OF PEOPLE OF DIFFERENT LIFESTYLES AND SUPPORT FOR CHILDREN, THE ELDERLY AND PEOPLE WITH DISABILITIES BY SUBURB.	59
5.10	PERSONAL BELIEFS AND PERCEPTIONS.....	61
5.10.1	GENERAL VIEWS ON THE WOOLLAHRA AREA BY AGE (Q13 x Q15A)	61
5.10.2	GENERAL VIEWS ON THE WOOLLAHRA AREA BY SUBURB	63
5.11	SAFETY OF THE AREA.....	66
5.11.1	FREQUENCY OF RESIDENTS FEELINGS OF SAFETY BY AGE	66
5.11.2	FREQUENCY OF RESIDENTS FEELINGS OF SAFETY BY SUBURB	66
5.12	SAFETY IN THE AREA AFTER DARK	66
5.12.1	FREQUENCY OF RESIDENTS FEELINGS OF SAFETY AFTER DARK.....	66
5.12.2	FREQUENCY OF RESIDENTS FEELINGS OF SAFETY AFTER DARK.....	66
5.13	LIKELIHOOD OF LOST VALUABLES BEING RETURNED	67
5.13.1	FREQUENCY OF WOOLLAHRA RESIDENTS FAITH IN HAVING LOST VALUABLES RETURNED BY AGE (Q13 x Q15A)	67
5.13.2	FREQUENCY OF WOOLLAHRA RESIDENTS FAITH IN HAVING LOST VALUABLES RETURNED BY SUBURB	67
5.14	OPTIMISM ABOUT LOCAL AREA.....	67
5.14.1	OPTIMISM ABOUT AREA OF WOOLLAHRA RESIDENTS BY AGE (Q13 x Q15A).....	67
5.14.2	OPTIMISM ABOUT AREA OF WOOLLAHRA RESIDENTS BY SUBURB	68
6.	APPENDIX B: SURVEY INSTRUMENT	69
7.	APPENDIX C: DEFINITION OF WEIGHTED AVERAGE	75
8.	APPENDIX D: REFERENCES	76

1. Introduction

1.1 Purpose of the Report

Woollahra Municipal Council has prepared a questionnaire to be used as the basis of the Community Capacity Survey. The 2002 – 2007 Social Plan is due to be reviewed by the end of 2007 and by way of updating this Plan Council is keen to commence the work required to develop the further plan for the 10 year period 2008 – 2017.

The Community and Social Planning 2008 – 2017 is the key approach used by Council in its quest to support and promote active community participation to achieve a healthy social environment, appropriate cultural services and efficient infrastructure. It will act as a blueprint for the social and cultural development of the City over the next 10 years.

The Community Capacity Survey is to be cross referenced with strategic Council documents and will link to current research projects and reviews such as “the Way Forward” – The Library and Information Services Strategy, the Woollahra Cultural Plan Review and the Social Needs Study. The release of 2006 ABS Census data may further impact the project with the possibility of a Targeted Social Research Project to be considered at a later time.

The purpose of the Community Capacity Survey was to:

- provide the context and underpinnings for Woollahra Municipal Council to assess current levels of engagement and the various ways in which the community interacts with each other; and
- Supply information to inform Woollahra Council in determining areas of need and provide a framework for assessing wellbeing values, social capital and in setting the goals and targets proposed for the Community and Social Planning 2008 – 2017.

1.2 Methodology

The Project was conducted in the following stages:

Stage 1: Research and Literature Review

This stage involved the identification and analysis of relevant documents as well as background research relevant to social planning processes. It also included an evaluation of the current and future demographic trends in the Woollahra LGA.

Stage 2: Market Research

A random telephone survey of 600 residents in Woollahra LGA was undertaken between April and May 2007 utilising the questionnaire already developed by Woollahra Council. The sample was stratified according to suburb and age groups based on 2001 ABS Census data.

Stage 3: Draft and Final Report and presentation

This stage involved the preparation of a draft survey report for consideration, discussion and editing and the provision of a final report. It also included the presentation of findings to key stakeholders.

1.3 Report Structure

Chapter 1: Purpose of the report, methodology, report structure and disclaimer;

Chapter 2: Demographic summary;

Chapter 3: Results of the survey;

Chapter 4: Appendix A – Cross tabulations;

Chapter 5: Appendix B – Survey form;

Chapter 6: Appendix C – Definition of Weighted Average; and

Chapter 7: Appendix D – References.

1.4 Disclaimer

The AEC Group Limited does not warrant the accuracy of this information and accepts no liability for any loss or damage that you may suffer as a result of your reliance on this information, whether or not there has been any error, omission or negligence on the part of the AEC Group Limited or its employees.


2. Demographic Summary

The following section provides a summary of the most significant demographic characteristics of the Woollahra LGA as at the time of the 2001 Census.

2.1 Geographical Definitions

Woollahra LGA is composed of five wards: Double Bay, Paddington, Cooper, Bellevue Hill and Vaucluse.

Figure 2.1: Woollahra LGA and its Wards



Source: Woollahra Municipal Council Website

2.2 General Characteristics of the Woollahra LGA

- There were an estimated 49,911 persons living in the Woollahra LGA in 2001;
- Based on 2001 Census data, Woollahra LGA is comprised of baby boomers (40-54) representing 21% of the population and the largest age group being middle aged persons (25-39) representing 27% of the population;
- The proportion of persons born overseas in the Woollahra LGA (30.9%);
- The top five languages other than English spoken at home in Woollahra LGA are Greek (1.6%), Italian (1.3%), Cantonese (1.3%), Hungarian (1.2%), and French (1.1%). A total of 14% of Woollahra residents speak another language at home and while 86% speak English in their homes.

2.2.1 Population Trends

The population breakdown for each of the wards of Woollahra LGA can be seen in **Table 2.1**.

Table 2.1: Woollahra LGA – Wards & 2001 Population Figures

Wards	Population
Bellevue Hill	8,309
Cooper	10,305
Double Bay	9,431
Paddington	10,565
Vaucluse	11,301
Total	49,911

Source: ABS

The largest portion of the population in 2001 was recorded in Vaucluse (11,301) with Paddington (10,565) following closely. The smallest population in Woollahra LGA was recorded in Bellevue Hill (8,309).

As a whole, Woollahra LGA's growth rate has been significantly below that of Sydney SD and NSW rates. According to the Australian Bureau of Statistics (2007), the Woollahra LGA has experienced a population increase of 0.06% from 2001 to 2006. **Table 3.2** illustrates the population recorded for the Woollahra LGA, Sydney SD and NSW, along with the growth in population for the 2001-2006 period, and the average annual growth rate for each of the areas.

Table 2.2: Actual Population for Woollahra LGA, Sydney SD and New South Wales 2001, 2006

Area	2001	2006	Additional Persons 2001 –2006	Population Increase 2001-2006	Annual % Growth 2001-2006
Woollahra LGA	53,002	53,033	31	0.06%	0.01%
Sydney SD	4,128,272	4,293,105	164,833	4.0%	0.8%
NSW	6,575,217	6,827,694	252,477	3.8%	0.8%

Source: Australian Bureau of Statistics (2007) 3218.0

2.2.2 Age Distribution

- Woollahra was characterised by a slightly higher proportion of persons aged 25 to 39 years (27.0%);
- 26.0% of the population is aged under 25 years with 25.4% aged 55 years and over; and
- The average age of residents of Woollahra LGA is estimated at 39 years.

Table 2.3: Age Structure, 2001

Age Group	%	Age Group	%
0-4	4.6%	60-64	4.4%
5-9	4.5%	65-69	3.3%
10-14	5.2%	70-74	3.6%
15-19	5.5%	75-79	3.4%
20-24	6.6%	80-84	2.5%
25-29	9.6%	85-89	1.6%
30-34	9.8%	90-94	0.6%
35-39	7.6%	95-99	0.1%
40-44	7.0%	100+	0.02%
45-49	6.6%		
50-54	7.7%		
55-59	5.8%		
Average Age	39.0 yrs	Total	100.0%

Source: Australian Bureau of Statistics (2001)

2.2.3 Country of Birth

- In Woollahra LGA, approximately 57.6% of persons are born in Australia (see **Table 2.4**);
- Around 31.5% of persons are born overseas; and
- The top five countries of origin for those born overseas are United Kingdom (7.9%), New Zealand (3.7%), South Africa (3.4%), United States (1.4%), Germany (1.2%).

Table 2.4: Birthplace Structure, 2001

Country	%	Country	%
Australia	57.6%	Egypt	0.4%
Overseas	31.5%	Indonesia	0.4%
U.K.	7.9%	Singapore	0.4%
New Zealand	3.7%	Holland	0.3%
South Africa	3.4%	Philippines	0.3%
United States	1.4%	Yugoslavia	0.2%
Germany	1.2%	Korea	0.2%
Poland	1.0%	Fiji	0.2%
Hong Kong	0.9%	Lebanon	0.1%
China	0.8%	Croatia	0.1%
Italy	0.7%	Malta	0.1%
Ireland	0.7%	Sri Lanka	0.1%
France	0.6%	Vietnam	0.1%
Malaysia	0.5%	Turkey	0.1%
Greece	0.5%	Macedonia	0.0%
Canada	0.4%	Other Countries	8.2%
India	0.4%	Not Stated	10.8%

Source: Australian Bureau of Statistics (2001)

2.2.4 Language Spoken at Home

- In Woollahra LGA, 85.5% of persons speak English only (see **Table 2.5**);
- Approximately 14.5% of residents speak a language other than English at home; and
- The top five languages other than English spoken at home in Woollahra LGA are Greek (1.6%), Italian (1.3%), Cantonese (1.3%), Hungarian (1.2%), and French (1.1%).

Table 2.5: Language Spoken At Home, 2001

Country	%	Country	%
English Only	85.5%	Tagalog (Filipino)	0.2%
Non-English Speaking	14.5%	Serbian	0.1%
Greek	1.6%	other Chinese	0.1%
Italian	1.3%	Croatian	0.1%
Cantonese	1.3%	Persian	0.1%
Hungarian	1.2%	Hindi	0.1%
French	1.1%	Vietnamese	0.1%
German	0.9%	Turkish	0.1%
Russian	0.7%	Maltese	0.1%
Spanish	0.6%	South Slavic	0.1%
Mandarin	0.5%	Sinhalese	0.0%
Japanese	0.5%	Tamil	0.0%
Polish	0.5%	Macedonian	0.0%
Indonesian	0.3%	Australian Indigenous	0.0%
Portuguese	0.2%	Khmer	0.0%
Arabic/ Lebanese	0.2%	Samoan	0.0%
Korean	0.2%	other languages	2.1%
Netherlandic	0.2%	all smaller languages	6.3%
		Total	100.0%

Source: Australian Bureau of Statistics (2001)

2.2.5 Family & Household Type

- The greatest proportion of family types in Woollahra LGA were couples without children (46.4%), and couples with children under 15 (21.1%). One parent families (11.9%) and couple families with students (7.4%) were the next largest group of family types found in Woollahra LGA (see **Table 2.6**);
- The most common household arrangement was husband or wife in registered marriage (35.3%), with lone person households being the next greatest (15.8%) (see **Table 2.7**);
- Households with children under the age of 15 measured 13.2% of households in Woollahra LGA;
- The average family size for all families was 2.7 persons whereas for all couples with children had an average size of 3.73; and
- The average household size was 2.87 persons for families and 1.84 persons for all households.

Table 2.6: Family Type 2001

Family Type Summary	%
Couples + Children U/-15	21.1%
Couple + Children + Students	4.2%
Couples + Students	7.4%
Couples + Non-dependent Children	6.2%
All Couples With Children	38.9%
One Parent Families	11.9%
Couples Without Children	46.4%
Other Families	2.8%
All Families	100.0%
Total	100.0%
Average Family Size:	
All Couples With Children	3.73
All Families	2.70

Source: CDATA 2001

Table 2.7: Household Arrangement 2001

Household Arrangement Summary	%
Married Couple	35.3%
De Facto Couple	8.5%
Lone Parent	3.1%
Child Under 15 Years	13.2%
Dependent Student Aged 15-24	4.8%
Non-dependent Child	4.2%
Group Household Member	8.7%
Lone Person	15.8%
Visitor (from Within Australia)	3.2%
Average Size of Household:	
Families	2.87
All Households	1.84

Source: CDATA 2001

2.2.6 Labour Force Statistics

- Woollahra LGA's labour force participation measures at 70.0% and is higher than both Sydney SD (64.8%) and NSW (62.2%);
- Full-time employment records 66.8% of the workforce and is above Sydney SD (63.5%) and NSW (61.0%) proportions for the same;
- Part-time employment, marginally lower than Sydney SD and NSW, registers 27.1%; and
- The unemployment rate in Woollahra LGA in 2001 was approximately 3.6% and is significantly below that of Sydney SD (6.1%) and NSW (7.2%).

Table 2.8: Labour Force Breakdown, 2001

Labour Force Summary	Woollahra LGA	Sydney SD	NSW
Employed Full-time	66.8%	63.5	61.0
Employed Part-time	27.1%	27.5%	29.0%
Total Employed	96.4%	93.9%	92.8%
Unemployed	3.6%	6.1%	7.2%
Labor Force Participation Rate	70.0%	64.8%	62.2%

Source: CDATA 2001

2.2.7 Qualifications

- The proportion of persons with a post school qualification in Woollahra LGA is 54.9% (see **Table 2.9**); and
- There is a relatively high concentration of persons in Woollahra LGA with a Bachelor Degree (27.4%), followed by those with an Advanced Diploma (9.1%).

Table 2.9: Highest Level of Qualifications Obtained, 2001

Qualification	Woollahra LGA
Postgraduate Degree	7.6%
Graduate Diploma and Graduate Certificate	2.3%
Bachelor Degree	27.4%
Advanced Diploma and Diploma	9.1%
Certificate	8.5%
Total With Qualification	54.9%

Source: CDATA 2001, 15-79 Age Group

2.2.8 Student Numbers for Woollahra, 2001

- A bulk of the student population in Woollahra LGA (42.8%) was in tertiary level institutions.
- There were near equal proportions of Infants / Primary School (25.4%) and Secondary level (26.4%) students in Woollahra LGA.

Table 2.10: Student Numbers for Woollahra LGA, 2001

Education Level Attending	%
Pre-school	5.4%
Infants / Primary School	25.4%
Secondary School	26.4%
Tertiary	42.8%
Total	100.0%

Source: Woollahra Municipal Council Demographic Portrait 2004

2.2.9 Average Weekly Income

- Average income was highest in the 35-44 age group (\$1,156.00), followed by the 45-54 group (\$1,134.00); and
- The lowest average incomes were recorded in the 15-19 (\$90.00) and 20-24 (\$517.50) age groups.

Table 2.11: Weekly Income by Age, 2001

Income Bracket	15-19	20-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65-74	75 and over
Average Income (\$)	90.0	517.5	1061.0	1156.0	1134.0	1040.0	843.0	751.5

Source: Woollahra Municipal Council Demographic Portrait 2004

2.2.10 Vehicle Use

- Of the trips taken to work by Woollahra LGA residents the most were taken by car (53.1%) with car as driver being the highest in this category (46.4%);
- Public transport was the second most utilised means of travelling to work with the train having the highest popularity in this segment (15.4%); and
- Of the other means used walking (8.6%) was the most popular for Woollahra LGA residents.

Table 2.12: Vehicle Use Woollahra LGA, 2001

Mode of Transport Used	%
Car As Driver	46.4%
Car As Passenger	5.1%
Taxi	1.7%
Car (all Modes)	53.1%
Train	15.4%
Bus	14.5%
Ferry Or Tram	1.8%
Public Transport	31.7%
Walking Only	8.6%
Bicycle	0.7%
Motorbike Or Scooter	0.5%
Others	5.3%
All Others	15.1%

Source: Woollahra Municipal Council Demographic Portrait 2004

2.2.11 Computer Use

- Of all the age categories measured, the highest computer usage was registered in the 10-19 years age group with 77.0% of persons in that age group using a computer;
- Of the total computer users the 35-54 year old age group (33.8%) recorded the highest usage followed by the 20-34 year old group (28.5%); and
- Amongst computer users, the lowest level of usage was recorded in the 75+ age group (1.9%).

Table 2.13: Computer Use Woollahra LGA, 2001

Age Category	% of Population	% of Total Users
0-9 Years	38.7%	6.9%
10-19 Years	77.0%	16.1%
20-34 Years	56.1%	28.5%
35-54 Years	59.9%	33.8%
55-74 Years	38.0%	12.8%
75+ Years	11.8%	1.9%

Source: CData 2001

2.2.12 Internet Use

- A majority of Internet users in Woollahra LGA used the web from home (22.9%) followed by those who used it from both home and work (14.5%); and
- 32.3% of persons indicated that they did not use the Internet.

Table 2.14: Internet Use Woollahra LGA, 2001

Use Location	% of Total Users
From Home	22.9%
From Work	12.6%
From Home And Work	14.5%
From Elsewhere	3.0%
From Several Places	4.4%
Total Users	57.4%
Did Not Use The Internet	32.3%
Not Stated	10.3%
Total	100.0%

Source: Woollahra Municipal Council Demographic Portrait 2004

3. Literature Review

A range of relevant literature and previous research has been sourced for the review. A brief overview of the relevant findings of each piece of literature is provided below, including their implications for the Woollahra Community Capacity Survey.

3.1 Woollahra Social and Community Plan 2002-2007

The *Local Government (General) Regulation 1999* requires all Councils in NSW to develop a social/community plan and report on identified access and equity activities in their annual reports. Social Plans must be prepared every five years and be taken into account each year in the preparation of a council's management plan.

These plans are expected to assist Councils meet various responsibilities under the *Local Government Act 1993*, including to:

- provide 'adequate, equitable and appropriate services and facilities for the community';
- 'exercise its functions in a manner that is consistent with and actively promotes the principles of multiculturalism';
- 'promote and to provide and plan for the needs of children'; and
- 'ensuring that those services and facilities are managed efficiently and effectively'.

Under the *Local Government (General) Amendment (Community and Social Plans) Regulation, 1998*, Woollahra Council is required to report annually on its Social Plan in its Management Plan, specifically identifying the Social Plan projects it will undertake.

Social Planning is the process of ensuring that social well-being and welfare of individuals and the community is protected and enhanced in all aspects of service delivery and the allocation of financial and in-kind resources.

Woollahra's Social Plan articulates Council's vision to '*support and promote active community participation to achieve a healthy social environment, appropriate cultural services and an efficient infrastructure*'.⁷

The Social Plan has been prepared in consultation with the community, community service agencies, Councillors and Council staff, and aims to create a social environment characterised by the strength of its community spirit and the quality of its community facilities, services, resources and initiatives.

The Plan consists of 15 Strategies, seven addressing population groups and eight addressing policy issues affecting the whole community, which are relevant to this study.

3.2 Cultural Plan 2003-2008

Woollahra Council adopted the Cultural Plan in January 2003 to support and guide the cultural life of the municipality, in line with recommendations in its Social Plan, and according to State Government requirements. The Cultural Plan defines the strategic role that Council can play in cultural development and provides information, direction and links to other key areas of Council activity.

This plan details the opportunities to improve the cultural life of Woollahra residents. These areas include the continuation and development of programs including NAIDOC Week and Reconciliation Week activities, Poets' Picnic, the Woollahra Small Sculpture Prize, the Writers and Readers series, and the development of policies and strategies that will enhance cultural activity occurring in Woollahra.

⁷ Woollahra Council Social Plan 2002-2007 p. 1

The Plan has also been written to integrate cultural development into Council's wider corporate and strategic planning, with the document providing a reference point for inclusion of cultural considerations in major projects and budgeting such as the Management Plan 2002-2005 and the Social Plan 2002-2005.

The Plan was developed based on three stage processes including the development of cultural resources inventory, workshop feedback and stakeholder discussions, feedback gained through surveys and research, key issues and the policy framework and plan.

The Plan addresses a number of local cultural needs within its strategies. These include the need to increase access to flexible cultural facilities/venues, the further provision of cultural programs to foster a sense of local identity and community spirit in Woollahra and to capitalize on the wealth of local talent and experience in the arts.

3.3 Management Plan 2006 – 2009

The Management Plan, developed in accordance under the *Local Government Act 1993 (Sections 402-406)*, presents a three-year plan for providing services, facilities and projects. Proposed expenditure and income is identified in the plan with their principal activities, which are:

- Built environment;
- Natural environment;
- Roads, traffic and transport;
- Parks and public space;
- Community services;
- Customer service and communication;
- Organisation support; and
- Environment and infrastructure works program.

Woollahra's strategic vision for the delivery of services and facilities to the community is⁸ *'to support and promote active community participation to achieve a health social environment, appropriate cultural services and an efficient infrastructure'*.

Draft copies of the Management Plan are placed on public exhibition and are subject to community consultation each year.

3.4 Social Need Study (2005)

The Social Needs Study was conducted in August 2005 as a result of a review of Woollahra's Social Plan. The study aimed to provide a 10-year framework for the provision of social services in Woollahra, identify high priority target groups and identify the role that Council will play in relation to social services.

The study included extensive consultation and research, an extensive review of the demographics of the area as well as telephone, face-to-face and written surveys. Detailed consultation was undertaken for older persons and people with a disability and children.

This study resulted in the adoption of a Children's Services Strategy, an Ageing & Disability Services Strategy and a Social Needs Discussion Paper.

⁸ Management Plan 2006 – 2009, p.3

3.5 Children's Services Strategy

The Children's Services Strategy was conducted in 2005 as a result of a review of Woollahra's Social Needs Study completed by Council between August and December 2004. The Strategy provides a 10-year framework for the provision of Children and Family Services in the Woollahra LGA. The needs of children aged 0-10 years were identified through an extensive review of the demographics of the area, questionnaires of residents across Woollahra, and consultations with a vast range of residents, Council staff and community service providers that work with children and their families.

A number of strategies were developed including encouraging an increase in child care places by investigating and implementing development controls, promotion of increased providers of Family Day Care within Woollahra and investigate the use of private facilities in the community for family support services and children's services program delivery space.

3.6 Ageing and Disability Services Strategy

The Ageing and Disability Services Strategy was undertaken between August 2004 and January 2005 to identify priority issues, needs and service gaps in the Woollahra Local Government Area (LGA) in relation to older people, aged 55 years and over, and people with a disability.

Woollahra's Ageing and Disability Services Strategy articulates Council's vision to *'enable older people and people with a disability to actively participate in community life through the provision and support of a diverse range of high quality, accessible services, facilities and programs.'*⁹

This report provides key directions for older people, aged 55 years and over, and people with a disability in Woollahra. Detailed demographic analysis, literature reviews and extensive community consultations were completed in order to identify priority community needs and Woollahra Municipal Council's role in addressing these needs.

Strategies arising from the study include:

- Development of healthy ageing initiatives;
- Review of community transport programs in the Woollahra area;
- Increase community care services to enable people to stay in their own homes;
- Funding and support services for frail old persons and people with disabilities; and
- Promotion and support of volunteers.

3.7 Woollahra Community Profile 2004

Council produces a new Community Profile every five years in line with the five yearly ABS Census. The Community Profile has been prepared in accordance with the *'Department of Local Government (General) Regulation 1999'* that requires all Councils in NSW to develop a social/community plan every five years. The community profile is divided into two sections:

- SECTION 1: An area wide overview of the Woollahra Local Government Area (LGA).
- SECTION 2: An overview of Council's five wards.

The profile was conducted in May 2004 by the Public Practice Pty Ltd on behalf of Council.

The Community Profile is one of Council's key community planning tools. Besides statistical data, the Community Profile also includes qualitative data collected from Council's *2002 Community Survey*.

⁹ Ageing and Disability Services Strategy p. 4

4. Survey Results

This section provides an analysis of eight (8) selected social indicators related to community capacity across the Woollahra Local Government Area (LGA) based on survey findings. These indicators are as follows: social participation; barriers to social participation; reciprocity; health; community networks; trust and safety; pro-activity; acceptance of diversity and inclusiveness; and optimism.

Cross tabulation data has been included in **Appendix A (Section 5)** while definition of a weighted average can be located in **Appendix C (Section 7)** of this document.

4.1 Survey Methodology

4.1.1 Sample Size

The survey was conducted during April and early May 2007 including both weekdays and weeknights. Surveys were collected between 4pm until 8pm on weeknights and 9am and 5pm on weekdays. A total of 600 surveys were obtained via computer-assisted telephone interviewing (CATI) methods.

A pilot survey was conducted via a telephone context in March 2007 to test the questionnaire design and question structure / flow. The pilot sample encountered no difficulties with the questionnaire and a subsequent full roll out of the survey was conducted in April 2007.

4.1.2 Telephone Numbers

Telephone numbers were sourced from the DSA DataWizard. The DSA DataWizard is a database of Australian names, addresses and telephone numbers for marketing purposes. The database is up to date and privacy compliant as all data is checked against the ADMA¹⁰ "Do Not Contact" service each month. Telephone records were sourced from the residential database and searched according to suburb/postcode.

4.1.3 Data Sources

The principal data source utilised in this report was raw data collected by survey. Survey results were processed using software including *Microsoft Access* and *SPSS*.


4.1.4 Standard Errors

The diagram below details the sampling precision and confidence that a survey of 600 residents allows. In summary, the sample size allows us to conclude with 95% confidence that the true population mean is within +/- 3.98% of the survey result.

In regard to the cross tabulations, it must be recognised that cross tabulations (example, by age and suburb) in the following analysis are subject to a higher standard error i.e. the 95% confidence interval for the population mean widens considerably.

The following example explains further the sampling confidence.

¹⁰ Australian Direct Marketing Association



For example, for a survey response of 56%, based on the survey of 600 persons, we can be 95% confident that if we had asked the entire population, between 52.0% (i.e. – 3.98%) and 60.0% (i.e. +3.98%) would have picked “Yes”.

4.1.5 Stratification Parameters

In order to obtain a representative sample of views across the community, sample quotas were stratified according to suburb and age groups (Australia Citizens aged 15 years and over), based on data from the Australian Bureau of Statistics (ABS).

The research project covered the Woollahra LGA, including the following suburbs.

- Point Piper;
- Darling Point;
- Rose Bay;
- Edgecliff;
- Vaucluse;
- Double Bay;
- Watsons Bay;
- Woollahra;
- Paddington; and
- Bellevue Hill.

4.1.6 Table Numbering

Please note – in reviewing the tables to follow, tables are numbered firstly according to the corresponding question number in the survey instrument.

For example – Tables referring to Question 7 will identified by one (1) or two (2) numbers.

- The first number signifies the Question; and
- The second number signifies the Table version (i.e. A multi-variate cross-tabulation by demographic variable).

Therefore, Question 7 will be found in Table 7. Question 7, cross-tabulated with a demographic variable, would be Table 7.1, or Table 7.2 (etc).

For those tables located in an Appendix, an additional qualifier of the 'Appendix' will be included in the text describing the Table.

A copy of the questionnaire has been included in **Appendix B (section 6)** of this document.

4.2 Demographic Profile of Respondents

The tables below detail the survey stratification for the two main market research parameters (age and suburb distribution) along with comparisons to 2001 Census averages. The proportion of surveys actually collected is directly comparable to the proportion of persons in the region, indicating a representative sample.

4.2.1 Suburb Distribution


In line with 2001 Census averages, the largest proportion of survey respondents resided in Paddington (19.3%), followed by Bellevue Hill (16.8%) (see **Table 15, Figure 15**).

Table 15: Suburb Distribution

Suburb	Survey	Census
Bellevue Hill	16.8%	16.5%
Darling Point	8.5%	7.1%
Double Bay	6.8%	5.6%
Edgecliff	5.7%	4.8%
Paddington	19.3%	20.0%
Point Piper	3.0%	2.3%
Rose Bay	10.7%	15.2%
Vaucluse	13.0%	15.3%
Watsons Bay	1.8%	1.1%
Woollahra	14.3%	12.1%
Total	100.0%	100.0%

Source: Woollahra Community Profile (2004), AECgroup

Figure 15: Suburb Distribution



Source: Woollahra Community Profile (2004), AECgroup

4.2.2 Age


A range of age groups were represented in the survey, with the largest proportion of participants coming from the over 60 years age group (25.3%), followed by 30-39 years age group (20.3%) (see **Figure 15a**, **Table 15a** below).

Table 15a: Age Group

Age	Survey	Census
15-19	6.7%	6.4%
20-29	11.5%	18.9%
30-39	20.3%	20.3%
40-49	17.8%	15.8%
50-59	18.3%	15.7%
Over 60	25.3%	22.9%
Total	100.0%	100.0%

Source: Woollahra Community Profile (2004), AECgroup

Figure 15a: Population by Age



Source: Woollahra Community Profile (2004), AECgroup

The largest proportion of the sample were couple households 'with' children (36.4%), followed by 'single occupants' (23.5%) and couple households 'without' children (23.4%).

Table 15e: Household Structure

Response	Prop. (%)
Live alone	23.5%
Couple without children	23.4%
Couple with children	36.4%
Single parent with children	6.3%
More than 2 related adults, with or without children	3.2%
Group household of unrelated individuals	3.0%
Other arrangement	2.2%
Not sure/no answer	2.0%
Total	100.0%

Source: Woollahra Community Profile (2004), AECgroup

4.2.3 Gender

A high proportion of survey participants were female, making up 61.4% of the sample group. Males accounted for 38.6% of those surveyed (see **Table 15f**).

Table 15f: Gender

Response	Prop. (%)
Male	38.6%
Female	61.4%
Total	100.0%

Source: AECgroup

4.2.4 Household Income

Participants were asked to provide their estimated combined household income per annum. More than 35.0% were 'unsure' or did not provide an answer to this question while 34.6% of respondents described their combined household income as more than \$100k (see **Table 15b**).

Table 15b: Estimated Combined Household Income

Response	Prop. (%)
< \$25K	4.2%
\$25K - \$40K	5.2%
\$40K - \$55K	5.2%
\$55K - \$70K	5.9%
\$85K - \$100K	9.4%
> \$100K	34.6%
Not sure/no answer	35.5%
Total	100.0%

Source: AECgroup

4.2.5 Type of Dwelling

The largest proportion of respondents described their dwelling as 'separate house' (38.3%), followed by 'semi attached, row / terrace, villa / townhouse' (28.6%) (see **Table 15c**).

Table 15c: Type of dwelling you reside in

Response	Prop. (%)
Separate house	38.3%
Semi attached, row/terrace, villa/townhouse	28.6%
Flat in a block 1-3 storeys	20.1%
Flat in a block over 1-3 storeys	12.2%
Other	0.8%
Total	100.0%

Source: AECgroup

The majority of residents were 'owning/purchasing' their residence (83.3%).

Table 15d: Own or rent own residence

Response	Prop. (%)
Own/purchasing	83.3%
Rent	13.2%
Other arrangement	2.2%
Refused/unsure	1.3%
Total	100.0%

Source: AECgroup

4.2.6 Speak Any Other Languages Apart from English

Nearly one in four respondents spoke other languages apart from English at home or with their parents (24.0%).

Table 15g: Speak any languages other than English

Response	Prop. (%)
Yes	24.0%
No	76.0%
Total	100.0%

Source: AECgroup

4.2.7 Average Length of Residence

The vast majority of respondents have resided in the Woollahra area for more than 20 years (34.3%).

Table 0a: Average Length of Residence

Response	Prop. (%)
6 months - 1 year	1.5%
1-5 years	17.2%
5-10 years	21.2%
10-20 years	22.0%
20 years and over	34.3%
Refused	3.8%
Total	100.0%

Source: AECgroup

4.3 Social participation

Survey participants were asked about their level of involvement in organised clubs or groups, non-organised activities, modes of communication and use of public space in the Woollahra area. These questions were asked to ascertain the level and extent of residents' social participation within the community.

4.3.1 Organised Activities

Overall, Woollahra LGA reported significantly low levels of participation in organised activities within the last 12 months (see **Table 1**).

The three organised activities with the highest proportion of 'regular involvement' within the last 12 months were:

- Sporting/recreation group (29.3%);
- Church/religious group (12.4%); and
- Parents/school groups (11.7%).

The following organised activities recorded the highest proportion of 'never' responses:

- Seniors group (92.3%);
- Youth group (89.5%); and
- Residents association (80.4%).

Table 1: How actively have you been involved in the following organised activities?

Organised Activities	Don't Know (%)	Never (%)	Rarely (%)	Occasionally (%)	Regularly (%)	Total (%)
Sporting/recreation group	0.7	51.0	8.2	10.8	29.3	100.0
Church/religious group	0.2	64.3	10.4	12.9	12.4	100.0
Parents/school group	1.8	74.8	4.2	7.5	11.7	100.0
Arts/music/drama	0.3	79.4	7.4	5.9	7.0	100.0
Residents Association	1.5	80.4	4.2	7.6	6.4	100.0
Seniors group	1.0	92.3	1.8	2.2	2.7	100.0
Youth group	1.7	89.5	4.0	3.3	1.5	100.0

Source: AECgroup

Cross tabulations revealed:

- The age group most likely to participate in 'sporting/recreation group' on a 'regular basis' is the 15-29 years age group (40.4% of participants).
- Persons aged 60 years and over recorded the highest proportion for 'regular' participation in a 'church/religious group' (24.2%) across all age groupings.
- Persons in the 40 to 49 years age group recorded the highest proportion for 'regular' (21.5%) and 'occasional' (11.2%) involvement in a 'parents/school group'.
- Persons aged 60 years and over are least likely to participate in a 'youth group' while persons aged 15-29 years are least likely to participate in 'seniors group'.
- Those respondents residing in the suburbs of Vaucluse and Bellevue Hill are most likely to be involved in a 'sporting/recreation' and 'parents/school' group on a 'regular' basis.
- Respondents who participated in a 'church/religious group' on a 'regular' or 'occasional' basis are most likely to reside in Watsons Bay (45.5%) and Darling Point (33.3%).

4.3.2 Non Organised Activities

Overall, residents in Woollahra LGA reported high levels of participation in non-organised activities within the last 12 months. The vast majority of respondents recorded 'regular' involvement in 'social activities' (74.0%) and 'sporting activities' (73.0%). In comparison, involvement in 'cultural activities' recorded lower participation rates, with 42.2% indicating 'regular' attendance, while 32.1% indicated 'occasional' attendance.

Table 2a: How actively have you been involved in the following non-organised activities?

Non-Organised Activities	Don't Know (%)	Never (%)	Rarely (%)	Occasionally (%)	Regularly (%)	Total (%)
Sporting activities	0.2	10.7	3.0	13.2	73.0	100.0
Social activities	0.0	2.7	5.3	18.0	74.0	100.0
Cultural activities	0.2	10.4	15.2	32.1	42.2	100.0

Source: AECgroup

Cross tabulations revealed:

- Persons who were involved in sporting activities on a 'regular' basis were most likely to be in the younger age groups (15-29 and 30-39 years).
- Respondents aged 60 years and over recorded the highest proportion for 'never' being involved in 'sporting activities' (21.1%) across all age groupings.
- The largest proportion of respondents who were involved in 'cultural activities' on a 'regular basis' were most likely to be those aged 40 - 49 years (57.0%) and 50-59 year olds (44.5%).
- Persons aged 15- 29 years were most likely to be involved in social activities on a 'regular' basis (88.1%), followed by the 40-49 year olds (83.2%).
- Persons in the 15- 29 years (38.0%) and 30-39 years (35.2%) age cohort were more likely to be involved in 'cultural activities' on an 'occasional' basis.
- Rose Bay (84.4%) residents recorded the highest proportion for involvement in 'sporting activities' on a 'regular' basis'.
- Residents living in Darling Point (76.5%), Edgecliff (76.5%) and Paddington (75.9%) recorded the highest proportion of 'regular' participation in 'social activities'.
- The highest rate of participation in 'cultural activities' came from residents living in Darling Point (56.9%) and Paddington (56.0%) while the lowest rate came from residents living in Point Piper (27.8%).

4.3.3 Modes of Communication

The vast majority of residents reported high levels of communication networks, with over 80.0% of respondents indicating 'catching up in person' on a 'regular' basis. Further, 78% of respondents 'regularly' use the home phone while approximately 65% use the 'mobile phone' on a 'regular basis' (see **Table 2b**).

By comparison, 'Internet messenger/chat and social networking sites' recorded the lowest proportion of usage, with just over 63.0% of respondents nominating 'never'.

Table 2b: How often have you used the following modes of communication?

Mode of communication	Don't Know (%)	Never (%)	Rarely (%)	Occasionally (%)	Regularly (%)	Total (%)
Catching up in person	0.5	1.7	2.7	14.4	80.8	100.0
Home phone	0.0	1.5	5.3	15.0	78.2	100.0
Mobile phone	0.0	13.3	8.8	13.2	64.7	100.0
Text message /SMS	0.3	27.3	12.0	12.2	48.2	100.0
Email	0.0	18.3	7.2	10.8	63.7	100.0
Internet messenger/chat & social networking sites	1.5	63.2	7.2	6.5	21.6	100.0

Source: AECgroup

Cross tabulation revealed:

- The majority of respondents in all age groups use the communication mode of 'catching up in person' on a 'regular' basis, particularly respondents aged 15-29 years (89.0%) and 40-49 years (87.9%).
- Persons aged 60 years and over recorded the highest proportion for 'regularly' using the home phone (86.2%) whilst respondents aged 15-29 years recorded the highest proportion for using the 'mobile phone' on a 'regular' basis (85.3%).
- In line with mobile phone usage, respondents in the younger age cohort were most likely to use 'text message/SMS' as a 'regular' mode of communication (i.e. 15-29 years).
- Respondents aged 60 years and over recorded the highest proportion of 'never' using 'text message/SMS' as a mode of communication (63.2%).
- 'Email' recorded the highest level of 'regular' responses in the 30-39 years (82.8%) and 15 – 29 years (72.5%) category, with persons aged 60 years and over recording the lowest level of 'never' responses (50.0%).
- Persons who use 'MSN messenger/chat and social networking sites' on a 'regular' basis were most likely to be in the younger age group (15-29 years) while persons in the older age cohort were most likely to 'never' use this mode of communication.
- 'Catching up in person' was highest in Paddington (87.9%), Point Piper (83.3%) and Woollahra (82.6%).
- Usage of 'home phone' was highest in Watson's Bay (90.9%), Point Piper (88.9%) and Darling Point (84.3%).
- Residents in Vaucluse (75.6%), Bellevue Hill (72.3%) and Rose Bay (70.3%) recorded the highest 'mobile phone' usage.
- In line with mobile phone usage trends, residents in Rose Bay (60.9%) and Vaucluse (60.3%) recorded the highest level of 'text message/SMS' usage.

4.3.4 Use of Public Facilities

Respondents were asked to nominate any public facilities that they use in their region at least a few times a year.

The top five public facilities that are used by residents are:

- Parks (90.2%);
- Local/corner shops (84.0%);
- Beaches (75.5%);
- Art Gallery/Museum (60.8%); and
- Walking tracks (55.2%).

Other (6.7%) public facilities, which are used in the region, include golf clubs/courses, wharf/boat ramp/docks, sporting grounds and buses and trains.

Table 4: What public facilities do you use in your region at least a few times a year?

Response	Prop. (%) *
Parks	90.2%
Local/corner shops	84.0%
Beaches	75.5%
Art Gallery/Museum	60.8%
Walking tracks	55.2%
Libraries	53.5%
Pools	37.3%
Football fields/ovals	29.8%
Playgrounds	28.7%
Tennis Courts	26.8%
Community Centre	13.3%
Basketball/netball courts	10.5%
Other	6.7%

Note: * Multiple response question (percentages may not add to 100%).

Source: AECgroup

Cross tabulations revealed:

- 'Local parks' was the most used public facility amongst all aged groups, particularly for respondents aged 30-39 years (96.7%) and 40-49 years (95.3%).
- Those using 'football fields' (50.5%) and 'basketball/netball courts' (23.9%) in their region at least a few times a year are most likely to be 15- 29 years of age.
- The age group most likely to use the 'beach' are 30-39 years (89.3%) and 15-29 years (89.0%).
- Persons aged 60 years and over were least likely to use 'basketball/netball courts' (1.3%), 'playgrounds' (5.9%), 'football fields' (5.9%) and 'tennis courts' (9.9%) in their local area.
- 'Art gallery/museum' was more popular amongst respondents aged 50-59 years (70.9%) compared to other age groups.
- Respondents from the suburbs of Woollahra (74.4%), Darling Point (72.5%) and Paddington (68.1%) use 'art gallery/museum' more frequently than those from other suburbs in Woollahra.
- 'Parks' and 'local corner shops' are more frequently used by residents in Paddington and Vaucluse while 'beaches' are less frequently used by those living in Point Piper (55.6%).
- The most popular public facilities used by those surveyed in Double Bay are parks (92.2%), local/corner shops (86.3%) and art gallery/museum (72.5%).

4.4 Barriers to social participation

Participants were asked to state what factors would allow them to become more involved in their local area (see **Table 6**). Nearly half the sample cited that ‘more free time’ would most likely allow them to become more involved in their area, followed by ‘greater interest in an issue’ (12.3%).

Other (3.1%) specified responses include greater awareness of the issues, overcoming language barriers and when the children are older.

Table 6: Which of the following is most likely to allow you to become more involved in your local area?

Response	Prop. (%)
More free time	47.8
Greater interest in an issue	12.3
Nothing not interested	8.6
Being more physically able	7.9
Being better informed	7.4
Being asked to contribute	5.0
Other	3.1
Financial capacity	2.8
Doing less of what you are doing now	2.6
Feeling you have some skills to contribute	1.5
Feeling less cynical	1.1
Total	100.0%

Source: AECgroup

Cross tabulations revealed:

- The majority of respondents in all age groups nominated ‘more free time’ as a major factor which would allow them to become more involved in their local area, particularly for respondents aged 30-39 years (61.2%) and 40-49 years (57.9%).
- Persons aged 60 years and over are more likely to become more involved in their local area if they were ‘more physically able’ (26.5%).
- ‘Greater interest in an issue’ (15.7%) and ‘being better informed’ (13.7%) are relatively more important factors to persons aged 15-29 years in comparison to other age groups.
- Residents in the suburbs of Double Bay (64.1%), Paddington (57.4%), Vaucluse (53.6%) and Bellevue Hill (44.1%) are more likely to become involved in their local area if they had ‘more free time’.
- Respondents in the suburb of Woollahra nominated ‘more free time’ (39.7%) and ‘being better informed’ (10.3%) as key factors that would influence them to contribute their time in the local area.

To rank the level of agreement with a statement, a weighted average calculation was performed. The closer the weighted average is to five, the stronger the level of agreement was for that particular statement.

- On average, residents ‘agreed’ that ‘in the last 12 months, they have been physically (w/a of 4.18) and emotionally (w/a of 4.17) able to enjoy their usual activities’ (see **Table 10**).
- Close to 90.0% of residents ‘agreed’ or ‘strongly agreed’ that ‘in the last month, they have been physically able to enjoy their usual activities’. On the other hand, 88.5% of respondents ‘agreed’ or ‘strongly agreed’ that ‘in the last month, they have been emotionally able to enjoy their usual activities’.

Table 10: How strongly do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

Response	No response	Strongly DisAgree	Disagree	Average	Agree	Strongly Agree	Total	W/A
In the last month I have been physically able to enjoy my usual activities	1.7%	2.3%	3.8%	2.3%	55.2%	34.7%	100.0%	4.18
In the last month I have been emotionally able to enjoy my usual activities	1.8%	1.0%	3.3%	5.3%	56.5%	32.0%	100.0%	4.17

Source: AECgroup

Cross tabulations revealed:

- The younger the age group, the stronger the agreement level was with ‘being physically and emotionally able to enjoy my usual activities’. The age group most likely to ‘strongly agree’ with ‘in the last month, I have been emotionally able to enjoy my usual activities’ is the 15-29 years age group (93.6%).
- Respondents aged 15-29 years recorded the highest proportion of persons who ‘strongly agreed’ with the statement that ‘in the last month, I have been physically able to enjoy my usual activities’ (46.8%) and the highest level of cumulative agreement rating (total of ‘agree’ or strongly agree’ responses) at 94.5%.
- The proportion of persons who ‘agreed’ with the statement, ‘in the last month, I have been physically able to enjoy my usual activities’, are more likely to be 50-59 years of age (65.1%).
- Persons aged 60 years and over recorded the highest proportion of respondents who ‘disagreed’ (7.9%) with ‘being physically able to enjoy their usual activities’ across all age groupings.

The statements achieving the highest weighted average scores by suburb groupings included:

- ‘In the last month I have been physically able to enjoy my usual activities’ achieved the highest weighed average score amongst respondents who live in Bellevue Hill (w/a of 4.40)
- ‘In the last month I have been emotionally able to enjoy my usual activities’ achieved the highest weighted average score amongst residents in Bellevue Hill (w/a of 4.38) but the lowest score in Edgecliff (w/a of 3.79).

4.5 Reciprocity

Participants were asked whether they participated in volunteerism (see **Table 5**). Nearly half the sample indicated that they had assisted organisations/groups on a voluntary basis in the last 12 months (45%).

Table 5: Have you assisted any organisations/groups on a voluntary basis in the last 12 months?

Response	Prop. (%)
Yes	45.0%
No	55.0%
Total	100.0%

Source: AECgroup

Cross tabulations revealed:

- Respondents aged 15-29 (52.3%) years and 40-49 years (48.3%) were more likely to have participated in voluntary work in the last 12 months.
- Of the survey respondents who have assisted organisations/groups on a voluntary basis, a high proportion resides in the suburbs of Paddington (19.6%), Bellevue Hill (15.6%) and Woollahra (14.8%).

Of the respondents that participated in voluntary work, over 50.0% indicated 'regular' involvement in 'community/welfare/education', while 12.4% were involved in 'health' on a 'regular' basis (see **Table 5a**).

Table 5a: How often are you involved in the following areas on a voluntary basis?

Activities	Don't Know (%)	Never (%)	Rarely (%)	Occasionally (%)	Regularly (%)	Total (%)
Environmental/recreation	1.7	70.9	5.1	10.1	12.2	100.0
Business/professional/union	2.1	76.4	5.5	5.5	10.5	100.0
Community/welfare/education	2.3	22.1	8.0	16.3	51.3	100.0
Arts and culture	1.3	76.6	5.2	6.5	10.4	100.0
Health	2.6	75.1	5.2	4.7	12.4	100.0

Source: AECgroup

Cross tabulations revealed that:

- Those involved in 'community/welfare/education' on a 'regular' basis were more likely to be 50-59 years (57.4%) and 40-49 years of age (56.0%).
- The age groups with the largest proportions of residents participating in 'health' on a 'regular' basis were 40-49 years (16.7%) and 15-29 years (14.8%).
- Residents aged 15-29 years recorded the highest proportion of residents participating in 'environmental/recreation' on an 'occasional' basis (14.8%).
- Residents in Rose Bay and Double Bay recorded the highest proportion of residents who participated in 'community/welfare/education' on a 'regular' basis.

Participants who indicated that they were not involved in volunteering (55.0%) were asked whether they would be willing to register to assist with some form of community volunteer work.

Of the respondents who weren't involved in volunteerism, 26.3% were 'somewhat' willing to register to assist with some form of community work whilst approximately 25.0% were 'not at all' interested (see **Table 5b**).

Table 5b: How willing would you be to register to assist with some form of community volunteer work?

Response	Prop. (%)
No response	5.1
Not at all	24.8
Low	15.8
Average	17.9
Somewhat	26.3
Very likely	10.1
Total	100.0
W/A	2.80

Source: AECgroup

Cross tabulations revealed:

- Of those respondents who have not been involved in volunteerism in the last 12 months, residents aged 15-29 years were most likely to be 'somewhat willing' to register to assist with some form of voluntary work (34.5%).
- Residents aged 30-39 years recorded the highest proportion of residents who were 'very likely' to register (17.8%) for voluntary work whilst residents aged 60 years and over recorded the highest proportion for 'not at all' interested (39.1%).
- The highest rate of interest in registering for volunteer work came from residents in Vaucluse (w/a of 3.24) and Double Bay (w/a of 3.00).

To rank the level of agreement with a statement, a weighted average calculation was performed. The closer the weighted average is to five, the stronger the level of agreement was for that particular statement.

- On average, residents were in 'agreement' with the statement that 'generally, we should be able to manage without needing help from others' (w/a of 3.43).
- On average, participants 'disagreed' with the statement that 'I only help others who will repay the favour' (w/a of 1.86). Nearly nine in ten persons 'strongly disagreed' or 'disagreed' with this statement (88.3%).

Table 10: How strongly do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

Response	No response	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Average	Agree	Strongly Agree	Total	W/A
Generally, we should be able to manage without needing help from others	2.5%	5.2%	20.7%	11.0%	48.8%	11.8%	100.0%	3.43
I only help others who will repay the favour	2.0%	29.5%	58.8%	4.5%	4.5%	0.7%	100.0%	1.86

Source: AECgroup

Cross tabulations revealed:

- Residents aged 50-59 years (57.3%) and 60 years and over (50.7%) recorded the highest proportion of respondents who 'agreed' with the statement that, 'generally, we should be able to manage without needing help from others' while residents aged 30-39 years recorded the highest level of 'strongly disagree' responses to this statement (12.3%).
- Respondents aged 15-29 years were more likely to 'disagree' with the statement that, 'I only help others who will repay the favour' (62.0%) while respondents aged 40-49 years were more likely to 'strongly disagree' with this statement (33.0%).
- Residents in Point Piper recorded the highest weighted average score with the statement, 'generally, we should be able to manage without needing help from others' while residents in Edgecliff recorded the lowest level of agreement with this statement (w/a of 2.97).
- The lowest level of agreement with, 'I only help others who will repay the favour' was recorded in Point Piper (w/a of 1.67) and Edgecliff (w/a of 1.79).

4.6 Health

The next section asked residents about their walking habits and to rate their health. Approximately 60.0% of respondents reported that they walked 'everyday' for at least 10 minutes in the last 2 weeks. More than one in four respondents reported that they walked 'every second day' (26.2%).

Table 3a: How often have you walked continuously for at least 10 mins in the last 2 weeks?

Response	Prop. (%)
Every day	59.2%
Every second day	26.2%
Weekly	8.2%
Once a fortnight	1.8%
Didn't walk	1.8%
Can't walk	2.0%
No response/not sure	0.8%
Total	100.0%

Source: AECgroup

Cross tabulations revealed:

- Those walking on a 'daily basis' are most likely to be aged 15-29 years (67.0%) and 40-49 years (64.5%).
- Respondents aged 30-39 years are most likely to walk 'every second day' (32.0%) and on a 'weekly' (11.5%) basis across all age groupings.
- The highest rate of walking on a 'daily basis' came from residents in Woollahra (67.4%) and Double Bay (63.4%).
- Respondents in Darling Point (33.3%) and Rose Bay (32.8%) recorded the highest rate of walking 'every second day'.
- The highest rate of walking on a 'weekly basis' came from residents living in Vaucluse (14.1%) and Bellevue Hill (11.9%).

Overall, the majority of residents rated their health as 'good' (48.1%) (see **Table 3b**).

Table 3b: Overall how would you rate your health

Response	Prop. (%)
Poor	2.8
Fair	4.5
Moderate	14.7
Good	48.1
Excellent	29.7
No response/not sure	0.2
Total	100.0%

Source: AECgroup

Cross tabulations revealed:

- Those that rated their health as 'good' were most likely to be 15-29 years (57.8%) and 40-49 years (48.6%).
- Respondents aged 30-39 years recorded the highest proportion of persons who rated their health as 'excellent' (32.2%).

- Persons aged 60 years and over were most likely to rate their health as ‘moderate’ (17.8%) and ‘poor’ (7.2%).
- The largest proportion of respondents who rated their health as ‘good’ or ‘excellent’ were those living in Paddington (84.5%) and Darling Point (84.3%).

4.7 Community Networks

Respondents were asked who they considered to be their neighbours (see **Table 7a**). The largest proportion of respondents indicated that they believed ‘all the people who live in the same street as you’ (38.7%) were considered to be their neighbours, followed by ‘only those people in the houses/units/townhouses next to you’ (20.0%).

Other (1.5%) specified responses include those in the community and a few in the vicinity of the house.

Table 7a: Who do you consider to be your neighbours?

Response	Prop. (%)
All the people who live in the same street as you	38.7%
Only those people in the houses/units/townhouses next to you	20.0%
All the people who live in the same block as you	13.0%
All the people in your block of units/townhouses	12.7%
All the people who live in the same suburb as you	11.5%
No-one	2.7%
Other	1.5%
Total	100.0%

Source: AECgroup

Cross tabulations revealed:

- The majority of respondents in all age groups considered their neighbours to be ‘all the people who live in the same street as you’, particularly for respondents aged 40-49 years (47.7%) and 30-39 years (44.3%).
- Those that considered ‘only those people in the houses/units/townhouses next to you’ as their neighbours were most likely to be 15-29 years of age (25.7%).
- Residents aged 60 years and over recorded the highest proportion of respondents who considered ‘all the people in your block of units/townhouses’ as their neighbours while residents aged 30-39 years were most likely to nominate ‘all the people who live in the same block as you’ as their neighbours (15.6%).
- Those who consider their neighbours to be ‘all the people who live in the same street as you’ are most likely living in Paddington (48.3%), Edgecliff (47.1%) and Point Piper (44.4%).
- The largest proportion of respondents who believe that their neighbours are ‘only those people in the houses/units/townhouses next to you’ reside in Double Bay (31.7%) and Vaucluse (30.8%).
- The highest proportion of persons who believed that their neighbours were ‘all the people who live in the same street as you’ resided in a ‘separate house’ (48.5%) and a ‘semi attached row/terrace, villa/townhouse’ (45.0%).
- Residents who resided in a ‘flat in a block’ were most likely to believe that their neighbours were ‘all the people on your ‘block of units/townhouses (58.9% cumulative score).

- 'Couple families with children' recorded the highest proportion of persons who considered their neighbours as 'all the people who live in the same street as you' (46.3%), 'only those people in the houses/units/townhouses next to you' (22.9%) and 'all the people in the same suburb as you' (13.8%).
- 'Single occupants' recorded the largest proportion of persons who believed that their neighbours were 'no-one' across all household groupings.

Respondents were then asked in what circumstances have they helped neighbours or local friends. As can be seen in the **Table 7b** below, the top three responses were:

- Given advice (69.7%);
- Looked after their house while they were away (49.8%); and
- With transportation (47.8%).

Cross tabulations revealed:

- Persons aged 50- 59 years (77.3%) and 40-49 years (75.7%) were most likely to 'give advice' to their neighbours.
- Residents aged 60 years and over were most likely to assist their neighbours by 'giving advice' (61.8%), 'looking after their house while they were away' (48.7%) and 'with transportation' (40.1%).
- Respondents aged 50- 59 years recorded the highest proportion of persons who would help their neighbours by 'looking after their house while they were away' (61.8%) while respondents aged 40-49 years recorded the highest proportion of 'lent them household equipment' (50.5%).
- Residents aged 15-29 years are more likely to 'give advice' (65.1%), 'assist with transportation' (45.9%) and 'lend household equipment' to their neighbours (45.9%).
- Respondents living in the suburb of Woollahra recorded the highest proportion of assisting neighbours/local friends by 'giving advice' (81.4%), 'an emergency' (46.5%) and 'assisted them with shopping' (27.9%).
- The highest rate of assisting local friends/neighbours by 'looking after their house while they were away' (54.7%) and 'with transportation' (60.9%) came from respondents in Rose Bay.
- Respondents in Vaucluse (33.3%) and Double Bay (31.7%) recorded the highest rate of 'caring for a member of a neighbour/local friends family'.
- 'Couple families' (with and without children) recorded the highest proportion of persons who had assisted their neighbours by 'giving advice', 'looked after their house while they were away' and 'assisted them with shopping'.
- Residents who resided in a 'separate house' recorded the largest proportion of persons who would help their neighbours by 'looking after their house while they were away'.

'Other' (3.8%) specified responses include 'general help' (e.g. cooking, cleaning) and 'returning lost property'.

Table 7b: In which circumstances have you helped neighbours/local friends in the past 12 months?

Response	Prop. (%) *
Given advice	69.7%
Looked after their house while they were away (eg water plants, care for pets)	49.8%
With transportation	47.8%
Lent them household equipment	42.2%

Response	Prop. (%) *
An emergency	38.0%
Cared for a member of their family (children or adults)	25.2%
Assisted them with shopping	22.3%
Lent them money	6.8%
Other	3.8%

Note: * Multiple response question (percentages may not add to 100%).

Source: AECgroup

The next question asked respondents in what circumstances would they ask for help from local friends or neighbours (see **Table 7c**). The top three responses were:

- An emergency (77.8%);
- For advice (60.8%); and
- To look after your house while you're were away (58.5%).

The following summarises the top three reasons for asking neighbours for help by age groups:

- 15-29 years – An emergency (79.8%), for borrowing household equipment (62.4%) and for advice (61.5%).
- 30-39 years – An emergency (82.8%), for borrowing household equipment (59.0%) and for advice (59.0%).
- 40-49 years - An emergency (80.4%), for advice (69.2%) and to look after your house while you're were away (58.9%).
- 50-59 years - An emergency (80.0%), to look after your house while you're away (67.3%) and for advice (63.6%).
- 60 years and over - An emergency (69.1%), for advice (53.9%) and look after your house while you're away (52.0%).
- The largest proportion of respondents who would ask their neighbours for help in 'an emergency' reside in the suburbs of Double Bay (85.4%) and Bellevue Hill (83.2%).
- Of the sample group, residents in Paddington recorded the highest proportion for asking neighbours 'for advice' (68.1%) while respondents in Woollahra recorded the highest number of responses for 'borrowing household equipment' (59.3%).
- Persons who were 'couple families with children' (51.4%) and 'lived alone' (37.6%) recorded the highest proportion for asking their neighbours for 'transportation' assistance.
- Residents who resided in a 'flat in a one to three storey' (53.3%) and 'semi attached row/terrace, villa/townhouse' (50.3%) recorded the largest proportion for 'borrowing household equipment' from neighbours and local friends while persons who resided in a 'separate house' recorded the highest proportion for 'transportation' (47.6%).

'Other' specified (2.2%) responses include being 'willing/comfortable to ask for help' and asking for 'help at social gatherings'.

Table 7c: In which circumstances would you ask neighbours/local friends for help?

Response	Prop. (%) *
An emergency	77.8
For advice	60.8
To look after your house while you're away (e.g. water plants, care for pets)	58.5
For borrowing household equipment	48.3
For transportation	42.5
To care for a member of your family (children or adults)	24.8

Response	Prop. (%) *
Assistance with shopping	20.0
To borrow money	4.2
Other	2.2

Note: * Multiple response question (percentages may not add to 100%).

Source: AECgroup

The next question gauges whether people have established links within their local area by asking how likely is it that they will run into friends or acquaintances when they go shopping in their local area. Two in five persons reported that they would most likely run into friends and acquaintances (40.1%).

Table 7d: When you go shopping in your local area, how likely is it that you will run into friends and acquaintances?

Response	Prop. (%)
No response	0.3
Not at all	2.8
Low	13.5
Average	15.9
Somewhat	27.4
Very likely	40.1
Total	100.0

Source: AECgroup

Cross tabulations revealed:

- Persons who would be 'very likely' to run into friends or acquaintances when they go shopping in their local area were most likely to be in the younger age groups (15-29 and 30-39 years).
- Persons aged 50-59 years (29.1%) and 60 years and over (29.6%) were on average 'somewhat likely' to run into friends or acquaintances when they go shopping in their local area.
- Respondents in Double Bay (80.5%), Rose Bay (75.0%) and Woollahra (73.3%) recorded the highest proportion of respondents who are 'likely' or 'very likely' to run into friends or acquaintances when they go shopping in their local area.

Respondents were asked whether they thought their area provides adequate support for looking after children, elderly people and people with a disability in order to gauge perceptions of effectiveness in community service delivery (see **Table 10**). The statements achieving the highest weighted average scores included:

- I have a supportive network of family and friends (w/a of 4.22);
- My area provides adequate support for care of elderly people (w/a of 3.40); and
- My area provides adequate support for looking after children (w/a of 3.38)

'My area provides adequate support for the care of people with a disability' recorded the highest level of 'no responses' (50.2%) and, in general, residents rated this statement as 'average'.

Over half the sample 'disagreed' or 'strongly disagreed' with the statement that 'I have little to do with people in my neighbourhood' (54.3% or w/a of 2.62), suggesting that Woollahra is a cohesive community.

On average, respondents 'agree' to 'strongly agree' with the statement that 'I have a supportive network of family and friends' (w/a of 4.22), indicating that Woollahra residents have a strong network of family and friends.

Table 10: How strongly do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

Response	No response	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Average	Agree	Strongly Agree	Total	W/A
I have a supportive network of family and friends	1.7%	0.8%	3.2%	4.5%	55.0%	34.8%	100.0%	4.22
My area provides adequate support for care of elderly people	41.5%	4.2%	10.3%	8.8%	28.5%	6.7%	100.0%	3.40
My area provides adequate support for looking after children	38.8%	3.3%	12.8%	9.8%	27.5%	7.7%	100.0%	3.38
My area provides adequate support for the care of people with a disability	50.2%	5.3%	11.3%	11.7%	18.0%	3.5%	100.0%	3.06
I have little to do with people in my neighbourhood	2.2%	14.0%	40.3%	16.8%	22.5%	4.2%	100.0%	2.62

Source: AECgroup

The statements achieving the highest weighted average scores by age groupings included:

- 'I have a supportive network of family and friends' is the highest ranked statement across all age groups, particularly for respondents aged 15-29 (w/a of 4.38) and 30-39 years (w/a of 4.26)
- 'My area provides adequate support for looking after children' and 'my area provides adequate support for care of elderly people' achieved the highest weighed average scores amongst residents aged 15-29 years and residents 60 years and over.

The statements achieving the lowest weighted average scores by age groupings included:

- On average, 'my area provides adequate support for looking after children' achieved the lowest weighed average scores amongst residents aged 50-59 years (w/a of 3.09).
- 'My area provides adequate support for the care of people with a disability' achieved the lowest weighted average score amongst respondents aged 50-59 years (w/a of 2.78) and 30-39 years (w/a of 2.98).
- 'I have little to do with people in my neighbourhood' is the lowest ranked statement for all age groups, particularly for respondents aged 30-39 years (w/a of 2.48) and respondents aged 40-49 years (w/a of 2.50).

The statements achieving the highest weighted average scores by suburbs included:

- Respondents in Point Piper (w/a of 4.53) and Double Bay (w/a of 4.45) recorded the highest weighted average score for 'I have a supportive network of family and friends'.
- 'My area provides adequate support for care of elderly people' recorded the highest weighted average score amongst respondents in Watsons Bay (w/a of 3.88) and Woollahra (w/a of 3.65).
- 'My area provides adequate support for looking after children' achieved the highest weighted average score amongst residents in Watsons Bay (w/a of 4.14) but recorded the lowest rating amongst respondents in Darling Point (w/a of 2.97).

The following summary shows the lowest weighted average scores by suburbs:

- In comparison to other suburbs, ‘my area provides adequate support for the care of people with a disability’ is the lowest ranked statement for those who reside in Double Bay (w/a of 2.53).

4.8 Trust and Safety

The next questions asked respondents to rate their perceived levels of safety in the Woollahra area, their community specifically and safety in their own home.

Residents were generally in ‘agreement’ or tending towards ‘agreement’ with all three statements. The weighted average of agreement for each statement is as follows (see **Table 10**):

- I feel safe alone in my own home (w/a of 4.10);
- My area has a reputation for being a safe place (w/a of 3.85); and
- I trust those in my community (w/a of 3.72).

Table 10: How strongly do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

Response	No response	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Average	Agree	Strongly Agree	Total	W/A
I feel safe alone in my own home	1.2%	0.7%	3.5%	5.7%	64.5%	24.5%	100.0%	4.10
My area has a reputation for being a safe place	0.7%	2.3%	6.0%	12.8%	51.5%	26.7%	100.0%	3.85
I trust those in my community	3.7%	1.2%	5.8%	20.7%	60.0%	8.7%	100.0%	3.72

Source: AECgroup

The statements achieving the highest weighted average scores by age groupings included:

- ‘I feel safe alone in my own home’ achieved the highest weighed average score amongst respondents aged 30-39 years (w/a of 4.18) and respondents aged 40-49 years (w/a of 4.13).
- ‘I trust those in my community’ achieved the highest weighted average score amongst residents aged 60 years and over (w/a of 3.88) but scored relatively lower amongst residents aged 50-59 years (w/a of 3.59).

The statements achieving the lowest weighted average scores by age groupings included:

- On average, ‘my area has a reputation for being a safe place’ achieved the lowest score amongst respondents aged 60 years and over (w/a of 3.72) and 50-59 years (w/a of 3.77).
- ‘I feel safe alone in my own home’ achieved the lowest weighed average score amongst older respondents (i.e. aged 60 years and over and 50-59 years).

The weighted average scores by suburb are as follows:

- Point Piper, Double Bay and Woollahra recorded the highest weighted averages score for ‘I feel safe alone in my own home’ while Edgecliff reported the lowest weighted average score (w/a of 3.91).
- Vaucluse (w/a of 4.18) and Woollahra (w/a of 4.08) recorded the highest weighted average score across the municipality for ‘my area has a reputation for being a safe place’ while Double Bay received the lowest rating score for this statement (w/a of 3.71).
- ‘I trust those in my community’ achieved the highest weighted average score amongst residents in Double Bay (w/a of 3.87) and Darling Point (w/a of 3.84) while Watsons Bay received a relatively lower score (w/a of 3.55).

Residents were asked whether there were any places in the Woollahra area that they would not go for safety reasons (see **Table 11a**). The majority of persons indicated 'no' (67.5%) while nearly one in three respondents indicated 'yes' (32.5%).

Table 11a: Are there any places in the Woollahra area that you would not go for safety reasons?

Response	Prop. (%)
Yes	32.5%
No	67.5%
Total	100.0%

Source: AECgroup

Cross tabulations revealed:

- Persons aged 60 years and over represented one in four persons who would not go to certain areas in Woollahra due to safety reasons (25.6%).
- Residents in Point Piper (83.3%) and Woollahra recorded the highest proportion of 'no' responses while residents in Edgecliff (41.2%) and Darling Point (37.3%) recorded the highest rates for not going to certain areas in Woollahra (69.8%) due to safety reasons.

Those who indicated that there were places that they wouldn't go for safety reasons (32.5%) were asked to specify the location and the reasons.

The key location and factors that were identified by survey participants included:

- Parks – respondents cited a number of parks in the Woollahra area that they would not go because the area was isolated, had inadequate lighting, lack of police presence and that certain parks had a bad reputation.
- Bondi area – respondents indicated that they would not go to certain areas in Bondi because of personal perceptions that it was an unsafe place; the area had a history of aggressive episodes at night and it was a place where 'youth' often congregate.
- Streets/lanes/alleys – respondents thought that these areas had inadequate or no lighting and personal perceptions that it is an area where crime or assaults occur.

The next question asked respondents how safe they felt walking in their local area after dark. The largest proportion of respondents felt 'very safe' (37.5%) while 35.6% of respondents felt 'somewhat safe'.

Table 12: How safe do you feel walking in your area after dark?

Response	Prop. (%)
No response	2.2
Very unsafe	8.7
Somewhat unsafe	16.1
Somewhat safe	35.6
Very safe	37.5
Total	100.0

Source: AECgroup

Cross tabulations revealed:

- Persons in the younger age groups are more likely to feel 'safe' or 'very safe' walking in their area after dark (i.e. 15-29 years and 30-39 years).

- Older residents (i.e. aged 60 years and 50-59 years) are more likely to feel ‘very unsafe’ walking in their area after dark.
- Residents in Woollahra (50.0%) and Rose Bay (50.0%) are more likely to feel very safe walking in their area after dark while residents in Bellevue Hill (41.4%) and Vaucluse (43.6%) are more likely to feel ‘somewhat safe’.

Residents were then asked how likely it would be that their lost wallet would be returned with money in it (see **Table 13**). The highest proportion of respondents indicated that it would be ‘unlikely’ that their wallet would be returned with money in it (32.7%).

Table 13: If you lost a wallet/purse containing \$200, how likely is it that it would be returned with the money in it?

Response	Prop. (%)
No response	6.2
Very Unlikely	26.0
Unlikely	32.7
Somewhat Likely	22.4
Likely	8.0
Very Likely	4.7
Total	100.0
W/A	2.28

Source: AECgroup

Cross tabulations revealed:

- Residents aged 60 years and over (31.6%) and 40-49 years (29.2%) are more likely to think that if they lost their wallet, it would be ‘very unlikely’ that it would be returned with money.
- Respondents aged 15-29 years (39.4%) and 30-39 years (34.4%) are more likely to think that it would be ‘unlikely’.
- The age group that recorded the highest proportion of ‘somewhat likely’ responses was the 50-59 years age group (29.1%).
- Residents in Point Piper recorded the highest weighted average score (w/a of 2.81) while Watsons Bay recorded the lowest score across Woollahra (w/a of 1.90).

4.9 Pro-Activity

Residents were asked whether they have ever picked up other people’s rubbish in a public place. A significantly high proportion indicated ‘yes’ (85.3%), suggesting that the Woollahra LGA has a high level of proactivity in their community.

Table 8: Have you ever picked up other people’s rubbish in a public place?

Response	Prop. (%)
Yes	85.3
No	14.7
Total	100.0

Source: AECgroup

Cross tabulations revealed:

- The majority of persons in all age groups indicated that they have picked up other people’s rubbish in a public place, particularly persons aged 50-59 years (90.9%) and 30-39 years (90.2%).
- Residents in Paddington (90.4%) and Double Bay (90.2%) recorded the highest rates for picking up other people’s rubbish in a public place while residents in Watson Bay (72.7%) scored relatively lower.

4.10 Acceptance of Diversity & Inclusiveness

The next question asked respondents to rate a series of statement relating to acceptance of diversity and to inclusiveness.

Residents were generally in ‘agreement’ or tending towards ‘agreement’ with all statements. The weighted average of agreement for each statement is as follows (see **Table 10**):

- I enjoy living amongst people of different lifestyles (w/a of 4.09);
- My local community feels like home (w/a of 4.04);
- My area is a friendly place to live (w/a of 3.87); and
- My area is welcoming of people of different lifestyles (w/a of 3.56).

Table 10: How strongly do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

Response	No response	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Average	Agree	Strongly Agree	Total	W/A
I enjoy living amongst people of different lifestyles	5.2%	0.7%	2.8%	6.7%	61.3%	23.3%	100.0%	4.09
My local community feels like home	2.7%	0.3%	5.0%	8.2%	60.8%	23.0%	100.0%	4.04
My area is a friendly place to live	0.8%	1.0%	7.8%	16.0%	52.8%	21.5%	100.0%	3.87
My area is welcoming of people of different lifestyles	6.2%	2.7%	14.7%	16.5%	47.5%	12.5%	100.0%	3.56

Source: AECgroup

The statements achieving the highest weighted average scores by age groupings included:

- ‘I enjoy living amongst people of different lifestyles’ (w/a of 4.19) and ‘my local community feels like home’ (w/a of 4.12) recorded the highest weighted average score amongst respondents aged 15 –29 years.
- On average, ‘my area is a friendly place to live’ achieved the highest score amongst respondents aged 15 –29 years (w/a of 4.01) and respondents aged 30-39years (w/a of 3.92).

The statements achieving the lowest weighted average scores by age groupings included:

- ‘My area is a friendly place to live’ achieved a relatively lower score amongst residents aged 50-59 years (w/a of 3.80) and residents aged 40-49 years (w/a of 3.75).

- 'My area is welcoming of people of different lifestyles' achieved the lowest weighted average score amongst respondents aged 60 years and over (w/a of 3.50) and respondents aged 30-39 years (w/a of 3.49).

The following is a summary of the weighted average scores by suburbs:

- On average, Woollahra (w/a of 4.15), Double Bay (w/a of 4.15) and Darling Point (w/a of 4.15) recorded the highest weighted average score for 'I enjoy living amongst people of different lifestyles' while Point Piper (w/a of 3.79) recorded the lowest score.
- Paddington (w/a of 3.81) recorded the highest weighted average score for 'my area is welcoming of people of different lifestyles' while Double Bay recorded the lowest score for this statement (w/a of 3.33).
- 'My local community feels like home' achieved the highest score from residents in Double Bay (w/a of 4.11) and Paddington (w/a of 4.10) while Edgecliff (w/a of 3.84) received the lowest score.
- On average, 'my area is a friendly place to live' recorded the highest score in Rose Bay (w/a of 4.03) while Darling Point received the lowest score for this statement (w/a of 3.70).

4.11 Optimism

The next section asks respondents to rate a few statements relating to optimism in the Woollahra area and whether they feel valued by society.

On average, respondents were tending towards 'strongly agreeing' with both statements relating to optimism. The weighted average of agreement for each statement is as follows (see **Table 10**):

- 'I feel valued by society' (w/a of 3.84); and
- 'I have an optimistic view of the Woollahra area's future' (w/a of 3.80).

Close to 60.0% of respondents 'agreed' with the statement that 'I have an optimistic view of the Woollahra area's future' while 70.0% of respondents 'agreed' or 'strongly agreed' with the statement that 'I feel valued by society'.

Table 10: How strongly do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

Response	No response	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Average	Agree	Strongly Agree	Total	W/A
I feel valued by society	10.2%	1.0%	6.3%	12.5%	55.8%	14.2%	100.0%	3.84
I have an optimistic view of the Woollahra area's future	3.2%	2.0%	8.5%	11.8%	59.5%	15.0%	100.0%	3.80

Source: AECgroup

Cross tabulations revealed:

- 'I feel valued by society' achieved the highest weighted average score amongst residents aged 40-49 years (w/a of 3.93) but scored relatively lower amongst residents aged 50- 59 years (w/a of 3.72).

- 'I have an optimistic view of the Woollahra area's future' is the highest ranked statement amongst residents aged 15-29 years (w/a of 3.89) and residents aged 40-49 years (w/a of 3.87).
- On average, 'I have an optimistic view of the Woollahra area's future' achieved the lowest score amongst residents aged 50-59 years (w/a of 3.61).
- Point Piper (w/a of 4.06) recorded the highest weighted average score for 'I feel valued by society' while Edgecliff recorded the lowest score for this statement (w/a of 3.60).
- Respondents in the suburbs of Woollahra (w/a of 4.02) and Paddington (w/a of 3.85) had the highest levels of 'optimism for the future of Woollahra's area' while residents in Double Bay (w/a of 3.55) recorded the lowest levels of agreement for this statement.

Over half the sample believed that since living in the area, their local area has 'stayed about the same' (52.0%) (see **Table 14a**).

Table 14a: Since you've been living here, would you say that as a place to live your local area has:

Response	Prop. (%)
Become better	22.5%
Stayed about the same	52.0%
Become worse	22.5%
Don't know/no response	3.0%
Total	100.0%

Source: AECgroup

Cross tabulations revealed:

- Older residents are more likely to think that their local area has 'become worse' since they have been living here (i.e. residents aged 50 years and above).
- Respondents aged 15-29 years (64.2%) and 30-39 years (62.3%) are most likely to think that their area 'has stayed about the same'.
- On the other hand, respondents aged 40-49 years were more likely to think that their local area has 'become better' (27.4%).
- Residents in Woollahra (32.8%) recorded the highest proportion of respondents who felt that their area has 'become' better since living here while residents in Double Bay are more likely to think that their area has 'become worse' (34.1%).
- Residents in Rose Bay (64.1%) and Vaucluse (62.8%) recorded the highest proportion for believing that their area has 'remained the same'

The highest proportion of respondents believed that in the next five years, their local area will 'stay about the same' (42.2%), while one in four believed that it would 'become better' (see **Table 14b**).

Table 14b: In the next 5 years, would you say that as a place to live your local area will:

Response	Prop. (%)
Become better	25.2%
Stay about the same	42.2%
Become worse	22.0%
Don't know/no response	10.7%
Total	100.0%

Source: AECgroup

Cross tabulations revealed that:

- Residents aged 15-29 years (41.1%) are more likely to say that in the next five years, their local area will 'become better' while residents aged 30-39 years are more likely to say that 'it would stay about the same' (50.8%).
- Residents aged 50-59 years (30.9%) and 60 years and over (30.5%) are more likely to say that their local area will 'become worse' in the next five years.
- Respondents in Paddington are more likely to think that their local area will 'become better' in the next five years' (34.5%) while residents in Watsons Bay (63.6%) are more likely to think that it will 'remain the same'.

The overwhelming majority of respondents would recommend living in Woollahra to friends (94.3%) (see **Table 14c**).

Table 14c: Would you recommend living here to friends?

Response	Prop. (%)
Yes	94.3
No	5.7
Total	100.0

Source: AECgroup

Cross tabulations revealed that:

- The majority of respondents in all age groups would recommend living in Woollahra to their friends; particularly respondents aged 15-29 years (96.3%).
- Respondents in Watsons Bay and Bellevue Hill would most likely recommend living here to their friends.

5. Appendix A: Cross Tabulations

The following tables present the results of the research by selected multi-variate cross tabulations. Analysis was undertaken based on a selection, of the following demographic classifications: Age and Suburb.

5.1 Organised Activities

5.1.1 Frequency of Involvement in Organised Activities by Age

Table 1.1: Sporting/Recreation Group by Age (Q1 x Q15a)

	15-29	30-39	40-49	50-59	60+	Total
Don't Know	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.7%	0.7%	0.7%
Never	36.7%	48.4%	50.5%	57.3%	59.2%	51.0%
Rarely	8.3%	9.0%	9.3%	5.5%	8.6%	8.2%
Occasionally	14.7%	13.1%	8.4%	11.8%	7.2%	10.8%
Regularly	40.4%	29.5%	31.8%	22.7%	24.3%	29.3%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Source: AECgroup

Table 1.2: Church/Religious Group by Age (Q1 x Q15a)

	15-29	30-39	40-49	50-59	60+	Total
Don't Know	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.7%	0.2%
Never	64.8%	70.5%	68.2%	63.6%	56.6%	64.3%
Rarely	17.6%	7.4%	13.1%	5.5%	9.2%	10.4%
Occasionally	9.3%	13.9%	10.3%	18.2%	12.5%	12.9%
Regularly	8.3%	8.2%	8.4%	12.7%	21.1%	12.4%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Source: AECgroup

Table 1.3: Arts/Music/Drama Group by Age (Q1 x Q15a)

	15-29	30-39	40-49	50-59	60+	Total
Don't Know	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.9%	0.7%	0.3%
Never	80.7%	76.2%	76.4%	79.1%	83.4%	79.4%
Rarely	11.9%	8.2%	7.5%	5.5%	4.6%	7.4%
Occasionally	4.6%	7.4%	8.5%	6.4%	3.3%	5.9%
Regularly	2.8%	8.2%	7.5%	8.2%	7.9%	7.0%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Source: AECgroup

Table 1.4: Youth Group by Age (Q1 x Q15a)

	15-29	30-39	40-49	50-59	60+	Total
Don't Know	0.0%	0.0%	0.9%	2.8%	3.9%	1.7%
Never	81.7%	87.7%	90.7%	92.7%	93.4%	89.5%
Rarely	8.3%	4.1%	4.7%	1.8%	2.0%	4.0%
Occasionally	7.3%	6.6%	0.9%	1.8%	0.7%	3.3%
Regularly	2.8%	1.6%	2.8%	0.9%	0.0%	1.5%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Source: AECgroup

Table 1.5: Seniors Group by Age (Q1 x Q15a)

	15-29	30-39	40-49	50-59	60+	Total
Don't Know	0.9%	0.8%	0.9%	1.8%	0.7%	1.0%
Never	98.2%	97.5%	93.4%	94.5%	81.5%	92.3%
Rarely	0.9%	1.6%	2.8%	0.9%	2.6%	1.8%
Occasionally	0.0%	0.0%	1.9%	1.8%	6.0%	2.2%
Regularly	0.0%	0.0%	0.9%	0.9%	9.3%	2.7%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Source: AECgroup

Table 1.6: Parents/School Group by Age (Q1 x Q15a)

	15-29	30-39	40-49	50-59	60+	Total
Don't Know	0.9%	0.0%	0.9%	1.8%	4.6%	1.8%
Never	73.4%	64.8%	57.9%	84.5%	88.7%	74.8%
Rarely	6.4%	4.1%	8.4%	0.0%	2.6%	4.2%
Occasionally	8.3%	10.7%	11.2%	7.3%	2.0%	7.5%
Regularly	11.0%	20.5%	21.5%	6.4%	2.0%	11.7%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Source: AECgroup

Table 1.7: Residents Association by Age (Q1 x Q15a)

	15-29	30-39	40-49	50-59	60+	Total
Don't Know	4.6%	0.0%	1.0%	1.8%	0.7%	1.5%
Never	84.4%	82.6%	81.9%	78.9%	75.7%	80.4%
Rarely	3.7%	4.1%	5.7%	3.7%	3.9%	4.2%
Occasionally	3.7%	5.8%	7.6%	9.2%	10.5%	7.6%
Regularly	3.7%	7.4%	3.8%	6.4%	9.2%	6.4%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Source: AECgroup

5.1.2 Frequency of Involvement in Organised Activities by Suburb

Table 1.8: Sporting/recreation group by Suburb

	No response	Very Unlikely	Unlikely	Somewhat Likely	Likely	Very Likely	Total
15-29	4.6%	20.2%	39.4%	22.9%	11.0%	1.8%	100.0%
30-39	2.5%	24.6%	34.4%	25.4%	7.4%	5.7%	100.0%
40-49	3.8%	29.2%	32.1%	20.8%	7.5%	6.6%	100.0%
50-59	3.6%	22.7%	32.7%	29.1%	6.4%	5.5%	100.0%
60+	13.8%	31.6%	27.0%	15.8%	7.9%	3.9%	100.0%
Total	6.2%	26.0%	32.7%	22.4%	8.0%	4.7%	100.0%

Source: AECgroup

Table 1.9: Church/Religious Group by Suburb

	Bellevue Hill	Darling Point	Double Bay	Edgecliff	Paddington	Point Piper	Rose Bay	Vaucluse
Don't Know	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.9%	5.6%	1.6%	0.0%
Never	45.5%	54.9%	53.7%	52.9%	55.2%	44.4%	46.9%	46.2%
Rarely	9.9%	5.9%	14.6%	11.8%	12.1%	11.1%	6.3%	11.5%
Occasionally	9.9%	5.9%	14.6%	11.8%	12.1%	11.1%	6.3%	11.5%
Regularly	34.7%	33.3%	29.3%	20.6%	22.4%	33.3%	31.3%	37.2%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Source: AECgroup

Table 1.10: Arts/Music/Drama by Suburb

	Bellevue Hill	Darling Point	Double Bay	Edgecliff	Paddington	Point Piper	Rose Bay	Vaucluse
Don't Know	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	5.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Never	79.2%	80.4%	75.6%	67.6%	71.3%	88.9%	81.3%	84.6%
Rarely	3.0%	3.9%	12.2%	5.9%	8.7%	0.0%	4.7%	6.4%
Occasionally	3.0%	3.9%	12.2%	5.9%	8.7%	0.0%	4.7%	6.4%
Regularly	9.9%	7.8%	7.3%	11.8%	11.3%	0.0%	3.1%	5.1%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Source: AECgroup

Table 1.11: Youth Group by suburb

	Bellevue Hill	Darling Point	Double Bay	Edgecliff	Paddington	Point Piper	Rose Bay	Vaucluse
Don't Know	3.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	11.1%	1.6%	1.3%
Never	86.1%	90.2%	87.8%	94.1%	91.3%	83.3%	85.9%	96.2%
Rarely	5.9%	3.9%	0.0%	0.0%	1.7%	5.6%	4.7%	1.3%
Occasionally	5.9%	3.9%	0.0%	0.0%	1.7%	5.6%	4.7%	1.3%
Regularly	1.0%	2.0%	4.9%	0.0%	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%	1.3%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Source: AECgroup

Table 1.12: Seniors Group by suburb

	Bellevue Hill	Darling Point	Double Bay	Edgecliff	Paddington	Point Piper	Rose Bay	Vaucluse
Don't Know	3.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	5.6%	1.6%	0.0%
Never	93.1%	92.2%	95.0%	85.3%	93.9%	88.9%	92.2%	92.3%
Rarely	0.0%	5.9%	2.5%	2.9%	3.5%	0.0%	0.0%	2.6%
Occasionally	0.0%	5.9%	2.5%	2.9%	3.5%	0.0%	0.0%	2.6%
Regularly	3.0%	2.0%	0.0%	5.9%	0.9%	5.6%	3.1%	2.6%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Source: AECgroup

Table 1.13: Parents/school group by suburb

	Bellevue Hill	Darling Point	Double Bay	Edgecliff	Paddington	Point Piper	Rose Bay	Vaucluse
Don't Know	4.0%	0.0%	2.4%	0.0%	0.0%	11.1%	1.6%	0.0%
Never	72.0%	84.3%	85.4%	82.4%	70.7%	77.8%	70.3%	67.9%
Rarely	3.0%	5.9%	4.9%	2.9%	12.1%	5.6%	14.1%	7.7%
Occasionally	3.0%	5.9%	4.9%	2.9%	12.1%	5.6%	14.1%	7.7%
Regularly	15.0%	5.9%	7.3%	8.8%	10.3%	5.6%	10.9%	20.5%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Source: AECgroup

Table 1.14: Residents Association by suburb

	Bellevue Hill	Darling Point	Double Bay	Edgecliff	Paddington	Point Piper	Rose Bay	Vaucluse
Don't Know	3.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.8%	5.6%	1.6%	1.3%
Never	87.1%	74.5%	90.2%	79.4%	78.9%	77.8%	79.4%	84.4%
Rarely	5.0%	11.8%	4.9%	8.8%	9.6%	11.1%	6.3%	2.6%
Occasionally	5.0%	11.8%	4.9%	8.8%	9.6%	11.1%	6.3%	2.6%
Regularly	4.0%	9.8%	4.9%	2.9%	4.4%	5.6%	6.3%	6.5%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Source: AECgroup

5.2 Non Organised Activities

5.2.1 Frequency of Involvement in Non-Organised Activities by Age

Table 2a.1: Sporting/Recreation Activities by Age (Q2 x Q15a)

	Don't Know	Never	Rarely	Occasionally	Regularly	Total
15-29	0.0%	4.6%	3.7%	8.3%	83.5%	100.0%
30-39	0.0%	3.3%	3.3%	13.1%	80.3%	100.0%
40-49	0.0%	8.5%	0.9%	12.3%	78.3%	100.0%
50-59	0.9%	12.7%	1.8%	16.4%	68.2%	100.0%
60+	0.0%	21.1%	4.6%	15.1%	59.2%	100.0%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Source: AECgroup

Table 2a.2: Social Activities by Age (Q2 x Q15a)

	Never	Rarely	Occasionally	Regularly	Total
15-29	0.9%	0.9%	10.1%	88.1%	100.0%
30-39	1.6%	4.1%	18.0%	76.2%	100.0%
40-49	4.7%	3.7%	8.4%	83.2%	100.0%
50-59	0.9%	4.5%	20.0%	74.5%	100.0%
60+	4.6%	11.2%	28.9%	55.3%	100.0%
Total	2.7%	5.3%	18.0%	74.0%	100.0%

Source: AECgroup

Table 2a.3: Cultural Activities by Age (Q2 x Q15a)

	Don't Know	Never	Rarely	Occasionally	Regularly	Total
15-29	0.0%	11.1%	20.4%	38.0%	30.6%	100.0%
30-39	0.0%	12.3%	13.9%	35.2%	38.5%	100.0%
40-49	0.0%	5.6%	15.0%	22.4%	57.0%	100.0%
50-59	0.9%	9.1%	10.0%	35.5%	44.5%	100.0%
60+	0.0%	12.5%	16.4%	29.6%	41.4%	100.0%
Total	0.2%	10.4%	15.2%	32.1%	42.2%	100.0%

Source: AECgroup

5.2.2 Frequency of Involvement in Non-Organised Activities by Suburb

Table 2a.4: Sporting/Recreation Activities by Suburb

	Bellevue Hill	Darling Point	Double Bay	Edgecliff	Paddington	Point Piper	Rose Bay	Vaucluse	Watsons Bay	Woollahra	Total
Don't Know	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%
Never	10.9%	9.8%	9.8%	11.8%	8.7%	16.7%	7.8%	11.5%	0.0%	15.1%	10.7%
Rarely	6.9%	0.0%	4.9%	8.8%	2.6%	0.0%	0.0%	3.8%	0.0%	0.0%	3.0%
Occasionally	10.9%	23.5%	12.2%	14.7%	17.4%	11.1%	7.8%	6.4%	18.2%	14.0%	13.2%
Regularly	71.3%	66.7%	73.2%	61.8%	71.3%	72.2%	84.4%	78.2%	81.8%	70.9%	73.0%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Source: AECgroup

Table 2a.5: Social Activities by Suburb

	Bellevue Hill	Darling Point	Double Bay	Edgecliff	Paddington	Point Piper	Rose Bay	Vaucluse	Watsons Bay	Woollahra	Total
Don't Know	5.0%	0.0%	2.4%	8.8%	1.7%	5.6%	1.6%	2.6%	0.0%	1.2%	2.7%
Never	4.0%	9.8%	9.8%	0.0%	1.7%	5.6%	4.7%	9.0%	0.0%	7.0%	5.3%
Rarely	23.8%	13.7%	14.6%	14.7%	20.7%	16.7%	20.3%	12.8%	27.3%	15.1%	18.0%
Occasionally	67.3%	76.5%	73.2%	76.5%	75.9%	72.2%	73.4%	75.6%	72.7%	76.7%	74.0%
Regularly	5.0%	0.0%	2.4%	8.8%	1.7%	5.6%	1.6%	2.6%	0.0%	1.2%	2.7%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Source: AECgroup

Table 2a.6: Cultural Activities by Suburb

	Bellevue Hill	Darling Point	Double Bay	Edgecliff	Paddington	Point Piper	Rose Bay	Vaucluse	Watsons Bay	Woollahra	Total
Don't Know	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%
Never	12.9%	7.8%	7.3%	14.7%	6.9%	27.8%	7.9%	17.9%	9.1%	4.7%	10.4%
Rarely	20.8%	9.8%	17.1%	14.7%	8.6%	11.1%	22.2%	17.9%	36.4%	10.5%	15.2%
Occasionally	33.7%	25.5%	43.9%	35.3%	28.4%	33.3%	39.7%	21.8%	9.1%	38.4%	32.1%
Regularly	32.7%	56.9%	31.7%	35.3%	56.0%	27.8%	30.2%	41.0%	45.5%	46.5%	42.2%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Source: AECgroup

5.2.3 Frequency of Usage of Communication Modes by Age

Table 2b.1: Catching Up in Person by Age (Q2 x Q15a)

	Don't Know	Never	Rarely	Occasionally	Regularly	Total
15-29	0.0%	0.0%	0.9%	10.1%	89.0%	100.0%
30-39	0.0%	2.5%	4.9%	9.8%	82.8%	100.0%
40-49	0.9%	2.8%	0.9%	7.5%	87.9%	100.0%
50-59	0.9%	0.0%	2.7%	14.5%	81.8%	100.0%
60+	0.7%	2.7%	3.3%	26.0%	67.3%	100.0%
Total	0.5%	1.7%	2.7%	14.4%	80.8%	100.0%

Source: AECgroup

Table 2b.2: Home Phone by Age (Q2 x Q15a)

	Never	Rarely	Occasionally	Regularly	Total
15-29	2.8%	7.3%	19.3%	70.6%	100.0%
30-39	2.5%	8.2%	19.7%	69.7%	100.0%
40-49	0.9%	4.7%	15.0%	79.4%	100.0%
50-59	0.9%	2.7%	13.6%	82.7%	100.0%
60+	0.7%	3.9%	9.2%	86.2%	100.0%
Total	1.5%	5.3%	15.0%	78.2%	100.0%

Source: AECgroup

Table 2b.3: Mobile Phone by Age (Q2 x Q15a)

	Never	Rarely	Occasionally	Regularly	Total
15-29	1.8%	1.8%	11.0%	85.3%	100.0%
30-39	2.5%	4.9%	11.5%	81.1%	100.0%
40-49	6.5%	5.6%	11.2%	76.6%	100.0%
50-59	13.6%	10.9%	17.3%	58.2%	100.0%
60+	34.9%	17.8%	14.5%	32.9%	100.0%
Total	13.3%	8.8%	13.2%	64.7%	100.0%

Source: AECgroup

Table 2b.4: Text Message/ SMS by Age (Q2 x Q15a)

	Don't Know	Never	Rarely	Occasionally	Regularly	Total
15-29	0.0%	4.6%	3.7%	9.2%	82.6%	100.0%
30-39	0.0%	8.2%	13.9%	13.1%	64.8%	100.0%
40-49	0.0%	16.8%	15.9%	15.0%	52.3%	100.0%
50-59	1.8%	31.8%	14.5%	16.4%	35.5%	100.0%
60+	0.0%	63.2%	11.8%	8.6%	16.4%	100.0%
Total	0.3%	27.3%	12.0%	12.2%	48.2%	100.0%

Source: AECgroup

Table 2b.5: Email by Age (Q2 x Q15a)

	Never	Rarely	Occasionally	Regularly	Total
15-29	4.6%	10.1%	12.8%	72.5%	100.0%
30-39	2.5%	8.2%	6.6%	82.8%	100.0%
40-49	8.5%	5.7%	15.1%	70.8%	100.0%
50-59	16.4%	6.4%	11.8%	65.5%	100.0%
60+	50.0%	6.1%	8.8%	35.1%	100.0%
Total	18.3%	7.2%	10.8%	63.7%	100.0%

Source: AECgroup

Table 2b.6: Internet messenger/chat & social networking sites by Age (Q2 x Q15a)

	Don't Know	Never	Rarely	Occasionally	Regularly	Total
15-29	0.0%	30.3%	10.1%	11.9%	47.7%	100.0%
30-39	4.1%	60.7%	11.5%	6.6%	17.2%	100.0%
40-49	0.9%	64.5%	6.5%	10.3%	17.8%	100.0%
50-59	0.9%	70.6%	7.3%	3.7%	17.4%	100.0%
60+	1.3%	82.8%	2.0%	2.0%	11.9%	100.0%
Total	1.5%	63.2%	7.2%	6.5%	21.6%	100.0%

Source: AECgroup

5.2.4 Frequency of Usage of Communication Modes by Suburb

Table 2b.7: Catching Up in Person by Suburb

	Belleve Hill	Darling Point	Double Bay	Edgecliff	Paddington	Point Piper	Rose Bay	Vaucluse	Watsons Bay	Woollahra	Total
Don't Know	0.0%	2.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.5%
Never	1.0%	0.0%	2.4%	5.9%	0.0%	5.6%	6.3%	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%	1.7%
Rarely	1.0%	4.1%	2.4%	0.0%	2.6%	0.0%	4.7%	2.6%	9.1%	3.5%	2.7%
Occasionally	16.8%	14.3%	17.1%	20.6%	7.8%	11.1%	18.8%	15.4%	9.1%	14.0%	14.4%
Regularly	81.2%	79.6%	78.0%	73.5%	87.9%	83.3%	70.3%	80.8%	81.8%	82.6%	80.8%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Source: AECgroup

Table 2b.8: Home Phone by Suburb

	Belleve Hill	Darling Point	Double Bay	Edgecliff	Paddington	Point Piper	Rose Bay	Vaucluse	Watsons Bay	Woollahra	Total
Never	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.4%	0.0%	3.1%	1.3%	0.0%	1.2%	1.5%
Rarely	5.9%	2.0%	0.0%	8.8%	6.9%	0.0%	3.1%	5.1%	9.1%	8.1%	5.3%
Occasionally	14.9%	13.7%	19.5%	11.8%	19.0%	11.1%	18.8%	20.5%	0.0%	4.7%	15.0%
Regularly	78.2%	84.3%	80.5%	79.4%	70.7%	88.9%	75.0%	73.1%	90.9%	86.0%	78.2%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Source: AECgroup

Table 2b.9: Mobile Phone by Suburb

	Belleve Hill	Darling Point	Double Bay	Edgecliff	Paddington	Point Piper	Rose Bay	Vaucluse	Watsons Bay	Woollahra	Total
Never	8.9%	21.6%	19.5%	23.5%	9.5%	16.7%	9.4%	11.5%	18.2%	15.1%	13.3%
Rarely	6.9%	7.8%	7.3%	11.8%	8.6%	16.7%	6.3%	9.0%	18.2%	10.5%	8.8%
Occasionally	11.9%	9.8%	22.0%	14.7%	16.4%	22.2%	14.1%	3.8%	9.1%	14.0%	13.2%
Regularly	72.3%	60.8%	51.2%	50.0%	65.5%	44.4%	70.3%	75.6%	54.5%	60.5%	64.7%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Source: AECgroup

Table 2b.10: Text Message/ SMS by Suburb

	Bellevue Hill	Darling Point	Double Bay	Edgecliff	Paddington	Point Piper	Rose Bay	Vaucluse	Watsons Bay	Woollahra	Total
Don't Know	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.3%	0.0%	1.2%	0.3%
Never	17.8%	39.2%	36.6%	41.2%	20.7%	50.0%	18.8%	25.6%	36.4%	32.6%	27.3%
Rarely	17.8%	7.8%	17.1%	14.7%	11.2%	11.1%	7.8%	6.4%	18.2%	12.8%	12.0%
Occasionally	18.8%	15.7%	14.6%	5.9%	12.1%	5.6%	12.5%	6.4%	27.3%	8.1%	12.2%
Regularly	45.5%	37.3%	31.7%	38.2%	56.0%	33.3%	60.9%	60.3%	18.2%	45.3%	48.2%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Source: AECgroup

Table 2b.11: Email by Suburb

	Bellevue Hill	Darling Point	Double Bay	Edgecliff	Paddington	Point Piper	Rose Bay	Vaucluse	Watsons Bay	Woollahra	Total
Never	12.0%	23.5%	34.1%	30.3%	11.4%	38.9%	12.5%	15.6%	36.4%	19.8%	18.3%
Rarely	8.0%	7.8%	4.9%	9.1%	9.6%	5.6%	9.4%	7.8%	0.0%	2.3%	7.2%
Occasionally	15.0%	5.9%	12.2%	15.2%	11.4%	5.6%	7.8%	13.0%	0.0%	8.1%	10.8%
Regularly	65.0%	62.7%	48.8%	45.5%	67.5%	50.0%	70.3%	63.6%	63.6%	69.8%	63.7%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Source: AECgroup

Table 2b.12: Internet messenger/chat & social networking sites by Suburb

	Bellevue Hill	Darling Point	Double Bay	Edgecliff	Paddington	Point Piper	Rose Bay	Vaucluse	Watsons Bay	Woollahra	Total
Don't Know	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.9%	1.7%	0.0%	1.6%	1.3%	0.0%	3.5%	1.5%
Never	56.4%	68.0%	78.0%	73.5%	62.9%	61.1%	59.4%	61.0%	81.8%	60.5%	63.2%
Rarely	8.9%	6.0%	0.0%	2.9%	8.6%	0.0%	12.5%	5.2%	9.1%	8.1%	7.2%
Occasionally	5.9%	6.0%	9.8%	2.9%	6.9%	5.6%	7.8%	9.1%	0.0%	4.7%	6.5%
Regularly	27.7%	20.0%	12.2%	17.6%	19.8%	33.3%	18.8%	23.4%	9.1%	23.3%	21.6%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Source: AECgroup

5.3 Public Facilities used in the Region a few times per year

5.3.1 Frequency of Public Facilities used in the Region by Age (Q4 x Q15a)

Table 4.1: Public Facilities used – Few times per year (Q4 x Q15a)

	Parks	Local/ Corner shops	Beaches	Basketball /netball courts	Community Centre	Libraries	Pools	Playgrounds	Tennis Courts	Football fields/ ovals	Walk tracks	Art Gallery/ Museum
15-29	91.7%	88.1%	89.0%	23.9%	5.5%	59.6%	44.0%	33.9%	48.6%	50.5%	51.4%	46.8%
30-39	96.7%	89.3%	89.3%	12.3%	22.1%	53.3%	53.3%	59.0%	34.4%	43.4%	71.3%	62.3%
40-49	95.3%	91.6%	80.4%	14.0%	16.8%	46.7%	48.6%	37.4%	29.9%	39.3%	65.4%	66.4%
50-59	91.8%	85.5%	76.4%	4.5%	11.8%	55.5%	27.3%	12.7%	17.3%	18.2%	54.5%	70.9%
60+	78.9%	70.4%	50.7%	1.3%	10.5%	52.6%	19.1%	5.9%	9.9%	5.9%	38.2%	58.6%
Total	90.2%	84.0%	75.5%	10.5%	13.3%	53.5%	37.3%	28.7%	26.8%	29.8%	55.2%	60.8%

Source: AECgroup

5.3.2 Frequency of Public Facilities used in the Region by Suburb

Table 4.2: Public Facilities used – Few times per year (Q4 x Q15a)

	Belleve e Hill	Darling Point	Double Bay	Edgeclif f	Paddingto n	Point Piper	Rose Bay	Vauclus e	Watson s Bay	Woollah ra	Total
Parks	84.2%	92.2%	90.2%	85.3%	95.7%	72.2%	90.6%	92.3%	90.9%	91.9%	90.2%
Local/c orner shops	83.2%	86.3%	75.6%	67.6%	94.8%	38.9%	82.8%	87.2%	81.8%	87.2%	84.0%
Beache s	81.2%	66.7%	63.4%	64.7%	77.6%	55.6%	82.8%	82.1%	90.9%	72.1%	75.5%
Basketb all/netb all courts	17.8%	5.9%	2.4%	29.4%	0.9%	55.6%	18.8%	10.3%	0.0%	9.3%	10.5%
Commu nity Centre	15.8%	9.8%	12.2%	14.7%	16.4%	0.0%	7.8%	10.3%	9.1%	18.6%	13.3%
Librarie s	54.5%	33.3%	58.5%	35.3%	60.3%	27.8%	56.3%	56.4%	54.5%	60.5%	53.5%
Pools	32.7%	43.1%	29.3%	26.5%	48.3%	16.7%	31.3%	33.3%	27.3%	46.5%	37.3%
Playgro unds	28.7%	27.5%	12.2%	8.8%	32.8%	0.0%	29.7%	38.5%	9.1%	38.4%	28.7%
Tennis Courts	29.7%	23.5%	26.8%	5.9%	24.1%	16.7%	26.6%	32.1%	0.0%	38.4%	26.8%
Football fields/o vals	36.6%	25.5%	19.5%	17.6%	29.3%	5.6%	40.6%	34.6%	18.2%	29.1%	29.8%
Walking tracks	51.5%	47.1%	53.7%	47.1%	62.1%	27.8%	54.7%	66.7%	36.4%	57.0%	55.2%
Art Gallery/ Museu m	50.5%	72.5%	65.9%	55.9%	68.1%	33.3%	57.8%	51.3%	45.5%	74.4%	60.8%
Other	6.9%	2.0%	14.6%	5.9%	6.9%	5.6%	10.9%	5.1%	9.1%	3.5%	6.7%

Source: AECgroup

5.4 Voluntary Work

5.4.1 Frequency and Willingness to participate in Voluntary Work by Age

Table 5.1: Voluntary Work by Age (Q5 x Q15a)

	Yes	No	Total
15-29	52.3%	47.7%	100.0%
30-39	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%
40-49	48.6%	51.4%	100.0%
50-59	43.6%	56.4%	100.0%
60+	41.4%	58.6%	100.0%
Total	45.0%	55.0%	100.0%

Source: AECgroup

Table 5a.1: Environmental/recreation by Age (Q5 x Q15a)

	Don't Know	Never	Rarely	Occasionally	Regularly	Total
15-29	0.0%	66.7%	7.4%	14.8%	11.1%	100.0%
30-39	2.0%	67.3%	8.2%	10.2%	12.2%	100.0%
40-49	2.3%	77.3%	2.3%	9.1%	9.1%	100.0%
50-59	0.0%	70.7%	4.9%	9.8%	14.6%	100.0%
60+	4.1%	73.5%	2.0%	6.1%	14.3%	100.0%
Total	1.7%	70.9%	5.1%	10.1%	12.2%	100.0%

Source: AECgroup

Table 5a.2: Business/professional/union by Age (Q5 x Q15a)

	Don't Know	Never	Rarely	Occasionally	Regularly	Total
15-29	0.0%	80.0%	7.3%	5.5%	7.3%	100.0%
30-39	3.8%	71.2%	9.6%	7.7%	7.7%	100.0%
40-49	4.8%	78.6%	4.8%	2.4%	9.5%	100.0%
50-59	0.0%	75.6%	4.9%	4.9%	14.6%	100.0%
60+	2.1%	76.6%	0.0%	6.4%	14.9%	100.0%
Total	2.1%	76.4%	5.5%	5.5%	10.5%	100.0%

Source: AECgroup

Table 5a.3: Community/welfare/education by Age (Q5 x Q15a)

	Don't Know	Never	Rarely	Occasionally	Regularly	Total
15-29	0.0%	27.3%	12.7%	16.4%	43.6%	100.0%
30-39	3.9%	17.6%	3.9%	19.6%	54.9%	100.0%
40-49	4.0%	18.0%	4.0%	18.0%	56.0%	100.0%
50-59	0.0%	12.8%	14.9%	14.9%	57.4%	100.0%
60+	3.3%	31.7%	5.0%	13.3%	46.7%	100.0%
Total	2.3%	22.1%	8.0%	16.3%	51.3%	100.0%

Source: AECgroup

Table 5a.4: Arts and Culture by Age (Q5 x Q15a)

	Don't Know	Never	Rarely	Occasionally	Regularly	Total
15-29	0.0%	87.0%	5.6%	1.9%	5.6%	100.0%
30-39	2.0%	71.4%	8.2%	10.2%	8.2%	100.0%
40-49	2.4%	81.0%	2.4%	7.1%	7.1%	100.0%
50-59	0.0%	71.8%	7.7%	5.1%	15.4%	100.0%
60+	2.1%	70.2%	2.1%	8.5%	17.0%	100.0%
Total	1.3%	76.6%	5.2%	6.5%	10.4%	100.0%

Source: AECgroup

Table 5a.5: Health by Age (Q5 x Q15a)

	Don't Know	Never	Rarely	Occasionally	Regularly	Total
15-29	0.0%	68.5%	9.3%	7.4%	14.8%	100.0%
30-39	4.3%	76.6%	6.4%	4.3%	8.5%	100.0%
40-49	4.8%	69.0%	4.8%	4.8%	16.7%	100.0%
50-59	0.0%	81.0%	2.4%	4.8%	11.9%	100.0%
60+	4.2%	81.3%	2.1%	2.1%	10.4%	100.0%
Total	2.6%	75.1%	5.2%	4.7%	12.4%	100.0%

Source: AECgroup

Table 5b.1: Willingness to register or assist in Voluntary Work by Age (Q5 x Q15a)

	No response	Not at all	Low	Average	Somewhat	Very likely	Total
15-29	7.3%	9.1%	10.9%	29.1%	34.5%	9.1%	100.0%
30-39	4.1%	19.2%	26.0%	13.7%	19.2%	17.8%	100.0%
40-49	3.5%	26.3%	10.5%	14.0%	31.6%	14.0%	100.0%
50-59	6.3%	23.8%	12.7%	20.6%	30.2%	6.3%	100.0%
60+	4.6%	39.1%	16.1%	14.9%	20.7%	4.6%	100.0%
Total	5.1%	24.8%	15.8%	17.9%	26.3%	10.1%	100.0%

Source: AECgroup

5.4.2 Frequency and Willingness to participate in Voluntary Work by Suburb

Table 5.2: Voluntary Work by Suburb

	Bellevue Hill	Darling Point	Double Bay	Edgecliff	Paddington	Point Piper	Rose Bay	Vaucluse	Watsons Bay	Woollahra	Total
Yes	41.6%	47.1%	43.9%	32.4%	45.7%	44.4%	45.3%	47.4%	72.7%	46.5%	45.0%
No	58.4%	52.9%	56.1%	67.6%	54.3%	55.6%	54.7%	52.6%	27.3%	53.5%	55.0%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Source: AECgroup

Table 5a.6: Environmental/recreation by Suburb

	Bellevue Hill	Darling Point	Double Bay	Edgecliff	Paddington	Point Piper	Rose Bay	Vaucluse	Watsons Bay	Woollahra	Total
Don't Know	2.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	5.3%	1.7%
Never	63.9%	80.0%	80.0%	72.7%	80.0%	50.0%	74.1%	66.7%	42.9%	65.8%	70.9%
Rarely	8.3%	15.0%	6.7%	0.0%	2.0%	0.0%	7.4%	0.0%	0.0%	5.3%	5.1%
Occasionally	13.9%	0.0%	6.7%	9.1%	12.0%	16.7%	7.4%	11.1%	14.3%	10.5%	10.1%
Regularly	11.1%	5.0%	6.7%	18.2%	4.0%	33.3%	11.1%	22.2%	42.9%	13.2%	12.2%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Source: AECgroup

Table 5a.7: Business/professional/union by Suburb

	Bellevue Hill	Darling Point	Double Bay	Edgecliff	Paddington	Point Piper	Rose Bay	Vaucluse	Watsons Bay	Woollahra	Total
Don't Know	5.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	5.4%	2.1%
Never	65.8%	57.1%	86.7%	90.9%	79.6%	83.3%	85.7%	82.1%	100.0%	70.3%	76.4%
Rarely	5.3%	9.5%	6.7%	0.0%	8.2%	16.7%	7.1%	0.0%	0.0%	2.7%	5.5%
Occasionally	10.5%	14.3%	0.0%	0.0%	2.0%	0.0%	3.6%	0.0%	0.0%	10.8%	5.5%
Regularly	13.2%	19.0%	6.7%	9.1%	8.2%	0.0%	3.6%	17.9%	0.0%	10.8%	10.5%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Source: AECgroup

Table 5a.8: Community/welfare/education by Suburb

	Bellevue Hill	Darling Point	Double Bay	Edgecliff	Paddington	Point Piper	Rose Bay	Vaucluse	Watsons Bay	Woollahra	Total
Don't Know	4.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	7.9%	2.3%
Never	16.7%	17.4%	16.7%	50.0%	24.5%	44.4%	10.7%	21.2%	14.3%	26.3%	22.1%
Rarely	7.1%	17.4%	11.1%	0.0%	7.5%	0.0%	14.3%	6.1%	0.0%	5.3%	8.0%
Occasionally	21.4%	17.4%	11.1%	16.7%	15.1%	11.1%	10.7%	18.2%	14.3%	18.4%	16.3%
Regularly	50.0%	47.8%	61.1%	33.3%	50.9%	44.4%	64.3%	54.5%	71.4%	42.1%	51.3%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Source: AECgroup

Table 5a.9: Arts and Culture by Suburb

	Bellevue Hill	Darling Point	Double Bay	Edgecliff	Paddington	Point Piper	Rose Bay	Vaucluse	Watsons Bay	Woollahra	Total
Don't Know	3.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	5.6%	1.3%
Never	69.7%	63.6%	80.0%	81.8%	81.6%	100.0%	74.1%	88.9%	80.0%	69.4%	76.6%
Rarely	6.1%	18.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	7.4%	0.0%	0.0%	11.1%	5.2%
Occasionally	9.1%	4.5%	6.7%	0.0%	6.1%	0.0%	14.8%	3.7%	20.0%	2.8%	6.5%
Regularly	12.1%	13.6%	13.3%	18.2%	12.2%	0.0%	3.7%	7.4%	0.0%	11.1%	10.4%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Source: AECgroup

Table 5a.10: Health by Suburb

	Bellevue Hill	Darling Point	Double Bay	Edgecliff	Paddington	Point Piper	Rose Bay	Vaucluse	Watsons Bay	Woollahra	Total
Don't Know	5.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	8.3%	2.6%
Never	68.6%	85.7%	73.3%	90.9%	72.0%	66.7%	70.4%	85.2%	100.0%	69.4%	75.1%
Rarely	2.9%	9.5%	0.0%	0.0%	4.0%	0.0%	11.1%	3.7%	0.0%	8.3%	5.2%
Occasionally	8.6%	4.8%	13.3%	0.0%	4.0%	0.0%	3.7%	3.7%	0.0%	2.8%	4.7%
Regularly	14.3%	0.0%	13.3%	9.1%	18.0%	33.3%	14.8%	7.4%	0.0%	11.1%	12.4%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Source: AECgroup

Table 5b.2: Willingness to register or assist in Voluntary Work by Suburb

	Bellevue Hill	Darling Point	Double Bay	Edgecliff	Paddington	Point Piper	Rose Bay	Vaucluse	Watsons Bay	Woollahra	Total
No response	3.4%	7.7%	11.5%	0.0%	3.1%	11.1%	5.4%	7.5%	0.0%	4.3%	5.1%
Not at all	25.4%	30.8%	19.2%	39.1%	24.6%	22.2%	27.0%	15.0%	0.0%	25.5%	24.8%
Low	13.6%	11.5%	15.4%	13.0%	24.6%	33.3%	5.4%	12.5%	66.7%	14.9%	15.8%
Average	22.0%	23.1%	15.4%	13.0%	15.4%	11.1%	18.9%	12.5%	33.3%	21.3%	17.9%
Somewhat	18.6%	19.2%	23.1%	30.4%	23.1%	22.2%	35.1%	40.0%	0.0%	27.7%	26.3%
Very likely	16.9%	7.7%	15.4%	4.3%	9.2%	0.0%	8.1%	12.5%	0.0%	6.4%	10.1%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Source: AECgroup

5.5 Exercise Routine & Health

5.5.1 Frequency of Exercise Routine & General Health by Age (Q3 x Q15a)

Table 3a.1: Walked for 10 minutes by Age (Q3 x Q15a)

	Every day	Every second day	Weekly	Once a fortnight	Didn't walk	Can't walk
15-29	67.0%	22.9%	6.4%	0.9%	0.9%	1.8%
30-39	52.5%	32.0%	11.5%	2.5%	1.6%	0.0%
40-49	64.5%	26.2%	5.6%	0.9%	2.8%	0.0%
50-59	57.3%	27.3%	8.2%	2.7%	2.7%	0.9%
60+	56.6%	23.0%	8.6%	2.0%	1.3%	5.9%
Total	59.2%	26.2%	8.2%	1.8%	1.8%	2.0%

Source: AECgroup

Table 3b.1: Health Rating by Age (Q3 x Q15a)

	Poor	Fair	Moderate	Good	Excellent	No response/not sure
15-29	0.0%	0.9%	11.0%	57.8%	30.3%	0.0%
30-39	1.7%	7.4%	14.0%	44.6%	32.2%	0.0%
40-49	0.9%	4.7%	15.0%	48.6%	30.8%	0.0%
50-59	2.7%	6.4%	14.5%	48.2%	27.3%	0.9%
60+	7.2%	3.3%	17.8%	43.4%	28.3%	0.0%
Total	2.8%	4.5%	14.7%	48.1%	29.7%	0.2%

Source: AECgroup

5.5.2 Frequency of Exercise Routine & General Health by Suburb

Table 3a.2: Walked for 10 minutes by Suburb

	Bellevue Hill	Darling Point	Double Bay	Edgecliff	Paddington	Point Piper	Rose Bay	Vaucluse	Watsons Bay	Woollahra	Total
Every day	55.4%	52.9%	63.4%	58.8%	61.2%	61.1%	57.8%	55.1%	54.5%	67.4%	59.2%
Every second day	29.7%	33.3%	24.4%	23.5%	23.3%	22.2%	32.8%	20.5%	27.3%	24.4%	26.2%

	Bellevue Hill	Darling Point	Double Bay	Edgecliff	Paddington	Point Piper	Rose Bay	Vaucluse	Watsons Bay	Woollahra	Total
Weekly	11.9%	3.9%	7.3%	8.8%	8.6%	5.6%	3.1%	14.1%	9.1%	4.7%	8.2%
Once a fortnight	1.0%	3.9%	0.0%	5.9%	0.9%	5.6%	0.0%	3.8%	0.0%	1.2%	1.8%
Didn't walk	1.0%	2.0%	4.9%	0.0%	2.6%	0.0%	1.6%	3.8%	0.0%	0.0%	1.8%
Can't walk	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.9%	2.6%	5.6%	4.7%	1.3%	0.0%	2.3%	2.0%
No response	0.0%	3.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%	1.3%	9.1%	0.0%	0.8%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Source: AECgroup

Table 3b.2: Health Rating by Suburb

	Bellevue Hill	Darling Point	Double Bay	Edgecliff	Paddington	Point Piper	Rose Bay	Vaucluse	Watsons Bay	Woollahra	Total
Poor	1.0%	0.0%	4.9%	2.9%	0.0%	16.7%	7.8%	1.3%	0.0%	4.7%	2.8%
Fair	4.0%	7.8%	4.9%	5.9%	4.3%	5.6%	3.1%	6.4%	9.1%	1.2%	4.5%
Moderate	19.8%	7.8%	24.4%	17.6%	11.2%	0.0%	14.1%	14.1%	36.4%	12.9%	14.7%
Good	47.5%	51.0%	39.0%	47.1%	51.7%	55.6%	42.2%	46.2%	27.3%	54.1%	48.1%
Excellent	27.7%	33.3%	26.8%	26.5%	32.8%	22.2%	32.8%	32.1%	27.3%	25.9%	29.7%
No response/not sure	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.2%	0.2%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Source: AECgroup

5.6 What would allow members of the community to become more involved in the Local Area

6.6.1 Frequency of those who would or would not become more involved in the Local Area by Age (Q6 x Q15a)

Table 6.1: Most likely allow to become more involved in Local Area by Age (Q6 x Q15a)

	More free time	Financial capacity	Greater interest in an issue	Being asked to contribute	Feeling less cynical	Feeling you have some skills to contribute	Less of what doing now	Being more physically able	Being better informed	Nothing not interested	Other
15-29	52.0%	3.9%	15.7%	4.9%	0.0%	0.0%	1.0%	0.0%	13.7%	5.9%	2.9%
30-39	61.2%	6.0%	9.5%	3.4%	0.9%	0.9%	0.0%	0.9%	7.8%	5.2%	4.3%
40-49	57.9%	1.1%	9.5%	4.2%	1.1%	2.1%	1.1%	3.2%	6.3%	9.5%	4.2%
50-59	51.5%	2.0%	11.1%	8.1%	2.0%	1.0%	5.1%	4.0%	4.0%	8.1%	3.0%
60+	22.7%	0.8%	15.2%	4.5%	1.5%	3.0%	5.3%	26.5%	5.3%	13.6%	1.5%
Total	47.8%	2.8%	12.3%	5.0%	1.1%	1.5%	2.6%	7.9%	7.4%	8.6%	3.1%

Source: AECgroup

5.6.1 Frequency of those who would or would not become more involved in the Local Area by Suburb

Table 6.2: Most likely allow to become more involved in Local Area by Suburb

	Bellevue Hill	Darling Point	Double Bay	Edgecliff	Paddington	Point Piper	Rose Bay	Vaucluse	Watsons Bay	Woollahra	Total
More free time	44.1%	42.6%	64.1%	39.3%	57.4%	35.7%	47.4%	53.6%	9.1%	39.7%	47.8%
Financial capacity	4.3%	4.3%	2.6%	0.0%	1.9%	7.1%	0.0%	4.3%	0.0%	2.6%	2.8%
Greater interest in an issue	15.1%	10.6%	2.6%	21.4%	10.2%	14.3%	14.0%	11.6%	45.5%	9.0%	12.3%
Being asked to contribute	5.4%	6.4%	2.6%	0.0%	5.6%	0.0%	1.8%	5.8%	9.1%	7.7%	5.0%
Feeling less cynical	3.2%	2.1%	0.0%	3.6%	0.0%	0.0%	1.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.1%
Feeling you have some skills to contribute	0.0%	0.0%	2.6%	0.0%	1.9%	7.1%	1.8%	1.4%	0.0%	2.6%	1.5%
Doing less of what you are doing now	2.2%	2.1%	2.6%	0.0%	1.9%	0.0%	0.0%	2.9%	9.1%	6.4%	2.6%
Being more physically able	5.4%	10.6%	10.3%	17.9%	4.6%	21.4%	12.3%	2.9%	9.1%	7.7%	7.9%
Being better informed	5.4%	6.4%	2.6%	7.1%	7.4%	7.1%	8.8%	10.1%	0.0%	10.3%	7.4%
Nothing not interested	10.8%	14.9%	7.7%	10.7%	8.3%	7.1%	1.8%	7.2%	9.1%	9.0%	8.6%
Other	4.3%	0.0%	2.6%	0.0%	0.9%	0.0%	10.5%	0.0%	9.1%	5.1%	3.1%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Source: AECgroup

5.7 Neighbourhood

5.7.1 Frequency of Neighbourhood and Community Consciousness by Age

Table 7a.1: Woollahra community definition of neighbour by Age (Q7 x Q15a)

	Those people in the houses/units/townhouses next to you	The people in your block of units/townhouses	The people who live in the same street as you	The people who live in the same block as you	The same suburb as you	No-one	Other	Total
15-29	25.7%	11.9%	40.4%	11.0%	10.1%	0.0%	0.9%	100.0%
30-39	15.6%	5.7%	44.3%	15.6%	16.4%	1.6%	0.8%	100.0%
40-49	17.8%	8.4%	47.7%	12.1%	9.3%	1.9%	2.8%	100.0%
50-59	22.7%	10.0%	37.3%	10.9%	12.7%	4.5%	1.8%	100.0%
60+	19.1%	23.7%	27.6%	14.5%	9.2%	4.6%	1.3%	100.0%
Total	20.0%	12.7%	38.7%	13.0%	11.5%	2.7%	1.5%	100.0%

Source: AECgroup

Table 7b.1: Helped Neighbours or Local Friends in the past 12 Months by Age (Q7 x Q15a)

	Given advice	With transportation	Lent them household equipment	Looked after their house while they were away (eg water plants, care for pets)	Assisted them with shopping	Cared for a member of their family (children or adults)	Lent them money	An emergency
	65.1%	45.9%	45.9%	41.3%	16.5%	26.6%	12.8%	31.2%
	71.3%	51.6%	45.9%	48.4%	26.2%	29.5%	2.5%	45.9%
	75.7%	57.0%	50.5%	49.5%	23.4%	38.3%	7.5%	48.6%
	77.3%	47.3%	37.3%	61.8%	25.5%	17.3%	2.7%	39.1%
	61.8%	40.1%	34.2%	48.7%	20.4%	17.1%	6.6%	28.3%
	69.7%	47.8%	42.2%	49.8%	22.3%	25.2%	6.3%	38.0%

Source: AECgroup

Table 7c.1: Would ask help from Neighbours and Local Friends by Age (Q7 x Q15a)

	For advice	For transport	For borrowing household equipment	To look after your house while you're away (eg water plants, care for pets)	Assistance with shopping	To care for a member of your family (children or adults)	To borrow money	An emergency
15-29	61.5%	46.8%	62.4%	60.6%	16.5%	28.4%	7.3%	79.8%
30-39	59.0%	47.5%	59.0%	56.6%	18.9%	36.9%	3.3%	82.8%
40-49	69.2%	49.5%	52.3%	58.9%	21.5%	29.0%	3.7%	80.4%
50-59	63.6%	39.1%	44.5%	67.3%	22.7%	20.9%	2.7%	80.0%
60+	53.9%	32.9%	29.6%	52.0%	20.4%	12.5%	3.9%	69.1%
Total	60.8%	42.5%	48.3%	58.5%	20.0%	24.8%	4.2%	77.8%

Source: AECgroup

Table 7d.1: Likelihood of running into Friends or Acquaintances by Age (Q7 x Q15a)

	No response	Not at all	Low	Average	Somewhat	Very likely	Total	
15-29	0.0%	2.8%	13.8%	13.8%	25.7%	44.0%	100.0%	3.94
30-39	0.0%	4.1%	12.3%	14.8%	25.4%	43.4%	100.0%	3.92
40-49	0.0%	4.7%	15.1%	14.2%	26.4%	39.6%	100.0%	3.81
50-59	0.0%	0.9%	18.2%	14.5%	29.1%	37.3%	100.0%	3.84
60+	1.3%	2.0%	9.9%	20.4%	29.6%	36.8%	100.0%	3.91
Total	0.3%	2.8%	13.5%	15.9%	27.4%	40.1%	100.0%	3.89

Source: AECgroup

5.7.2 Frequency of Neighbourhood and Community Consciousness by Age

Table 7a.2: Woollahra community definition of neighbour by Suburb

	Bellevue Hill	Darling Point	Double Bay	Edgecliff	Paddington	Point Piper	Rose Bay	Vaucluse	Watsons Bay	Woollahra	Total
Only those people in the houses/units/townhouses next to you	20.8%	17.6%	31.7%	11.8%	16.4%	11.1%	21.9%	30.8%	18.2%	14.0%	20.0%
All the people in your block of units/townhouses	14.9%	17.6%	17.1%	23.5%	6.0%	33.3%	12.5%	5.1%	9.1%	12.8%	12.7%
All the people who live in the same street as you	41.6%	21.6%	22.0%	47.1%	48.3%	44.4%	32.8%	39.7%	27.3%	40.7%	38.7%
All the people who live in the same block as you	10.9%	17.6%	12.2%	8.8%	11.2%	11.1%	20.3%	10.3%	9.1%	15.1%	13.0%
All the people who live in the same suburb as you	7.9%	19.6%	12.2%	2.9%	12.1%	0.0%	6.3%	12.8%	36.4%	15.1%	11.5%
No-one	3.0%	3.9%	0.0%	5.9%	2.6%	0.0%	6.3%	1.3%	0.0%	1.2%	2.7%
Other	1.0%	2.0%	4.9%	0.0%	3.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.2%	1.5%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Source: AECgroup

Table 7b.2: Helped Neighbours or Local Friends in the past 12 Months by Suburb

	Bellevue Hill	Darling Point	Double Bay	Edgecliff	Paddington	Point Piper	Rose Bay	Vaucluse	Watsons Bay	Woollahra	Total
Given advice	67.3%	62.7%	73.2%	58.8%	70.7%	72.2%	70.3%	62.8%	81.8%	81.4%	69.7%
With transportation	49.5%	49.0%	51.2%	26.5%	43.1%	38.9%	60.9%	44.9%	54.5%	52.3%	47.8%
Lent them household equipment	39.6%	35.3%	43.9%	35.3%	50.9%	11.1%	37.5%	43.6%	36.4%	48.8%	42.2%
Looked after their house while they were away	50.5%	35.3%	53.7%	47.1%	50.9%	33.3%	54.7%	53.8%	54.5%	51.2%	49.8%
Assisted them with shopping	24.8%	19.6%	22.0%	23.5%	20.7%	5.6%	25.0%	19.2%	18.2%	27.9%	22.3%
Cared for a member of their family (children or adults)	26.7%	27.5%	31.7%	8.8%	24.1%	5.6%	23.4%	33.3%	27.3%	24.4%	25.2%
Lent them money	6.9%	11.8%	9.8%	5.9%	5.2%	5.6%	3.1%	2.6%	9.1%	8.1%	6.3%
An emergency	34.7%	31.4%	46.3%	20.6%	42.2%	44.4%	40.6%	30.8%	36.4%	46.5%	38.0%
Other	5.9%	2.0%	2.4%	2.9%	2.6%	5.6%	3.1%	3.8%	0.0%	4.7%	3.7%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Source: AECgroup

Table 7c.2: Would ask help from Neighbours and Local Friends by Suburb

	Bellevue Hill	Darling Point	Double Bay	Edgecliff	Paddington	Point Piper	Rose Bay	Vaucluse	Watsons Bay	Woollahra	Total
For advice	60.4%	54.9%	61.0%	64.7%	68.1%	50.0%	67.2%	52.6%	54.5%	59.3%	60.8%
For transportation	46.5%	37.3%	46.3%	32.4%	42.2%	22.2%	54.7%	42.3%	27.3%	40.7%	42.5%
For borrowing household equipment	47.5%	41.2%	48.8%	38.2%	53.4%	16.7%	54.7%	43.6%	27.3%	59.3%	48.3%
To look after your house while you're away	57.4%	43.1%	68.3%	67.6%	62.1%	33.3%	59.4%	55.1%	54.5%	64.0%	58.5%
Assistance with shopping	16.8%	17.6%	19.5%	23.5%	19.0%	27.8%	26.6%	21.8%	18.2%	17.4%	20.0%
To care for a member of your family (children or adults)	28.7%	19.6%	24.4%	17.6%	19.8%	0.0%	39.1%	28.2%	9.1%	26.7%	24.8%
To borrow money	4.0%	7.8%	7.3%	5.9%	4.3%	5.6%	6.3%	2.6%	0.0%	2.3%	4.2%
An emergency	83.2%	74.5%	85.4%	70.6%	75.9%	66.7%	78.1%	78.2%	81.8%	76.7%	77.8%
Other	1.0%	2.0%	0.0%	5.9%	1.7%	5.6%	1.6%	2.6%	0.0%	3.5%	2.2%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Source: AECgroup

Table 7d.2: Likelihood of running into Friends or Acquaintances by Suburb

	Bellevue Hill	Darling Point	Double Bay	Edgecliff	Paddington	Point Piper	Rose Bay	Vaucluse	Watsons Bay	Woollahra	Total
No response	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%
Not at all	2.0%	3.9%	2.4%	2.9%	2.6%	0.0%	3.1%	5.1%	0.0%	2.3%	2.8%
Low	15.0%	7.8%	2.4%	14.7%	19.0%	16.7%	12.5%	12.8%	9.1%	14.0%	13.5%
Average	13.0%	21.6%	14.6%	14.7%	19.8%	22.2%	9.4%	17.9%	36.4%	10.5%	15.9%
Somewhat	27.0%	29.4%	26.8%	35.3%	31.9%	5.6%	23.4%	26.9%	27.3%	25.6%	27.4%
Very likely	43.0%	37.3%	53.7%	29.4%	26.7%	55.6%	51.6%	35.9%	27.3%	47.7%	40.1%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Source: AECgroup

5.7.3 Frequency of Neighbourhood and Community Consciousness by Dwelling Structure

Table 7a.3: Woollahra community definition of neighbour by Dwelling Structure (Q7 x Q15c)

Response	Separate house	Semi attached, row/terrace, villa/townhouse	Flat in a block 1-3 storeys	Flat in a block over 1-3 storeys	Total
Only those people in the houses/units/townhouses next to you	24.5%	19.9%	16.7%	11.0%	20.1%
All the people in your block of units/townhouses	3.5%	7.0%	23.3%	35.6%	12.7%
All the people who live in the same street as you	48.5%	45.0%	25.0%	16.4%	38.5%
All the people who live in the same block as you	8.7%	13.5%	19.2%	16.4%	13.0%
All the people who live in the same suburb as you	13.1%	9.9%	10.8%	12.3%	11.5%
No-one	1.3%	2.9%	3.3%	4.1%	2.7%
Other	0.4%	1.8%	1.7%	4.1%	1.5%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Source: AECgroup

Table 7b.3: Helped Neighbours or Local Friends in the past 12 Months by Dwelling Structure (Q7 x Q15c)

Response	Separate house	Semi attached, row/terrace, villa/townhouse	Flat in a block 1-3 storeys	Flat in a block over 1-3 storeys	Total
Given advice	67.2%	73.1%	75.0%	61.6%	69.7%
With transportation	47.2%	43.9%	55.8%	46.6%	47.8%
Lent them household equipment	40.6%	46.2%	43.3%	37.0%	42.3%
Looked after their house while they were away	53.3%	52.0%	45.8%	42.5%	49.8%
Assisted them with shopping	20.5%	23.4%	22.5%	23.3%	22.4%
Cared for a member of their family (children or adults)	28.8%	25.1%	21.7%	20.5%	25.3%
Lent them money	6.1%	4.7%	7.5%	9.6%	6.4%
An emergency	38.4%	35.7%	43.3%	32.9%	38.1%
Other	2.6%	2.3%	5.8%	6.8%	3.7%

Source: AECgroup

Table 7c.3: Would ask help from Neighbours and Local Friends by Dwelling Structure (Q7 x Q15c)

Response	Separate house	Semi attached, row/terrace, villa/townhouse	Flat in a block 1-3 storeys	Flat in a block over 1-3 storeys	Total
For advice	57.6%	66.7%	60.0%	60.3%	61.0%
For transportation	47.6%	36.3%	43.3%	39.7%	42.6%
For borrowing household equipment	48.9%	50.3%	53.3%	34.2%	48.5%
To look after your house while you're were away	59.8%	62.6%	56.7%	52.1%	58.7%

Response	Separate house	Semi attached, row/terrace, villa/townhouse	Flat in a block 1-3 storeys	Flat in a block over 1-3 storeys	Total
Assistance with shopping	18.8%	18.1%	24.2%	20.5%	20.1%
To care for a member of your family (children or adults)	27.9%	22.2%	26.7%	20.5%	24.9%
To borrow money	2.2%	3.5%	7.5%	6.8%	4.2%
An emergency	78.6%	80.1%	79.2%	69.9%	77.9%
Other	2.2%	0.6%	3.3%	4.1%	2.2%

Source: AECgroup

5.7.4 Frequency of Neighbourhood and Community Consciousness by Household Structure

Table 7a.4: Woollahra community definition of neighbour by Household Structure

Response	Live alone	Couple without children	Couple with children	Single parent with children	Total
Only those people in the houses/units/townhouses next to you	18.4%	12.9%	22.9%	18.4%	20.0%
All the people in your block of units/townhouses	23.4%	15.7%	4.1%	18.4%	12.7%
All the people who live in the same street as you	28.4%	38.6%	46.3%	39.5%	38.6%
All the people who live in the same block as you	11.3%	17.9%	11.0%	13.2%	13.0%
All the people who live in the same suburb as you	10.6%	10.7%	13.8%	7.9%	11.5%
No-one	6.4%	3.6%	0.0%	2.6%	2.7%
Other	1.4%	0.7%	1.8%	0.0%	1.5%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Source: AECgroup

Table 7b.4: Helped Neighbours or Local Friends in the past 12 Months by Household Structure

Response	Live alone	Couple without children	Couple with children	Single parent with children	Total
Given advice	67.4%	74.3%	68.8%	65.8%	69.8%
With transportation	34.8%	52.1%	53.7%	55.3%	47.9%
Lent them household equipment	35.5%	45.0%	46.8%	31.6%	42.2%
Looked after their house while they were away	43.3%	57.1%	49.5%	52.6%	49.9%
Assisted them with shopping	19.9%	20.0%	25.7%	18.4%	22.4%
Cared for a member of their family (children or adults)	14.9%	19.3%	39.4%	13.2%	25.2%
Lent them money	7.8%	1.4%	7.3%	2.6%	6.3%
An emergency	31.2%	40.7%	43.1%	26.3%	38.1%
Other	5.7%	2.9%	2.8%	5.3%	3.7%

Source: AECgroup

Table 7c.4: Would ask help from Neighbours and Local Friends by Household Structure

Response	Live alone	Couple without children	Couple with children	Single parent with children	Total
For advice	58.2%	62.1%	64.2%	50.0%	60.9%
For transportation	37.6%	37.1%	51.4%	44.7%	42.6%
For borrowing household equipment	34.8%	47.1%	58.7%	47.4%	48.4%
To look after your house while you're were away	54.6%	55.7%	64.2%	52.6%	58.6%
Assistance with shopping	21.3%	15.0%	22.5%	15.8%	20.0%
To care for a member of your family (children or adults)	7.8%	17.1%	41.3%	31.6%	24.9%
To borrow money	4.3%	2.9%	4.6%	0.0%	4.2%
An emergency	75.9%	77.1%	82.1%	73.7%	78.0%
Other	2.1%	4.3%	0.9%	2.6%	2.2%

Source: AECgroup

5.8 Public Rubbish

5.8.1 Frequency of Community Members Picking up other People’s Rubbish by Age (Q13 x Q15a)

Table 8.1: Picking Up other People’s Rubbish in a Public Place by Age (Q8 x Q15a)

	Yes	No	Total
15-29	17.5%	18.3%	100.0%
30-39	90.2%	9.8%	100.0%
40-49	89.6%	10.4%	100.0%
50-59	90.9%	9.1%	100.0%
60+	76.7%	23.3%	100.0%
Total	85.3%	14.7%	100.0%

Source: AECgroup

5.8.2 Frequency of Community Members Picking up other People’s Rubbish by Suburb

Table 8.2: Picking Up other People’s Rubbish in a Public Place by Suburb

	Bellevue Hill	Darling Point	Double Bay	Edgecliff	Paddington	Point Piper	Rose Bay	Vaucluse	Watsons Bay	Woollahra	Total
Yes	82.0%	82.4%	90.2%	88.2%	90.4%	77.8%	79.4%	83.3%	72.7%	89.5%	85.3%
No	18.0%	17.6%	9.8%	11.8%	9.6%	22.2%	20.6%	16.7%	27.3%	10.5%	14.7%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Source: AECgroup

5.9 Questions About the Area

5.9.1 Frequency of Area Safety, Area Friendliness, Area being Welcoming of People of Different Lifestyles and Support for Children, the Elderly and People with Disabilities by Age

Table 9.1: Area Reputation for Being a Safe Place by Age (Q9 x Q15a)

	No response	Strongly DisAgree	Disagree	Average	Agree	Strongly Agree	Total	W/A
15-29	0.0%	1.8%	3.7%	8.3%	55.0%	31.2%	100.0%	4.10
30-39	0.0%	2.5%	3.3%	9.8%	46.7%	37.7%	100.0%	4.14
40-49	0.0%	1.9%	0.9%	16.0%	50.9%	30.2%	100.0%	4.07
50-59	0.0%	1.8%	9.1%	15.5%	57.3%	16.4%	100.0%	3.77
60+	2.0%	3.3%	11.2%	14.5%	49.3%	19.7%	100.0%	3.72
Total	0.5%	2.3%	6.0%	12.9%	51.6%	26.7%	100.0%	3.95

Source: AECgroup

Table 9.2: Area Reputation for Friendliness of Place by Age (Q9 x Q15a)

	No response	Strongly DisAgree	Disagree	Average	Agree	Strongly Agree	Total	W/A
15-29	0.0%	0.9%	4.6%	15.6%	50.5%	28.4%	100.0%	4.01
30-39	0.0%	1.6%	6.6%	16.4%	49.2%	26.2%	100.0%	3.92
40-49	0.0%	0.9%	14.2%	15.1%	48.1%	21.7%	100.0%	3.75
50-59	0.0%	0.9%	7.3%	17.3%	60.0%	14.5%	100.0%	3.80
60+	2.6%	0.7%	7.2%	15.8%	55.9%	17.8%	100.0%	3.85
Total	0.7%	1.0%	7.8%	16.0%	52.9%	21.5%	100.0%	3.87

Source: AECgroup

Table 9.3: Welcoming of People of Different Lifestyles by Age (Q9 x Q15a)

	No response	Strongly DisAgree	Disagree	Average	Agree	Strongly Agree	Total	W/A
15-29	0.9%	2.8%	11.9%	19.3%	47.7%	17.4%	100.0%	3.66
30-39	2.5%	4.9%	14.8%	19.7%	44.3%	13.9%	100.0%	3.49
40-49	5.7%	1.9%	16.0%	17.9%	43.4%	15.1%	100.0%	3.57
50-59	4.5%	3.6%	10.0%	15.5%	58.2%	8.2%	100.0%	3.60
60+	13.8%	0.7%	19.1%	11.8%	45.4%	9.2%	100.0%	3.50
Total	6.0%	2.7%	14.7%	16.5%	47.6%	12.5%	100.0%	3.56

Source: AECgroup

Table 9.4: Adequate Support For Looking After Children by Age (Q9 x Q15a)

	No response	Strongly DisAgree	Disagree	Average	Agree	Strongly Agree	Total	W/A
15-29	29.4%	0.9%	10.1%	12.8%	31.2%	15.6%	100.0%	3.71
30-39	23.8%	7.4%	18.0%	12.3%	29.5%	9.0%	100.0%	3.19
40-49	37.7%	3.8%	11.3%	11.3%	27.4%	8.5%	100.0%	3.41
50-59	58.2%	3.6%	11.8%	6.4%	17.3%	2.7%	100.0%	3.09
60+	44.1%	1.3%	12.5%	7.2%	30.9%	3.9%	100.0%	3.42
Total	38.7%	3.3%	12.9%	9.8%	27.5%	7.7%	100.0%	3.38

Source: AECgroup

Table 9.5: Adequate Support for the Care of Elderly People (Q9x Q15a)

	No response	Strongly DisAgree	Disagree	Average	Agree	Strongly Agree	Total	W/A
15-29	29.4%	4.6%	9.2%	11.9%	35.8%	9.2%	100.0%	3.51
30-39	50.0%	3.3%	10.7%	9.8%	21.3%	4.9%	100.0%	3.28
40-49	48.1%	4.7%	11.3%	9.4%	17.9%	8.5%	100.0%	3.27
50-59	51.8%	3.6%	8.2%	5.5%	28.2%	2.7%	100.0%	3.38
60+	30.5%	4.6%	11.9%	7.9%	37.1%	7.9%	100.0%	3.46
Total	41.3%	4.2%	10.4%	8.9%	28.6%	6.7%	100.0%	3.40

Source: AECgroup

Table 9.6: Adequate Support for the Care of People with Disabilities (Q9x Q15a)

	No response	Strongly DisAgree	Disagree	Average	Agree	Strongly Agree	Total	W/A
15-29	39.4%	1.8%	14.7%	16.5%	23.9%	3.7%	100.0%	3.21
30-39	54.9%	4.9%	10.7%	12.3%	14.8%	2.5%	100.0%	2.98
40-49	50.0%	6.6%	10.4%	12.3%	14.2%	6.6%	100.0%	3.08
50-59	59.1%	6.4%	10.9%	10.0%	12.7%	0.9%	100.0%	2.78
60+	47.0%	6.6%	10.6%	8.6%	23.2%	4.0%	100.0%	3.14
Total	50.0%	5.4%	11.4%	11.7%	18.1%	3.5%	100.0%	3.06

Source: AECgroup

5.9.2 Frequency of Area Safety, Area Friendliness, Area being Welcoming of People of Different Lifestyles and Support for Children, the Elderly and People with Disabilities by Suburb.

Table 9.7: Area Reputation for Being a Safe Place by Suburb

	Bellevue Hill	Darling Point	Double Bay	Edgecliff	Paddington	Point Piper	Rose Bay	Vaucluse	Watsons Bay	Woollahra	Total
No response	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.7%	0.0%	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.5%
Strongly Disagree	2.0%	3.9%	7.3%	2.9%	2.6%	5.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.3%	2.3%
Disagree	7.0%	13.7%	4.9%	17.6%	6.0%	5.6%	1.6%	3.8%	0.0%	2.3%	6.0%
Average	13.0%	11.8%	9.8%	14.7%	17.2%	22.2%	4.7%	9.0%	27.3%	14.0%	12.9%
Agree	43.0%	43.1%	65.9%	47.1%	52.6%	27.8%	70.3%	52.6%	72.7%	47.7%	51.6%
Strongly Agree	35.0%	27.5%	12.2%	17.6%	19.8%	38.9%	21.9%	34.6%	0.0%	33.7%	26.7%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
W/A	4.02	3.76	3.71	3.59	3.82	3.89	4.14	4.18	3.73	4.08	3.95

Source: AECgroup

Table 9.8: Area Reputation for Friendliness of Place by Suburb

	Bellevue Hill	Darling Point	Double Bay	Edgecliff	Paddington	Point Piper	Rose Bay	Vaucluse	Watsons Bay	Woollahra	Total
No response	1.0%	2.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.9%	0.0%	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.7%
Strongly Disagree	0.0%	3.9%	0.0%	2.9%	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%	1.3%	0.0%	1.2%	1.0%
Disagree	13.0%	5.9%	9.8%	8.8%	3.4%	5.6%	4.7%	14.1%	0.0%	5.8%	7.8%
Average	19.0%	23.5%	9.8%	17.6%	12.9%	22.2%	10.9%	14.1%	18.2%	18.6%	16.0%
Agree	50.0%	47.1%	65.9%	52.9%	57.8%	55.6%	59.4%	42.3%	63.6%	50.0%	52.9%
Strongly Agree	17.0%	17.6%	14.6%	17.6%	24.1%	16.7%	23.4%	28.2%	18.2%	24.4%	21.5%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
W/A	3.72	3.70	3.85	3.74	4.02	3.83	4.03	3.82	4.00	3.91	3.87

Source: AECgroup

Table 9.9: Welcoming of People of Different Lifestyles by Suburb

	Bellevue Hill	Darling Point	Double Bay	Edgecliff	Paddington	Point Piper	Rose Bay	Vaucluse	Watsons Bay	Woollahra	Total
No response	3.0%	11.8%	2.4%	8.8%	3.4%	27.8%	4.7%	5.1%	0.0%	8.1%	6.0%
Strongly Disagree	4.0%	5.9%	2.4%	2.9%	0.9%	0.0%	1.6%	3.8%	0.0%	2.3%	2.7%
Disagree	10.0%	9.8%	19.5%	17.6%	11.2%	16.7%	10.9%	21.8%	18.2%	19.8%	14.7%
Average	17.0%	19.6%	26.8%	11.8%	12.9%	11.1%	20.3%	12.8%	18.2%	17.4%	16.5%
Agree	51.0%	43.1%	41.5%	50.0%	51.7%	33.3%	53.1%	42.3%	54.5%	45.3%	47.6%
Strongly Agree	15.0%	9.8%	7.3%	8.8%	19.8%	11.1%	9.4%	14.1%	9.1%	7.0%	12.5%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
W/A	3.65	3.47	3.33	3.48	3.81	3.54	3.61	3.43	3.55	3.38	3.56

Source: AECgroup

Table 9.10: Adequate Support For Looking After Children by Suburb

	Bellevue Hill	Darling Point	Double Bay	Edgecliff	Paddington	Point Piper	Rose Bay	Vaucluse	Watsons Bay	Woollahra	Total
No response	37.0%	35.3%	58.5%	50.0%	36.2%	50.0%	39.1%	26.9%	36.4%	40.7%	38.7%
Strongly Disagree	4.0%	7.8%	4.9%	5.9%	0.9%	5.6%	3.1%	3.8%	0.0%	1.2%	3.3%
Disagree	17.0%	17.6%	9.8%	5.9%	15.5%	5.6%	10.9%	9.0%	9.1%	12.8%	12.9%
Average	13.0%	9.8%	7.3%	8.8%	9.5%	16.7%	10.9%	10.3%	0.0%	7.0%	9.8%
Agree	19.0%	27.5%	17.1%	26.5%	30.2%	11.1%	34.4%	38.5%	27.3%	27.9%	27.5%
Strongly Agree	10.0%	2.0%	2.4%	2.9%	7.8%	11.1%	1.6%	11.5%	27.3%	10.5%	7.7%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
W/A	3.22	2.97	3.06	3.29	3.45	3.33	3.33	3.61	4.14	3.57	3.38

Source: AECgroup

Table 9.11: Adequate Support for the Care of Elderly People by Suburb

	Bellevue Hill	Darling Point	Double Bay	Edgecliff	Paddington	Point Piper	Rose Bay	Vaucluse	Watsons Bay	Woollahra	Total
No response	33.0%	27.5%	50.0%	50.0%	52.6%	38.9%	34.4%	42.3%	27.3%	43.0%	41.3%
Strongly Disagree	8.0%	5.9%	5.0%	2.9%	2.6%	5.6%	4.7%	2.6%	0.0%	2.3%	4.2%
Disagree	13.0%	7.8%	15.0%	2.9%	12.1%	5.6%	10.9%	9.0%	9.1%	9.3%	10.4%
Average	13.0%	13.7%	2.5%	11.8%	6.9%	11.1%	12.5%	7.7%	0.0%	4.7%	8.9%
Agree	27.0%	39.2%	22.5%	26.5%	20.7%	22.2%	32.8%	32.1%	54.5%	30.2%	28.6%
Strongly Agree	6.0%	5.9%	5.0%	5.9%	5.2%	16.7%	4.7%	6.4%	9.1%	10.5%	6.7%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
W/A	3.15	3.43	3.15	3.59	3.29	3.64	3.33	3.53	3.88	3.65	3.40

Source: AECgroup

Table 9.12: Adequate Support for the Care of People with Disabilities by Suburb

	Bellevue Hill	Darling Point	Double Bay	Edgecliff	Paddington	Point Piper	Rose Bay	Vaucluse	Watsons Bay	Woollahra	Total
No response	42.0%	45.1%	63.4%	55.9%	49.6%	44.4%	53.1%	52.6%	45.5%	51.2%	50.0%
Strongly Disagree	7.0%	3.9%	9.8%	2.9%	6.1%	11.1%	6.3%	3.8%	0.0%	2.3%	5.4%
Disagree	13.0%	11.8%	12.2%	2.9%	13.0%	16.7%	10.9%	12.8%	0.0%	9.3%	11.4%
Average	15.0%	15.7%	2.4%	17.6%	8.7%	16.7%	12.5%	9.0%	9.1%	12.8%	11.7%
Agree	21.0%	19.6%	9.8%	17.6%	17.4%	5.6%	17.2%	15.4%	45.5%	20.9%	18.1%
Strongly Agree	2.0%	3.9%	2.4%	2.9%	5.2%	5.6%	0.0%	6.4%	0.0%	3.5%	3.5%

	Bellevue Hill	Darling Point	Double Bay	Edgecliff	Paddington	Point Piper	Rose Bay	Vaucluse	Watsons Bay	Woollahra	Total
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
W/A	2.97	3.14	2.53	3.33	3.05	2.60	2.87	3.16	3.83	3.29	3.06

Source: AECgroup

5.10 Personal Beliefs and Perceptions

5.10.1 General Views on the Woollahra Area by Age (Q13 x Q15a)

Table 10.1: Little To Do With People in My Neighbourhood by Age (Q10 x Q15a)

	No response	Strongly DisAgree	Disagree	Average	Agree	Strongly Agree	Total	W/A
15-29	0.0%	11.0%	33.0%	19.3%	31.2%	5.5%	100.0%	3.71
30-39	0.8%	14.8%	46.7%	17.2%	16.4%	4.1%	100.0%	3.65
40-49	2.8%	20.8%	34.9%	19.8%	16.0%	5.7%	100.0%	3.71
50-59	2.7%	10.9%	44.5%	12.7%	26.4%	2.7%	100.0%	3.59
60+	2.6%	13.2%	41.7%	15.9%	23.2%	3.3%	100.0%	3.88
Total	1.8%	14.0%	40.5%	16.9%	22.6%	4.2%	100.0%	3.72

Source: AECgroup

Table 10.2: Trust those in my Community by Age (Q10 x Q15a)

	No response	Strongly DisAgree	Disagree	Average	Agree	Strongly Agree	Total	W/A
15-29	2.8%	0.0%	6.4%	24.8%	56.9%	9.2%	100.0%	3.71
30-39	0.8%	1.6%	5.7%	24.6%	60.7%	6.6%	100.0%	3.65
40-49	1.9%	0.0%	8.5%	21.7%	57.5%	10.4%	100.0%	3.71
50-59	6.4%	2.8%	5.5%	20.2%	64.2%	0.9%	100.0%	3.59
60+	4.6%	1.3%	3.9%	14.5%	61.2%	14.5%	100.0%	3.88
Total	3.3%	1.2%	5.9%	20.7%	60.2%	8.7%	100.0%	3.72

Source: AECgroup

Table 10.3: Optimism for the Woollahra Area by Age (Q10 x Q15a)

	No response	Strongly DisAgree	Disagree	Average	Agree	Strongly Agree	Total	W/A
15-29	0.9%	0.0%	7.3%	13.8%	60.6%	17.4%	100.0%	3.89
30-39	0.8%	3.3%	6.6%	9.8%	66.4%	13.1%	100.0%	3.80
40-49	1.9%	1.9%	6.6%	10.4%	63.2%	16.0%	100.0%	3.87
50-59	3.6%	1.8%	14.5%	10.9%	60.9%	8.2%	100.0%	3.61
60+	6.0%	2.6%	7.9%	13.9%	50.3%	19.2%	100.0%	3.80
Total	2.8%	2.0%	8.5%	11.9%	59.7%	15.1%	100.0%	3.80

Source: AECgroup

Table 10.4: Supportive Network of Family and Friends by Age (10 x Q15a)

	No response	Strongly DisAgree	Disagree	Average	Agree	Strongly Agree	Total	W/A
15-29	0.9%	0.0%	0.9%	1.9%	54.6%	41.7%	100.0%	4.38
30-39	0.8%	0.8%	3.3%	6.6%	47.1%	41.3%	100.0%	4.26
40-49	0.0%	1.9%	6.6%	3.8%	56.6%	31.1%	100.0%	4.08
50-59	0.9%	0.9%	2.7%	6.4%	64.5%	24.5%	100.0%	4.10
60+	2.6%	0.7%	2.6%	3.9%	54.6%	35.5%	100.0%	4.25
Total	1.2%	0.8%	3.2%	4.5%	55.3%	35.0%	100.0%	4.22

Source: AECgroup

Table 10.5: Last Month Physically Able to Enjoy my Activities by Age Q10 x Q15a)

	No response	Strongly DisAgree	Disagree	Average	Agree	Strongly Agree	Total	W/A
15-29	0.9%	0.9%	0.9%	2.8%	47.7%	46.8%	100.0%	4.40
30-39	0.8%	3.3%	1.6%	0.8%	50.0%	43.4%	100.0%	4.30
40-49	0.0%	2.9%	2.9%	1.0%	58.1%	35.2%	100.0%	4.20
50-59	0.0%	1.8%	4.6%	2.8%	65.1%	25.7%	100.0%	4.08
60+	3.3%	2.6%	7.9%	3.9%	56.6%	25.7%	100.0%	3.98

Total	1.2%	2.3%	3.9%	2.3%	55.4%	34.8%	100.0%	4.18
--------------	-------------	-------------	-------------	-------------	--------------	--------------	---------------	-------------

Table 10.6: Last Month Emotionally Able to Enjoy my Activities by Age Q10 x Q15a)

	No response	Strongly DisAgree	Disagree	Average	Agree	Strongly Agree	Total	W/A
15-29	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%	5.5%	49.5%	44.0%	100.0%	4.39
30-39	1.6%	0.8%	3.3%	3.3%	55.7%	35.2%	100.0%	4.23
40-49	0.0%	1.0%	4.8%	1.9%	59.0%	33.3%	100.0%	4.19
50-59	0.0%	0.9%	4.5%	8.2%	61.8%	24.5%	100.0%	4.05
60+	3.9%	2.0%	3.9%	7.2%	57.2%	25.7%	100.0%	4.05
Total	1.5%	1.0%	3.3%	5.4%	56.7%	32.1%	100.0%	4.17

Source: AECgroup

Table 10.7: Able to Manage Without the Help of Others by Age (Q10 x Q15a)

	No response	Strongly DisAgree	Disagree	Average	Agree	Strongly Agree	Total	W/A
15-29	0.0%	1.8%	3.7%	8.3%	55.0%	31.2%	100.0%	4.10
30-39	0.0%	2.5%	3.3%	9.8%	46.7%	37.7%	100.0%	4.14
40-49	0.0%	1.9%	0.9%	16.0%	50.9%	30.2%	100.0%	4.07
50-59	0.0%	1.8%	9.1%	15.5%	57.3%	16.4%	100.0%	3.77
60+	2.0%	3.3%	11.2%	14.5%	49.3%	19.7%	100.0%	3.72
Total	0.5%	2.3%	6.0%	12.9%	51.6%	26.7%	100.0%	3.95

Source: AECgroup

Table 10.8: Only Help Others Who Repay the Favour by Age (Q10 x Q15a)

	No response	Strongly DisAgree	Disagree	Average	Agree	Strongly Agree	Total	W/A
15-29	0.0%	1.8%	3.7%	8.3%	55.0%	31.2%	100.0%	4.10
30-39	0.0%	2.5%	3.3%	9.8%	46.7%	37.7%	100.0%	4.14
40-49	0.0%	1.9%	0.9%	16.0%	50.9%	30.2%	100.0%	4.07
50-59	0.0%	1.8%	9.1%	15.5%	57.3%	16.4%	100.0%	3.77
60+	2.0%	3.3%	11.2%	14.5%	49.3%	19.7%	100.0%	3.72
Total	0.5%	2.3%	6.0%	12.9%	51.6%	26.7%	100.0%	3.95

Source: AECgroup

Table 10.9: Feel Safe Alone in My Own Home by Age (Q10 x Q15a)

	No response	Strongly DisAgree	Disagree	Average	Agree	Strongly Agree	Total	W/A
15-29	0.0%	1.8%	3.7%	8.3%	55.0%	31.2%	100.0%	4.10
30-39	0.0%	2.5%	3.3%	9.8%	46.7%	37.7%	100.0%	4.14
40-49	0.0%	1.9%	0.9%	16.0%	50.9%	30.2%	100.0%	4.07
50-59	0.0%	1.8%	9.1%	15.5%	57.3%	16.4%	100.0%	3.77
60+	2.0%	3.3%	11.2%	14.5%	49.3%	19.7%	100.0%	3.72
Total	0.5%	2.3%	6.0%	12.9%	51.6%	26.7%	100.0%	3.95

Source: AECgroup

Table 10.9: I Enjoy Living Among People of Different Lifestyles by Age (Q10 x Q15a)

	No response	Strongly DisAgree	Disagree	Average	Agree	Strongly Agree	Total	W/A
15-29	0.9%	0.9%	0.0%	6.4%	64.2%	27.5%	100.0%	4.19
30-39	0.8%	0.8%	3.3%	5.7%	56.6%	32.8%	100.0%	4.18
40-49	1.9%	0.0%	0.9%	4.7%	69.8%	22.6%	100.0%	4.16
50-59	1.8%	0.9%	3.6%	9.1%	64.5%	20.0%	100.0%	4.01
60+	15.2%	0.7%	5.3%	7.3%	55.6%	15.9%	100.0%	3.95
Total	0.5%	2.3%	6.0%	12.9%	51.6%	26.7%	100.0%	3.95

Source: AECgroup

Table 10.11: My Local Community Feels Like Home by Age (Q10 x Q15a)

	No response	Strongly DisAgree	Disagree	Average	Agree	Strongly Agree	Total	W/A
15-29	0.0%	1.8%	3.7%	8.3%	55.0%	31.2%	100.0%	4.10
30-39	0.0%	2.5%	3.3%	9.8%	46.7%	37.7%	100.0%	4.14
40-49	0.0%	1.9%	0.9%	16.0%	50.9%	30.2%	100.0%	4.07
50-59	0.0%	1.8%	9.1%	15.5%	57.3%	16.4%	100.0%	3.77
60+	2.0%	3.3%	11.2%	14.5%	49.3%	19.7%	100.0%	3.72

	No response	Strongly DisAgree	Disagree	Average	Agree	Strongly Agree	Total	W/A
Total	0.5%	2.3%	6.0%	12.9%	51.6%	26.7%	100.0%	3.95

Source: AECgroup

Table 10.12: Feel Valued By Society by Age (Q10 x Q15a)

	No response	Strongly DisAgree	Disagree	Average	Agree	Strongly Agree	Total	W/A
15-29	0.0%	1.8%	3.7%	8.3%	55.0%	31.2%	100.0%	4.10
30-39	0.0%	2.5%	3.3%	9.8%	46.7%	37.7%	100.0%	4.14
40-49	0.0%	1.9%	0.9%	16.0%	50.9%	30.2%	100.0%	4.07
50-59	0.0%	1.8%	9.1%	15.5%	57.3%	16.4%	100.0%	3.77
60+	2.0%	3.3%	11.2%	14.5%	49.3%	19.7%	100.0%	3.72
Total	0.5%	2.3%	6.0%	12.9%	51.6%	26.7%	100.0%	3.95

Source: AECgroup

5.10.2 General Views on the Woollahra Area by Suburb

Table 10.13: Little To Do With People in My Neighbourhood by Suburb

	Bellevue Hill	Darling Point	Double Bay	Edgecliff	Paddington	Point Piper	Rose Bay	Vaucluse	Watsons Bay	Woollahra	Total
No response	2.0%	2.0%	2.4%	2.9%	2.6%	0.0%	3.1%	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%	1.8%
Strongly DisAgree	12.0%	13.7%	14.6%	8.8%	18.1%	16.7%	17.2%	11.5%	18.2%	11.8%	14.0%
Disagree	37.0%	31.4%	48.8%	50.0%	39.7%	11.1%	39.1%	41.0%	54.5%	48.2%	40.5%
Average	20.0%	19.6%	7.3%	20.6%	19.0%	27.8%	18.8%	12.8%	9.1%	12.9%	16.9%
Agree	27.0%	31.4%	24.4%	11.8%	15.5%	33.3%	20.3%	26.9%	9.1%	22.4%	22.6%
Strongly Agree	2.0%	2.0%	2.4%	5.9%	5.2%	11.1%	1.6%	6.4%	9.1%	4.7%	4.2%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
W/A	2.69	2.76	2.50	2.55	2.49	3.11	2.48	2.75	2.36	2.60	2.62

Source: AECgroup

Table 10.14: Trust those in my Community by Suburb

	Bellevue Hill	Darling Point	Double Bay	Edgecliff	Paddington	Point Piper	Rose Bay	Vaucluse	Watsons Bay	Woollahra	Total
No response	0.0%	2.0%	7.3%	5.9%	1.7%	5.6%	6.3%	3.8%	0.0%	4.7%	3.3%
Strongly DisAgree	2.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.9%	0.0%	5.6%	0.0%	2.6%	0.0%	1.2%	1.2%
Disagree	6.0%	5.9%	4.9%	8.8%	7.0%	0.0%	12.5%	2.6%	9.1%	2.3%	5.9%
Average	27.0%	13.7%	12.2%	23.5%	19.1%	22.2%	21.9%	20.5%	27.3%	20.9%	20.7%
Agree	57.0%	68.6%	65.9%	50.0%	66.1%	50.0%	50.0%	61.5%	63.6%	60.5%	60.2%
Strongly Agree	8.0%	9.8%	9.8%	8.8%	6.1%	16.7%	9.4%	9.0%	0.0%	10.5%	8.7%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
W/A	3.63	3.84	3.87	3.56	3.73	3.76	3.60	3.75	3.55	3.80	3.72

Source: AECgroup

Table 10.15: Optimism for the Woollahra Area by Suburb

	Bellevue Hill	Darling Point	Double Bay	Edgecliff	Paddington	Point Piper	Rose Bay	Vaucluse	Watsons Bay	Woollahra	Total
No response	4.0%	5.9%	2.4%	8.8%	2.6%	5.6%	3.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.8%
Strongly DisAgree	3.0%	0.0%	7.3%	2.9%	1.7%	0.0%	1.6%	2.6%	0.0%	0.0%	2.0%
Disagree	6.1%	7.8%	12.2%	5.9%	8.6%	11.1%	10.9%	12.8%	9.1%	4.7%	8.5%
Average	14.1%	13.7%	12.2%	20.6%	8.6%	27.8%	7.8%	9.0%	18.2%	10.5%	11.9%
Agree	59.6%	60.8%	51.2%	55.9%	62.1%	33.3%	64.1%	61.5%	54.5%	62.8%	59.7%
Strongly Agree	13.1%	11.8%	14.6%	5.9%	16.4%	22.2%	12.5%	14.1%	18.2%	22.1%	15.1%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
W/A	3.77	3.81	3.55	3.61	3.85	3.71	3.77	3.72	3.82	4.02	3.80

Source: AECgroup

Table 10.16: Supportive Network of Family and Friends by Suburb

	Bellevue Hill	Darling Point	Double Bay	Edgecliff	Paddington	Point Piper	Rose Bay	Vaucluse	Watsons Bay	Woollahra	Total
No response	1.0%	2.0%	2.4%	2.9%	0.0%	5.6%	1.6%	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%	1.2%
Strongly Disagree	0.0%	2.0%	0.0%	2.9%	0.9%	5.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.2%	0.8%
Disagree	1.0%	2.0%	0.0%	2.9%	3.4%	0.0%	4.7%	5.2%	0.0%	5.8%	3.2%
Average	5.1%	7.8%	0.0%	5.9%	6.0%	5.6%	3.1%	2.6%	18.2%	2.3%	4.5%
Agree	55.6%	56.9%	53.7%	58.8%	58.6%	11.1%	59.4%	53.2%	54.5%	57.0%	55.3%
Strongly Agree	37.4%	29.4%	43.9%	26.5%	31.0%	72.2%	31.3%	37.7%	27.3%	33.7%	35.0%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
W/A	4.31	4.12	4.45	4.06	4.16	4.53	4.19	4.25	4.09	4.16	4.22

Source: AECgroup

Table 10.17: Last Month Physically Able to Enjoy my Activities by Suburb

	Bellevue Hill	Darling Point	Double Bay	Edgecliff	Paddington	Point Piper	Rose Bay	Vaucluse	Watsons Bay	Woollahra	Total
No response	0.0%	2.0%	4.9%	2.9%	0.0%	0.0%	1.6%	1.3%	0.0%	1.2%	1.2%
Strongly Disagree	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.9%	3.5%	11.1%	6.3%	0.0%	0.0%	2.3%	2.3%
Disagree	1.0%	3.9%	7.3%	11.8%	3.5%	0.0%	7.8%	2.6%	0.0%	2.3%	3.9%
Average	2.0%	3.9%	2.4%	2.9%	1.8%	5.6%	0.0%	2.6%	0.0%	3.5%	2.3%
Agree	49.0%	56.9%	56.1%	58.8%	53.5%	27.8%	56.3%	60.3%	72.7%	61.6%	55.4%
Strongly Agree	47.0%	33.3%	29.3%	20.6%	37.7%	55.6%	28.1%	33.3%	27.3%	29.1%	34.8%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
W/A	4.40	4.22	4.13	3.85	4.18	4.17	3.94	4.26	4.27	4.14	4.18

Source: AECgroup

Table 10.18: Last Month Emotionally Able to Enjoy my Activities by Suburb

	Bellevue Hill	Darling Point	Double Bay	Edgecliff	Paddington	Point Piper	Rose Bay	Vaucluse	Watsons Bay	Woollahra	Total
No response	0.0%	2.0%	4.9%	2.9%	0.9%	0.0%	1.6%	1.3%	0.0%	2.3%	1.5%
Strongly Disagree	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	11.1%	3.1%	0.0%	0.0%	2.3%	1.0%
Disagree	1.0%	2.0%	0.0%	8.8%	5.2%	0.0%	6.3%	3.8%	0.0%	2.3%	3.3%
Average	4.0%	7.8%	7.3%	17.6%	3.5%	0.0%	3.1%	5.1%	0.0%	5.8%	5.4%
Agree	51.0%	54.9%	61.0%	55.9%	58.3%	27.8%	59.4%	59.0%	72.7%	60.5%	56.7%
Strongly Agree	44.0%	33.3%	26.8%	14.7%	32.2%	61.1%	26.6%	30.8%	27.3%	26.7%	32.1%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
W/A	4.38	4.22	4.21	3.79	4.18	4.28	4.02	4.18	4.27	4.10	4.17

Source: AECgroup

Table 10.19: Able to Manage Without the Help of Others by Suburb

	Bellevue Hill	Darling Point	Double Bay	Edgecliff	Paddington	Point Piper	Rose Bay	Vaucluse	Watsons Bay	Woollahra	Total
No response	1.0%	3.9%	4.9%	2.9%	2.6%	0.0%	1.6%	1.3%	0.0%	3.5%	2.3%
Strongly Disagree	6.0%	11.8%	2.4%	2.9%	1.7%	0.0%	4.7%	3.8%	0.0%	10.5%	5.2%
Disagree	17.0%	7.8%	19.5%	38.2%	20.7%	16.7%	29.7%	19.2%	27.3%	20.9%	20.7%
Average	6.0%	9.8%	12.2%	14.7%	13.8%	5.6%	12.5%	12.8%	27.3%	8.1%	11.0%
Agree	50.0%	47.1%	51.2%	41.2%	53.4%	44.4%	42.2%	53.8%	45.5%	46.5%	48.9%
Strongly Agree	20.0%	19.6%	9.8%	0.0%	7.8%	33.3%	9.4%	9.0%	0.0%	10.5%	11.9%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
W/A	3.62	3.57	3.49	2.97	3.46	3.94	3.22	3.45	3.18	3.27	3.43

Source: AECgroup

Table 10.20: Only Help Others Who Repay the Favour by Suburb

	Bellevue Hill	Darling Point	Double Bay	Edgecliff	Paddington	Point Piper	Rose Bay	Vaucluse	Watsons Bay	Woollahra	Total
No response	0.0%	3.9%	4.9%	2.9%	0.9%	0.0%	1.6%	1.3%	0.0%	2.3%	1.7%
Strongly Disagree	31.3%	27.5%	26.8%	29.4%	25.0%	50.0%	25.0%	29.5%	9.1%	38.4%	29.6%
Disagree	55.6%	54.9%	61.0%	61.8%	66.4%	38.9%	68.8%	55.1%	81.8%	51.2%	59.0%
Average	7.1%	2.0%	0.0%	2.9%	6.0%	5.6%	3.1%	6.4%	9.1%	2.3%	4.5%
Agree	5.1%	9.8%	4.9%	2.9%	1.7%	5.6%	0.0%	7.7%	0.0%	5.8%	4.5%
Strongly Agree	1.0%	2.0%	2.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.7%

	Bellevue Hill	Darling Point	Double Bay	Edgecliff	Paddington	Point Piper	Rose Bay	Vaucluse	Watsons Bay	Woollahra	Total
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
W/A	1.89	2.00	1.90	1.79	1.84	1.67	1.83	1.92	2.00	1.75	1.86

Source: AECgroup

Table 10.21: Feel Safe Alone in My Own Home by Suburb

	Bellevue Hill	Darling Point	Double Bay	Edgecliff	Paddington	Point Piper	Rose Bay	Vaucluse	Watsons Bay	Woollahra	Total
No response	0.0%	0.0%	5.0%	2.9%	0.9%	0.0%	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.8%
Strongly DisAgree	2.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.2%	0.7%
Disagree	4.0%	7.8%	2.5%	2.9%	1.7%	0.0%	1.6%	7.7%	0.0%	2.3%	3.5%
Average	7.0%	5.9%	5.0%	5.9%	6.0%	11.1%	6.3%	3.8%	9.1%	3.5%	5.7%
Agree	54.0%	62.7%	65.0%	73.5%	69.0%	38.9%	71.9%	64.1%	72.7%	68.6%	64.7%
Strongly Agree	33.0%	23.5%	22.5%	11.8%	22.4%	50.0%	18.8%	24.4%	18.2%	24.4%	24.6%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
W/A	4.12	4.02	4.13	3.91	4.13	4.39	4.10	4.05	4.09	4.13	4.10

Source: AECgroup

Table 10.22: I enjoy living among people of different lifestyles by Suburb

	Bellevue Hill	Darling Point	Double Bay	Edgecliff	Paddington	Point Piper	Rose Bay	Vaucluse	Watsons Bay	Woollahra	Total
No response	5.0%	6.0%	7.3%	14.7%	1.7%	22.2%	3.1%	2.6%	9.1%	2.3%	4.8%
Strongly DisAgree	1.0%	2.0%	0.0%	2.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.2%	0.7%
Disagree	2.0%	4.0%	4.9%	2.9%	2.6%	5.6%	1.6%	5.1%	0.0%	1.2%	2.8%
Average	9.0%	2.0%	9.8%	5.9%	9.5%	16.7%	1.6%	5.1%	18.2%	3.5%	6.7%
Agree	58.0%	56.0%	56.1%	64.7%	57.8%	44.4%	75.0%	64.1%	54.5%	67.4%	61.5%
Strongly Agree	25.0%	30.0%	22.0%	8.8%	28.4%	11.1%	18.8%	23.1%	18.2%	24.4%	23.4%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
W/A	4.09	4.15	4.03	3.86	4.14	3.79	4.15	4.08	4.00	4.15	4.09

Source: AECgroup

Table 10.23: My Local Community Feels Like Home by Suburb

	Bellevue Hill	Darling Point	Double Bay	Edgecliff	Paddington	Point Piper	Rose Bay	Vaucluse	Watsons Bay	Woollahra	Total
No response	2.0%	3.9%	7.3%	5.9%	0.9%	0.0%	1.6%	2.6%	0.0%	0.0%	2.2%
Strongly DisAgree	0.0%	2.0%	0.0%	2.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%
Disagree	5.0%	7.8%	7.3%	2.9%	4.3%	5.6%	4.8%	5.1%	0.0%	4.7%	5.0%
Average	10.0%	9.8%	4.9%	8.8%	6.1%	16.7%	4.8%	10.3%	9.1%	8.1%	8.2%
Agree	60.0%	52.9%	51.2%	70.6%	64.3%	44.4%	66.7%	59.0%	81.8%	62.8%	61.1%
Strongly Agree	23.0%	23.5%	29.3%	8.8%	24.3%	33.3%	22.2%	23.1%	9.1%	24.4%	23.1%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
W/A	4.03	3.92	4.11	3.84	4.10	4.06	4.08	4.03	4.00	4.07	4.04

Source: AECgroup

Table 10.24: My Local Community Feels Like Home by Suburb

	Bellevue Hill	Darling Point	Double Bay	Edgecliff	Paddington	Point Piper	Rose Bay	Vaucluse	Watsons Bay	Woollahra	Total
No response	6.0%	12.0%	12.2%	11.8%	10.4%	11.1%	9.4%	9.1%	9.1%	8.2%	9.4%
Strongly DisAgree	0.0%	4.0%	0.0%	2.9%	1.7%	0.0%	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.0%
Disagree	3.0%	4.0%	9.8%	8.8%	6.1%	0.0%	9.4%	7.8%	0.0%	8.2%	6.4%
Average	18.0%	6.0%	9.8%	14.7%	13.9%	22.2%	6.3%	13.0%	18.2%	10.6%	12.6%
Agree	59.0%	56.0%	53.7%	55.9%	53.0%	38.9%	59.4%	59.7%	63.6%	56.5%	56.3%
Strongly Agree	14.0%	18.0%	14.6%	5.9%	14.8%	27.8%	14.1%	10.4%	9.1%	16.5%	14.3%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
W/A	3.89	3.91	3.83	3.60	3.82	4.06	3.83	3.80	3.90	3.88	3.84

Source: AECgroup

5.11 Safety of the Area

5.11.1 Frequency of Residents Feelings of Safety by Age

Table 11a.1: Any places would not go in the Woollahra Area by Age (Q11 x Q15a)

	Yes	No	Total
15-29	18.5%	18.0%	18.2%
30-39	19.5%	20.7%	20.3%
40-49	18.5%	17.5%	17.8%
50-59	17.9%	18.5%	18.3%
60+	25.6%	25.2%	25.3%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Source: AECgroup

5.11.2 Frequency of Residents Feelings of Safety by Suburb

Table 11a.2: Any places would not go in the Woollahra Area by Suburb

	Bellevue Hill	Darling Point	Double Bay	Edgecliff	Paddington	Point Piper	Rose Bay	Vaucluse	Watsons Bay	Woollahra	Total
Yes	33.7%	37.3%	29.3%	41.2%	31.9%	16.7%	28.1%	37.2%	27.3%	30.2%	32.5%
No	66.3%	62.7%	70.7%	58.8%	68.1%	83.3%	71.9%	62.8%	72.7%	69.8%	67.5%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Source: AECgroup

5.12 Safety In the Area After Dark

5.12.1 Frequency of Residents Feelings of Safety After Dark

Table 12.1: Safety In Area After Dark by Age (Q12 x Q15a)

	No response	Very unsafe	Somewhat unsafe	Somewhat safe	Very safe	Total
15-29	0.0%	1.8%	12.8%	38.5%	46.8%	100.0%
30-39	0.8%	4.1%	8.3%	42.1%	44.6%	100.0%
40-49	0.9%	2.8%	14.2%	36.8%	45.3%	100.0%
50-59	0.9%	10.9%	15.5%	40.9%	31.8%	100.0%
60+	6.6%	19.7%	26.3%	23.7%	23.7%	100.0%
Total	2.2%	8.7%	16.1%	35.6%	37.5%	100.0%

Source: AECgroup

5.12.2 Frequency of Residents Feelings of Safety After Dark

Table 12.2: Safety In Area After Dark by Suburb

	Bellevue Hill	Darling Point	Double Bay	Edgecliff	Paddington	Point Piper	Rose Bay	Vaucluse	Watsons Bay	Woollahra	Total
No response	0.0%	0.0%	4.9%	2.9%	0.9%	16.7%	4.7%	2.6%	0.0%	1.2%	2.2%
Very unsafe	7.1%	19.6%	14.6%	23.5%	6.0%	16.7%	6.3%	6.4%	0.0%	2.3%	8.7%
Somewhat unsafe	17.2%	19.6%	19.5%	11.8%	12.9%	16.7%	14.1%	17.9%	36.4%	14.0%	16.1%
Somewhat safe	41.4%	29.4%	39.0%	35.3%	40.5%	5.6%	25.0%	43.6%	27.3%	32.6%	35.6%
Very safe	34.3%	31.4%	22.0%	26.5%	39.7%	44.4%	50.0%	29.5%	36.4%	50.0%	37.5%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Source: AECgroup

5.13 Likelihood of Lost Valuables Being Returned

5.13.1 Frequency of Woollahra Residents Faith in having lost Valuables Returned by Age (Q13 x Q15a)

Table 13.1: Likelihood of lost valuables being returned by Age (Q13 x Q15a)

	No response	Very Unlikely	Unlikely	Somewhat Likely	Likely	Very Likely	Total
15-29	4.6%	20.2%	39.4%	22.9%	11.0%	1.8%	100.0%
30-39	2.5%	24.6%	34.4%	25.4%	7.4%	5.7%	100.0%
40-49	3.8%	29.2%	32.1%	20.8%	7.5%	6.6%	100.0%
50-59	3.6%	22.7%	32.7%	29.1%	6.4%	5.5%	100.0%
60+	13.8%	31.6%	27.0%	15.8%	7.9%	3.9%	100.0%
Total	6.2%	26.0%	32.7%	22.4%	8.0%	4.7%	100.0%

Source: AECgroup

5.13.2 Frequency of Woollahra Residents Faith in having lost Valuables Returned by Suburb

Table 13.2: Likelihood of lost valuables being returned by Suburb

	Bellevue Hill	Darling Point	Double Bay	Edgecliff	Paddington	Point Piper	Rose Bay	Vaucluse	Watsons Bay	Woollahra	Total
No response	3.0%	7.8%	12.2%	2.9%	6.9%	11.1%	9.4%	1.3%	9.1%	7.0%	6.2%
Very Unlikely	28.0%	23.5%	24.4%	29.4%	31.0%	22.2%	14.1%	23.1%	18.2%	31.4%	26.0%
Unlikely	42.0%	33.3%	34.1%	29.4%	25.0%	22.2%	32.8%	35.9%	63.6%	27.9%	32.7%
Somewhat Likely	19.0%	23.5%	22.0%	23.5%	21.6%	11.1%	21.9%	23.1%	9.1%	30.2%	22.4%
Likely	4.0%	5.9%	4.9%	8.8%	10.3%	16.7%	18.8%	10.3%	0.0%	1.2%	8.0%
Very Likely	4.0%	5.9%	2.4%	5.9%	5.2%	16.7%	3.1%	6.4%	0.0%	2.3%	4.7%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Source: AECgroup

5.14 Optimism about Local Area

5.14.1 Optimism about Area of Woollahra Residents by Age (Q13 x Q15a)

Table 14a.1: Optimism about Area of Woollahra Resident Since Moving Here by Age (Q13 x Q15a).

	Become better	Stayed about the same	Become worse	Don't know/no response	Total
15-29	23.9%	64.2%	7.3%	4.6%	100.0%
30-39	18.9%	62.3%	18.0%	0.8%	100.0%
40-49	27.4%	53.8%	17.9%	0.9%	100.0%
50-59	19.1%	46.4%	31.8%	2.7%	100.0%
60+	23.8%	38.4%	33.8%	4.0%	100.0%
Total	22.6%	52.2%	22.6%	2.7%	100.0%

Source: AECgroup

Table 14b.1: Optimism about Area of Woollahra Resident in the Next Five Years by Age (Q13 x Q15a).

	Become better	Stayed about the same	Become worse	Don't know/no response	Total
15-29	41.1%	43.9%	9.3%	5.6%	100.0%
30-39	26.2%	50.8%	16.4%	6.6%	100.0%
40-49	27.4%	43.4%	20.8%	8.5%	100.0%
50-59	19.1%	36.4%	30.9%	13.6%	100.0%
60+	16.6%	38.4%	30.5%	14.6%	100.0%
Total	25.3%	42.4%	22.1%	10.1%	100.0%

Source: AECgroup

Table 14b.1: Would You Recommend Living Here to Friends by Age (Q13 x Q15a).

	Yes	No	Total
15-29	96.3%	3.7%	100.0%
30-39	94.2%	5.8%	100.0%
40-49	93.4%	6.6%	100.0%
50-59	91.7%	8.3%	100.0%
60+	95.3%	4.7%	100.0%
Total	94.3%	5.7%	100.0%

Source: AECgroup

5.14.2 Optimism about Area of Woollahra Residents by Suburb

Table 14a.2: Optimism about Area of Woollahra Resident Since Moving Here by Suburb

	Bellevue Hill	Darling Point	Double Bay	Edgecliff	Paddington	Point Piper	Rose Bay	Vaucluse	Watsons Bay	Woollahra	Total
Become better	21.0%	29.4%	14.6%	23.5%	32.8%	16.7%	9.4%	16.7%	9.1%	28.2%	22.6%
Stayed about the same	53.0%	39.2%	51.2%	38.2%	51.7%	50.0%	64.1%	62.8%	72.7%	44.7%	52.2%
Become worse	25.0%	29.4%	34.1%	35.3%	14.7%	22.2%	20.3%	14.1%	9.1%	27.1%	22.6%
Don't know/no response	1.0%	2.0%	0.0%	2.9%	0.9%	11.1%	6.3%	6.4%	9.1%	0.0%	2.7%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Source: AECgroup

Table 14b.2: Optimism about Area of Woollahra Resident Over the Next Five Years by Suburb

	Bellevue Hill	Darling Point	Double Bay	Edgecliff	Paddington	Point Piper	Rose Bay	Vaucluse	Watsons Bay	Woollahra	Total
Become better	31.0%	27.5%	17.5%	11.8%	34.5%	22.2%	23.8%	17.9%	18.2%	23.5%	25.3%
Stayed about the same	40.0%	37.3%	40.0%	50.0%	37.9%	22.2%	34.9%	53.8%	63.6%	49.4%	42.4%
Become worse	24.0%	19.6%	30.0%	14.7%	16.4%	50.0%	28.6%	17.9%	9.1%	23.5%	22.1%
Don't know/no response	5.0%	15.7%	12.5%	23.5%	11.2%	5.6%	12.7%	10.3%	9.1%	3.5%	10.1%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Source: AECgroup

Table 14c.3: Would You Recommend Living Here to Friends by Suburb

	Bellevue Hill	Darling Point	Double Bay	Edgecliff	Paddington	Point Piper	Rose Bay	Vaucluse	Watsons Bay	Woollahra	Total
Yes	97.0%	92.2%	94.9%	94.1%	95.7%	83.3%	93.7%	93.6%	100.0%	92.9%	94.3%
No	3.0%	7.8%	5.1%	5.9%	4.3%	16.7%	6.3%	6.4%	0.0%	7.1%	5.7%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Source: AECgroup

6. Appendix B: Survey Instrument

Measuring Community Capacity in the Municipality of Woollahra

Survey for Community and Social Planning 2008 – 2017

INTRODUCTION

Good morning/evening etc. My name is _____ and I'm calling on behalf of Woollahra Council to ask residents what they think about the area they live in. The survey is part of the Council's planning for the future of the area and should only take 15 mins to complete. You may have read/seen this advertised in the "Woollahra News".

[SELECT RESPONDENT – REPEAT PREAMBLE, ADD:] Participation in the survey is voluntary, and the information that you give will not allow you to be identified. All your answers will be treated in the strictest confidence.

Is it ok to continue? OR when would it be convenient to call back?

Call back date: _____ & time: _____ Person's first name: _____

PRE-QUALIFICATION

Q0a: Is this household in the Woollahra Council area?

1 <input type="checkbox"/> Yes	→ Proceed to Q 0 b
2 <input type="checkbox"/> No	→ terminate interview- "I'm sorry we really need to talk to people who live in the Woollahra area"
3 <input type="checkbox"/> Don't Know / Unsure	→ terminated interview- "I'm sorry we really need to talk to people who live in the Woollahra area"

Q0b: How long have you lived in the Woollahra area?

Years: _____ OR Months: _____ [surveyor note –only Years OR Months] [if less than 6 months terminate interview – "I'm sorry we really need to talk to people who have lived in the area for more than six months – thank you for your time today"]

Q1. Organised Activities

I will begin by asking you some questions about ACTIVITIES that you do.

In the last 12 months how OFTEN have you been actively involved in any of the following types of ORGANISED clubs or groups in the region? Using a scale where 1 = Never 2 =Rarely 3 = Occasionally and 4 = regularly, how often are you involved in a...

Scale: Regularly / Occasionally / Rarely / Never (0 = Don't Know / Unaware)					
Note – run the scale as a 'verbal association' scale – not a numeric association. Rate the frequency in the 'words of the scale' – not the numbers					
Activities	Frequency				
<i>How often have you been actively involved in:</i>	<i>Regularly</i>	<i>Occasionally</i>	<i>Rarely</i>	<i>Never</i>	<i>Don't Know / Unaware</i>
a ♦ Sporting/recreation group	4 <input type="checkbox"/>		3 <input type="checkbox"/>	2 <input type="checkbox"/>	1 <input type="checkbox"/>
b ♦ Church/religious group	4 <input type="checkbox"/>		3 <input type="checkbox"/>	2 <input type="checkbox"/>	1 <input type="checkbox"/>
c ♦ Arts/music/drama	4 <input type="checkbox"/>		3 <input type="checkbox"/>	2 <input type="checkbox"/>	1 <input type="checkbox"/>
d ♦ Youth group	4 <input type="checkbox"/>		3 <input type="checkbox"/>	2 <input type="checkbox"/>	1 <input type="checkbox"/>
e ♦ Seniors group	4 <input type="checkbox"/>		3 <input type="checkbox"/>	2 <input type="checkbox"/>	1 <input type="checkbox"/>
f ♦ Parents/school group	4 <input type="checkbox"/>		3 <input type="checkbox"/>	2 <input type="checkbox"/>	1 <input type="checkbox"/>
g ♦ Residents Association	4 <input type="checkbox"/>		3 <input type="checkbox"/>	2 <input type="checkbox"/>	1 <input type="checkbox"/>

Q2. Non-Organised Activities

Q2a. In the last 12 months how OFTEN have you been involved in the following NON-ORGANISED activities in the region?

Scale: Regularly / Occasionally / Rarely / Never (0 = Don't Know / Unaware)					
Note – run the scale as a 'verbal association' scale – not a numeric association. Rate the frequency in the 'words of the scale' – not the numbers					
Activities	Frequency				
<i>How often have you been actively involved in:</i>	Regularly	Occasionally	Rarely	Never	Don't Know /Unaware
a ♦ Sporting / recreation activities <i>eg skating, swimming, jogging, ball sports, picnics, walking the dog etc</i>	4 <input type="checkbox"/>	3 <input type="checkbox"/>	2 <input type="checkbox"/>	1 <input type="checkbox"/>	0 <input type="checkbox"/>
b ♦ Social activities <i>eg cafes, restaurants, pubs, hotels etc</i>	4 <input type="checkbox"/>	3 <input type="checkbox"/>	2 <input type="checkbox"/>	1 <input type="checkbox"/>	0 <input type="checkbox"/>
c ♦ Cultural activities <i>eg Theatre, Art Gallery, Museum, discussions, seminars etc</i>	4 <input type="checkbox"/>	3 <input type="checkbox"/>	2 <input type="checkbox"/>	1 <input type="checkbox"/>	0 <input type="checkbox"/>

Q2b. Activity/mode of communication

Q2b. In the last 12 months, how often have you used the following modes of communication?

Scale: Regularly / Occasionally / Rarely / Never (0 = Don't Know / Unaware)					
Note – run the scale as a 'verbal association' scale – not a numeric association. Rate the frequency in the 'words of the scale' – not the numbers					
Activities	Frequency				
	Regularly	Occasionally	Rarely	Never	Don't Know /Unaware
a ♦ Catching up in person (eg, at cafes, restaurants, shops, community/recreational activities etc) <i>(measured as a means of interaction)</i>	4 <input type="checkbox"/>	3 <input type="checkbox"/>	2 <input type="checkbox"/>	1 <input type="checkbox"/>	0 <input type="checkbox"/>
b ♦ Home phone	4 <input type="checkbox"/>	3 <input type="checkbox"/>	2 <input type="checkbox"/>	1 <input type="checkbox"/>	0 <input type="checkbox"/>
c ♦ Mobile phone	4 <input type="checkbox"/>	3 <input type="checkbox"/>	2 <input type="checkbox"/>	1 <input type="checkbox"/>	0 <input type="checkbox"/>
d ♦ Text message /SMS	4 <input type="checkbox"/>	3 <input type="checkbox"/>	2 <input type="checkbox"/>	1 <input type="checkbox"/>	0 <input type="checkbox"/>
e ♦ Email	4 <input type="checkbox"/>	3 <input type="checkbox"/>	2 <input type="checkbox"/>	1 <input type="checkbox"/>	0 <input type="checkbox"/>
f ♦ Internet messenger/chat & social networking sites (e.g. Myspace, Yahoo, MSN Messenger)	4 <input type="checkbox"/>	3 <input type="checkbox"/>	2 <input type="checkbox"/>	1 <input type="checkbox"/>	0 <input type="checkbox"/>

Q3. EXERCISE ROUTINE & HEALTH

Now I would like to ask you two questions regarding your exercise routine and health:

<p>Q3a. In the last 2 weeks, how often have you walked continuously for at least 10 minutes either for recreation, exercise or to get to or from places?</p>	<p>[PROMPTED]</p> <p>1 <input type="checkbox"/> Every day 2 <input type="checkbox"/> Every second day 3 <input type="checkbox"/> Weekly 4 <input type="checkbox"/> Once a fortnight 5 <input type="checkbox"/> Didn't walk 6 <input type="checkbox"/> Can't walk 7 <input type="checkbox"/> No response/not sure</p>
<p>Q3b. Overall how would you rate your health?</p>	<p>[PROMPTED]</p> <p>1 <input type="checkbox"/> Poor 2 <input type="checkbox"/> Fair 3 <input type="checkbox"/> Moderate 4 <input type="checkbox"/> Good 5 <input type="checkbox"/> Excellent 6 <input type="checkbox"/> No response/unsure</p>

<p>Q4. What public facilities do you use in</p>	<p>[PROMPTED]</p>
---	-------------------

<p>your region at least a few times a year?</p> <p><i>[READ OUT EACH ITEM – TICK AS MANY AS APPLY]</i></p> <p><i>Note: your 'region' includes Woollahra, and other surrounding Council areas / suburbs.</i></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> Parks 2 <input type="checkbox"/> Local/corner shops 3 <input type="checkbox"/> Beaches 4 <input type="checkbox"/> Basketball/netball courts 5 <input type="checkbox"/> Community Centre 6 <input type="checkbox"/> Libraries 7 <input type="checkbox"/> Pools 8 <input type="checkbox"/> Playgrounds 9 <input type="checkbox"/> Tennis Courts 10 <input type="checkbox"/> Football fields/ovals 11 <input type="checkbox"/> Walking tracks 12 <input type="checkbox"/> Art Gallery/Museum 13 <input type="checkbox"/> Other (please specify) _____
---	---

Q5. VOLUNTARY WORK

<p>Q5. In the last 12 months, have you assisted any organisations or groups on a VOLUNTARY basis?</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> Yes → Proceed to Q 5a 2 <input type="checkbox"/> No → Proceed to Q 5b
---	---

Q5a. How OFTEN are you involved in the following areas on a voluntary basis? (then go to Q6)

Scale: Regularly / Occassionally / Rarely / Never (0 = Don't Know / Not aware of)					
Note – run the scale as a 'verbal association' scale – not a numeric association. Rate the frequency in the 'words of the scale' – not the numbers					
Activities	Frequency				
<i>How often are you involved in:</i>	<i>Regularly</i>	<i>Occasionally</i>	<i>Rarely</i>	<i>Never</i>	<i>Don't Know / Unaware</i>
a ♦ Environmental/recreation	4 <input type="checkbox"/>	3 <input type="checkbox"/>	2 <input type="checkbox"/>	1 <input type="checkbox"/>	0 <input type="checkbox"/>
b ♦ Business/professional/union	4 <input type="checkbox"/>	3 <input type="checkbox"/>	2 <input type="checkbox"/>	1 <input type="checkbox"/>	0 <input type="checkbox"/>
c ♦ Community/welfare/education	4 <input type="checkbox"/>	3 <input type="checkbox"/>	2 <input type="checkbox"/>	1 <input type="checkbox"/>	0 <input type="checkbox"/>
d ♦ Arts and culture	4 <input type="checkbox"/>	3 <input type="checkbox"/>	2 <input type="checkbox"/>	1 <input type="checkbox"/>	0 <input type="checkbox"/>
e ♦ Health	4 <input type="checkbox"/>	3 <input type="checkbox"/>	2 <input type="checkbox"/>	1 <input type="checkbox"/>	0 <input type="checkbox"/>

Q5b. If 'No' – Hypothetically – how willing would you be to register to assist with some form of community volunteer work?						
<i>[surveyor note – we are not seeking to register people – we are just evaluating the willingness of people to participate in volunteer work]</i>						
Very likely	Somewhat	Average	Low	Not at All	N/a	
5 <input type="checkbox"/>	4 <input type="checkbox"/>	3 <input type="checkbox"/>	2 <input type="checkbox"/>	1 <input type="checkbox"/>	0 <input type="checkbox"/>	

<p>Q6. Which of the following is MOST LIKELY to allow you become MORE involved in your local area? [READ OUT EACH RESPONSE – RECORD ONE ONLY]</p> <p style="text-align: center;">[PROMPTED]</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> More free time 2 <input type="checkbox"/> Financial capacity 3 <input type="checkbox"/> Greater interest in an issue 4 <input type="checkbox"/> Being asked to contribute 5 <input type="checkbox"/> Feeling less cynical 6 <input type="checkbox"/> Feeling you have some skills to contribute 7 <input type="checkbox"/> Doing less of what you are doing now 8 <input type="checkbox"/> Being more physically able 9 <input type="checkbox"/> Being better informed 10 <input type="checkbox"/> Nothing not interested 11 <input type="checkbox"/> Other____please specify
---	--

Q7: NEIGHBOURHOOD

Now I would like to ask you some questions about YOUR NEIGHBOURHOOD.

<p>Q7a. Who do you consider to be your neighbours? [READ OUT EACH RESPONSE – RECORD ONE ONLY]</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">[PROMPTED]</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> Only those people in the houses/units/townhouses next to you 2 <input type="checkbox"/> All the people in your block of units/townhouses 3 <input type="checkbox"/> All the people who live in the same street as you 4 <input type="checkbox"/> All the people who live in the same block as you 5 <input type="checkbox"/> All the people who live in the same suburb as you 6 <input type="checkbox"/> No-one 7 <input type="checkbox"/> Other____please specify
---	--

Q7b. In which of the following circumstances have you helped neighbours or local friends in the past 12 months?

[READ OUT EACH ITEM – TICK AS MANY AS APPLY]

[PROMPTED]

- 1 Given advice
- 2 With transportation
- 3 Lent them household equipment
- 4 Looked after their house while they were away (*eg water plants, care for pets*)
- 5 Assisted them with shopping
- 6 Cared for a member of their family (children or adults)
- 7 Lent them money
- 8 An emergency
- 9 Other___please specify

Q7c. In which of the following circumstances would you ask your neighbours or local friends for help?

[READ OUT EACH ITEM – TICK AS MANY AS APPLY]

[PROMPTED]

- 1 For advice
- 2 For transportation
- 3 For borrowing household equipment
- 4 To look after your house while you're were away (*eg water plants, care for pets*)
- 5 Assistance with shopping
- 6 To care for a member of your family (children or adults)
- 7 To borrow money
- 8 An emergency
- 9 Other___please specify

Q7d. When you go shopping in your local area how likely is it that you will run into friends and acquaintances? [READ SCALE: 1 = NOT AT ALL 2 = LOW 3= AVERAGE 4 = SOMEWHAT LIKELY 5 = VERY LIKELY]

Very likely	Somewhat	Average	Low	Not at All	N/a
5 <input type="checkbox"/>	4 <input type="checkbox"/>	3 <input type="checkbox"/>	2 <input type="checkbox"/>	1 <input type="checkbox"/>	0 <input type="checkbox"/>

Q8. Have you ever picked up other people's rubbish in a public place?

[SINGLE RESPONSE - PROMPTED]

- 1 Yes
- 2 No

Q9. How strongly do you agree or disagree with the following statements? [READ SCALE: 1 = STRONGLY DISAGREE 2 = DISAGREE 3 = NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE 4 = AGREE 5 = STRONGLY AGREE]

Statement	Agreement				
	Str disa	Disag	Av(50/50)	Agree	Strongly agree
a ♦ My area has a reputation for being a safe place	1 <input type="checkbox"/>	2 <input type="checkbox"/>	3 <input type="checkbox"/>	4 <input type="checkbox"/>	5 <input type="checkbox"/>
b ♦ My area is a friendly place to live	1 <input type="checkbox"/>	2 <input type="checkbox"/>	3 <input type="checkbox"/>	4 <input type="checkbox"/>	5 <input type="checkbox"/>
c ♦ My area is welcoming of people of different lifestyles	1 <input type="checkbox"/>	2 <input type="checkbox"/>	3 <input type="checkbox"/>	4 <input type="checkbox"/>	5 <input type="checkbox"/>
d ♦ My area provides adequate support for looking after children	1 <input type="checkbox"/>	2 <input type="checkbox"/>	3 <input type="checkbox"/>	4 <input type="checkbox"/>	5 <input type="checkbox"/>
e ♦ My area provides adequate support for care of elderly people	1 <input type="checkbox"/>	2 <input type="checkbox"/>	3 <input type="checkbox"/>	4 <input type="checkbox"/>	5 <input type="checkbox"/>
f ♦ My area provides adequate support for the care of people with a disability	1 <input type="checkbox"/>	2 <input type="checkbox"/>	3 <input type="checkbox"/>	4 <input type="checkbox"/>	5 <input type="checkbox"/>

Q10. The following statements will focus on your personal beliefs and perceptions.

How strongly do you agree or disagree with the following statements? [READ SCALE: 1 = STRONGLY DISAGREE 2 = DISAGREE 3 = NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE 4 = AGREE 5 = STRONGLY AGREE]

Statement	Agreement				
	Str disa	Disag	Av(50/50)	Agree	Strongly agree

a ♦ I have little to do with people in my neighbourhood	1 <input type="checkbox"/>	2 <input type="checkbox"/>	3 <input type="checkbox"/>	4 <input type="checkbox"/>	5 <input type="checkbox"/>
b ♦ I trust those in my community	1 <input type="checkbox"/>	2 <input type="checkbox"/>	3 <input type="checkbox"/>	4 <input type="checkbox"/>	5 <input type="checkbox"/>
c ♦ I have an optimistic view of the Woollahra area's future	1 <input type="checkbox"/>	2 <input type="checkbox"/>	3 <input type="checkbox"/>	4 <input type="checkbox"/>	5 <input type="checkbox"/>
d ♦ I have a supportive network of family and friends	1 <input type="checkbox"/>	2 <input type="checkbox"/>	3 <input type="checkbox"/>	4 <input type="checkbox"/>	5 <input type="checkbox"/>
e ♦ In the last month I have been physically able to enjoy my usual activities	1 <input type="checkbox"/>	2 <input type="checkbox"/>	3 <input type="checkbox"/>	4 <input type="checkbox"/>	5 <input type="checkbox"/>
f ♦ In the last month I have been emotionally able to enjoy my usual activities	1 <input type="checkbox"/>	2 <input type="checkbox"/>	3 <input type="checkbox"/>	4 <input type="checkbox"/>	5 <input type="checkbox"/>
g ♦ Generally, we should be able to manage without needing help from others	1 <input type="checkbox"/>	2 <input type="checkbox"/>	3 <input type="checkbox"/>	4 <input type="checkbox"/>	5 <input type="checkbox"/>
h ♦ I only help others who will repay the favour	1 <input type="checkbox"/>	2 <input type="checkbox"/>	3 <input type="checkbox"/>	4 <input type="checkbox"/>	5 <input type="checkbox"/>
i ♦ I feel safe alone in my own home	1 <input type="checkbox"/>	2 <input type="checkbox"/>	3 <input type="checkbox"/>	4 <input type="checkbox"/>	5 <input type="checkbox"/>
j ♦ I enjoy living amongst people of different lifestyles	1 <input type="checkbox"/>	2 <input type="checkbox"/>	3 <input type="checkbox"/>	4 <input type="checkbox"/>	5 <input type="checkbox"/>
k ♦ My local community feels like home	1 <input type="checkbox"/>	2 <input type="checkbox"/>	3 <input type="checkbox"/>	4 <input type="checkbox"/>	5 <input type="checkbox"/>
l ♦ I feel valued by society	1 <input type="checkbox"/>	2 <input type="checkbox"/>	3 <input type="checkbox"/>	4 <input type="checkbox"/>	5 <input type="checkbox"/>

Q11a. Are there any places in the Woollahra area that you would not go for safety reasons?

[SINGLE RESPONSE - PROMPTED]

- 1 Yes → go to Q 11b
 2 No → Go to Q12

Q11b. Please tell me 'where' the places are, and why you wouldn't go there?

Where:

Reasons:

→ _____	→ _____
→ _____	→ _____
→ _____	→ _____

Q12. How safe do you feel walking in your area after dark? [READ SCALE: 1 = VERY UNSAFE 2 = SOMEWHAT UNSAFE 3 = SOMEWHAT SAFE 4 = VERY SAFE]

Very safe	Somewhat safe	Somew unsafe	Very unsafe	N/a
4 <input type="checkbox"/>	3 <input type="checkbox"/>	2 <input type="checkbox"/>	1 <input type="checkbox"/>	0 <input type="checkbox"/>

Q13. If you lost a wallet or purse that contained \$200, how likely is it that it would be returned with the money in it? [READ SCALE: 1 = UNLIKELY 2 = SOMEWHAT UNLIKELY 3 = SOMEWHAT LIKELY 4 = LIKELY, 5= VERY LIKELY]

[SINGLE RESPONSE - PROMPTED]

- 1 Unlikely
 2 Somewhat unlikely
 3 Somewhat likely
 4 Likely
 5 Very Likely
 6 Don't know/no response

Q14: LOCAL AREA

The following questions relate to optimism in your local area

Q14a. Since you've been living here, would you say that as a place to live your local area has:

[SINGLE RESPONSE - PROMPTED]

- 1 Become better
 2 Stayed about the same
 3 Become worse
 4 Don't know/no response [DON'T READ OUT]

Q14b. In the next 5 years, would you say that as a place to live your local area will:

[SINGLE RESPONSE - PROMPTED]

- 1 Become better
 2 Stay about the same
 3 Become worse
 4 Don't know/no response [DON'T READ OUT]

Q14c. Would you recommend living here to friends?	1 <input type="checkbox"/> Yes → provide reason 2 <input type="checkbox"/> No → provide reason
---	---

Q 15 – DEMOGRAPHICS

The final few questions provide us with a demographic profile of the sample. This information will be handled in the strictest confidence and is not able to be traced back to individuals.

15a. Could I please ask you to nominate your 'age group' from the following options:	[SINGLE RESPONSE - PROMPTED] 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 15 – 19 2 <input type="checkbox"/> 20 – 29 3 <input type="checkbox"/> 30 – 39 4 <input type="checkbox"/> 40 – 49 5 <input type="checkbox"/> 50 – 59 6 <input type="checkbox"/> Over 60
--	--

Q15b. Which of the following best describes your estimated combined household income per annum? <i>(excluding flatmates)</i>	[SINGLE RESPONSE - PROMPTED] 1 <input type="checkbox"/> < \$25K 2 <input type="checkbox"/> \$25K - \$40K 3 <input type="checkbox"/> \$40K - \$55K 7 <input type="checkbox"/> Not sure/no answer 4 <input type="checkbox"/> \$55K - \$70K 5 <input type="checkbox"/> \$85K - \$100K 6 <input type="checkbox"/> > \$100K
---	---

Q15c. What type of dwelling do you live in?	[SINGLE RESPONSE - NOT PROMPTED] 1 <input type="checkbox"/> Separate house 2 <input type="checkbox"/> Semi attached, row/terrace, villa/townhouse 3 <input type="checkbox"/> Flat in a block 1-3 storeys 4 <input type="checkbox"/> Flat in a block over 1-3 storeys 5 <input type="checkbox"/> Other: → specify: _____ 6 <input type="checkbox"/> Not sure/no response
---	---

Q15d. Do you own or rent your own residence	[SINGLE RESPONSE - NOT PROMPTED] 1 <input type="checkbox"/> Own/purchasing 2 <input type="checkbox"/> Rent 3 <input type="checkbox"/> Other arrangement 4 <input type="checkbox"/> Refused/unsure
---	---

Q15e. Which of the following best describes your household? [READ LIST]	[SINGLE RESPONSE - PROMPTED] 1 <input type="checkbox"/> Live alone 2 <input type="checkbox"/> Couple without children 3 <input type="checkbox"/> Couple with children 4 <input type="checkbox"/> Single parent with children 5 <input type="checkbox"/> More than 2 related adults, with or without children 6 <input type="checkbox"/> Group household of unrelated individuals 7 <input type="checkbox"/> Other arrangement 78 <input type="checkbox"/> Not sure/no answer
---	--

Q15f. Gender of respondent? <i>[SURVEYOR – RECORD GENDER]</i>	1 <input type="checkbox"/> Male 2 <input type="checkbox"/> Female
--	--

Q15g. Do you speak any language, other than English, at home or with your parents?	1 <input type="checkbox"/> Yes 2 <input type="checkbox"/> No
--	---

SURVEY END

Thank you very much for your time in participating in this survey.

[If asked or required by respondent:] Should you wish to verify the legitimacy of this survey research please contact:

Woollahra Council:	Director of Community Services	Kylie Walshe	02 9391 7908
AECgroup:	Project Manager	Veronica Lunn	07 3831 0577

Contact is best during business hours (9am to 5pm weekdays)

7. Appendix C: Definition of Weighted Average

The weighted average is the sum of the option multiplied by the percentage of the category achieved divided by 100, i.e.:

Option * % of Category

$$1 * 20\% = 20$$

$$2 * 30\% = 60$$

$$3 * 20\% = 60$$

$$4 * 20\% = 80$$

$$5 * 10\% = 50$$

Total = 270

Weighted average = $270/100 = 2.7$

That means that the most popular option lies between option 2 and option 3, tending more towards option 3. It is similar in nature to the median.


The mode on the other hand is the most popular response, in this case option 2.

When the rating scale is segmented with 1 being the lowest / or worst score and 5 being the highest / or best score – the weighted average corresponds to the category or rating it represents.

For example:

The rating scale from 1 to 5 is: 1 as Very Dissatisfied, 2 as Dissatisfied, 3 as Average, 4 as Satisfied and 5 as Very Satisfied. With a weighted average of 2.37, the most popular option lies between ratings 2 and 3, tending more towards rating 2.

The image below shows a visual representation of the weighted average (2.37 w/a) on the five point scale.



8. Appendix D: References

City of Swan (2001), *Foundations for the Future, Quality of Life in the City of Swan* [Online]. Available from: <http://www.cityofswan.com>.

The Australian Bureau of Statistics (2001). 2001 Australian Census. www.abs.gov.au. Last accessed 28 March 2007.

Woollahra LGA Demographic Profile 2004, Woollahra Municipal Council.



AEC*group*

- > BRISBANE
- > SYDNEY
- > CANBERRA
- > TOWNSVILLE
- > CAIRNS

E: aec@aecgroup ltd.com